

# CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER INFORMATION

# **Transcript of Scott Ellington**

**Date:** July 29, 2021

Case: UBS Securities LLC, et al. -v- Highland Capital Management, L.P.

**Planet Depos** 

**Phone:** 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

1 (1 to 4)

		1		3	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES BAN FOR THE NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF TEXAS	1	APPEARANCES	
3	DALLAS DIVISI	ON §	2	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS UBS SECURITIES LLC	
4		ទ § Chapter 11 § Case No. 19-34054-SGJ11	3	AND UBS AG LONDON BRANCH:	
5	Debtor.	\$ Case No. 19-34034-30311 \$ \$	4	Andrew B. Clubok (Via Zoom)	
6		\$ \$	5	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	
7	UBS SECURITIES LLC AND UBS AG LONDON BRANCH,	§ §	6	555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000	
8	Plaintiffs,	§ §	7	Washington, D.C. 20004	
9		§ Adversary Proceeding § No. 21-03020-sgj	8	(202) 637-2200 Andrew.clubok@lw.com	
10	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	9 § §	10	Allul ew. Clubbretw. Coll	
11	Defendant.	5 § §	11	Shannon E. McLaughlin	
12	ber endance.	•	12	Robert Allen	
13	CONTAINS HIGHLY CON	IFIDENTIAL	13	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	
14	SUBJECT TO PROTECTI		14	1271 Avenue of the Americas	
15	INFORMATION		15	New York, NY 10020	
16	Videotaped Deposi SCOTT BYRON ELLI		16	(212) 906-4612	
17 18	Conducted Virtu		17	Shannon.mclaughlin@lw.com	
19	Thursday, July 29		18	-	
20	10:39 a.m. E		19		
21			20		
22	Job No.: 386794		21		
23	Pages: 1 - 407		22		
24	Reported by: Lisa M. Barrett,	RPR, CRR, CRC, CSR	23		
25			24		
			25		
		2	_		
1		2		4	
1	Videotaped virtual	deposition of SCOTT BYRON	1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED	
1 2	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M.	2		
3	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter,	2	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:	
3	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)	
3 4 5	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Yia Zoom)  Greg Demo	
3 4	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP	
3 4 5 6 7	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor	
3 4 5 6 7 8	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024	
3 4 5 6 7 8	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024	
3 4 5 6 7 8	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund  ROSS & SMITH, PC	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund  ROSS & SMITH, PC  700 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1610	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund  ROSS & SMITH, PC  700 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1610  Dallas, Texas 75201	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund  ROSS & SMITH, PC  700 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1610  Dallas, Texas 75201  (214) 377-7879	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund  ROSS & SMITH, PC  700 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1610  Dallas, Texas 75201  (214) 377-7879	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund  ROSS & SMITH, PC  700 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1610  Dallas, Texas 75201  (214) 377-7879  Frances.smith@judithwross.com	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund  ROSS & SMITH, PC  700 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1610  Dallas, Texas 75201  (214) 377-7879  Frances.smith@judithwross.com	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund  ROSS & SMITH, PC  700 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1610  Dallas, Texas 75201  (214) 377-7879  Frances.smith@judithwross.com	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ELLINGTON, pursuant to no Barrett, a Certified Shor Registered Professional R Realtime Reporter, and a	deposition of SCOTT BYRON tice, before Lisa M. thand Reporter, eporter, Certified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES CONTINUED  ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HIGHLAND CAPITAL  MANAGEMENT, L.P.:  Robert J. Feinstein (Via Zoom)  Greg Demo  PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  New York, New York 10017-2024  (212) 561-7700  Rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com  ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:  Frances A. Smith  Eric Soderlund  ROSS & SMITH, PC  700 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1610  Dallas, Texas 75201  (214) 377-7879  Frances.smith@judithwross.com	

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

2 (5 to 8)

5 ADDEADANCES CONTINUED	1		DEDUCTION EARTER	7
APPEARANCES CONTINUED			DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	
	2		SCOTT B. ELLINGTON	
ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:	3		July 29, 2021	
Debra A. Dandeneau	4		(Retained by counsel)	
Michelle Hartmann	5	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED
BAKER & McKENZIE, LLP	6	Exhibit 86	Notice of Transfer of Claim	41
452 Fifth Avenue	7		Other Than for Security	
New York, New York 10018	8		Case. No. 19-34054-sgj11	
(212) 626-4875	9		Two pages	
0 Debra.dandeneau@bakermckenzie.com		Exhibit 87	Email from Isaac Leventon to	265
1 Michelle.hartmann@bakermckenzie.com	11		Scott Ellington, September	
2	12		9, 2019, Bates Nos.	
3 Videographer: Robert Leonard	13		UBSPROD2502008 to -2009	
4 Remote Technician: Nate Riveness	14		with attachment, NREF Timeline	
5	15	Exhibit 88	Email from Stephanie Vitiello	313
6	16		to Isaac Leventon, dated April	
7	17		11, 2017 showing attachment	
8	18		UBS_Settlement Stucture (SV)	
9	19		UBSPROD4837429	
0	20	Exhibit 89	Email from JP Sevilla to Scott	315
1	21		Ellington dated 11 August 2017	
2	22		with forwarded email	
3	23		Bates Nos. USBPROD2632632-33	
4	24			
5	25			
SCOTT B. ELLINGTON  JULY 29, 2021	2		SCOTT B. ELLINGTON	
			Tuly 20 2021	
	3	NIIMRER	July 29, 2021	MARKED
PAGE  ADDEADANCES  11	4	NUMBER	July 29, 2021 DESCRIPTION	MARKED
APPEARANCES	4 5		DESCRIPTION	
APPEARANCES	4 5 6	NUMBER Exhibit 90	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello	MARKED 383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7		DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated	
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8		DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated 11 April 2017 with attachments	
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 90	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 90	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated 11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444 Email from Stephanie Vitiello	
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 90	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Exhibit 90	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444 Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos.	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated 11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444 Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837505-7524	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Exhibit 90	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444 Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837505-7524 Email from Stephanie Vitiello	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated 11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444 Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837505-7524 Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos.  UBSPROD4837505-7524  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April, 2017, Bates Nos.	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated 11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444 Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837505-7524 Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos.  UBSPROD4837505-7524  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April, 2017, Bates Nos.	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos.  UBSPROD4837505-7524  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April, 2017, Bates Nos.	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos.  UBSPROD4837505-7524  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April, 2017, Bates Nos.	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos.  UBSPROD4837505-7524  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April, 2017, Bates Nos.	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos.  UBSPROD4837505-7524  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April, 2017, Bates Nos.	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos.  UBSPROD4837505-7524  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April, 2017, Bates Nos.	383
APPEARANCES	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 90 Exhibit 91	DESCRIPTION  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon dated  11 April 2017 with attachments Bates Nos. UBSPROD4837429-7444  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April 2017, Bates Nos.  UBSPROD4837505-7524  Email from Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon, dated 12 April, 2017, Bates Nos.	383

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

3 (9 to 12)

9	d on July 29, 2021
1 PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS	1 states Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District
2	2 of Texas, Dallas Division. Case number
3 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE	3 1934054FGJ11.
4	4 Today's date is July 29th, 2021.
5 Exhibit 50	5 The time on my video monitor is
6 Exhibit 26	6 10:40 a.m., eastern time.
7 Exhibit 77 156	7 My name is Robert Leonard. I'm the
8 Exhibit 39	8 Video Specialist. I represent Planet Depos.
9 Exhibit 26	9 This deposition is being taken via Zoom
10 Exhibit 28	10 online.
11 Exhibit 61	Will counsel please identify themselves
12 Exhibit 47 284	12 verbally and state who they represent.
13 Exhibit 2 310	13 MR. CLUBOK: Good morning. This is
14 Exhibit 82 329	14 Andrew Clubok and Shannon McLaughlin from Latham &
15 Exhibit 38	15 Watkins LLP on behalf of UBS.
16 Exhibit 55	16 MR. FEINSTEIN: Good morning. This is
17 Exhibit 69 354	
18 Exhibit 70	<ul><li>17 Robert Feinstein, Pachulski Stang Ziehl &amp; Jones.</li><li>18 We are counsel for the defendant in the adversary</li></ul>
19	· ·
20	19 proceeding, Highland Capital Management LP.
21	20 My colleague Greg Demo is also on the
22	21 Zoom.
23	MS. SMITH: Good morning. Frances
24	23 Smith with Ross and Smith on behalf of the
25	24 non-party witness, Scott Ellington.
	25 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. Can you swear the
1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	
1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1 witness in, please.
2 Videotaped Deposition of Scott Ellington	2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter
3 Conducted Virtually	3 today is Lisa Barrett. She also represents Planet
4 Thursday, July 29, 2021	4 Depos.
5 Commencing at 10:30 a.m. (EST)	5 Will the court reporter please swear in
6 REMOTE TECHNICIAN: Thank you to	6 the witness.
7 everyone for attending this proceeding remotely,	7 (OATH STIPULATION)
8 which we anticipate will run smoothly.	8 SCOTT BYRON ELLINGTON, called as a witness,
9 Please remember to speak slowly and do	9 having been duly sworn was examined
10 your best not to talk over one another. Please be	and testified as follows:
11 aware that we are recording the proceeding for	11 EXAMINATION
12 backup purposes. Any off-the-record discussion	12 BY MR. CLUBOK:
13 should be had away from the computer. Please	Q Okay. Good morning, Mr. Ellington.
14 remember to mute your mic for those conversations.	14 A Good morning, Mr. Clubok.
15 Have your video enabled to help the	Q Can you state your full name, please?
16 reporter identify who is speaking. If you are	16 A Scott Byron Ellington.
17 unable to connect with the video and are	17 Q What is your home address?
18 connecting via phone, please identify yourself	18 A I currently don't have a home address.
19 each time.	19 I recently sold the place I was living.
20 And apologies in advance for any	20 Q Where are you living right now?
21 technical-related interruptions. Thank you.	21 A I'm staying between my father's house
22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins video	22 and my girlfriend's house.
23 file number 1 in the video deposition of Scott	23 Q And today, you are taking the
25 The hamoer I in the video deposition of Scott	
24 Ellington in the matter of UBC (sic) Securities	24 deposition at your girlfriend's house.

	Tury 29, 2021	1.5
13 1 Q And that is Stephanie Archer.	1 person today?	15
2 A That is.	2 A No, I do not.	
3 < HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	3 Q Where is it?	
	4 A I believe it's at my dad's house.	
4		
5	5 Because I recently moved, I have stuff in several	
6	6 locations.	
7	7 Q Did you have that phone with you when	
8>	8 you were in Africa on a recent trip?	
9 Q So you currently have no principal	9 A I did.	
10 residence at all.	10 Q And did you get that phone you	
11 A Not right now, no.	11 mentioned Skyview.	
12 Q You recently purchased a property to	12 What's Skyview?	
13 build a home on?	13 A Skyview is an entity that I am	
14 A Not to build a home on, no. I recently	14 currently employed by.	
15 purchased a property to move into, but it's not	15 Q Did when did you get that phone	
16 available to move into yet.	16 associated with Skyview?	
17 < HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	17 A I don't know the exact date. They set	
18	18 it up for me. I don't know the date, I'd have to	
19	19 look, a couple of months ago.	
20	20 Q Since you left the employment of	
21	21 Highland Capital Management?	
22	22 A Yes.	
23	23 Q And do you have any other phone numbers	
24	24 currently?	
25>	25 A No.	
14		16
1 number that I've had for many years. I don't	1 Q Have you had any other phone numbers	
2 really use that anymore. It's a legacy number	2 strike that.	
3 that I'm have people that still correspond to	3 Have you had any other phone numbers	
4 me on that, so I left it open to see tell	4 that you have used for any purpose in the last two	
5 people the new numbers I use.	5 years	
6 Q Any other phones that you currently	6 A No.	
7 have?	7 Q aside from the ones you've	
8 A I do. I have one in front of me, if I	8 identified?	
9 could look, Mr. Clubok. I actually don't know the	9 A No. Other than my desk phone number at	
10 number on it because it is only for my family and	10 Highland, no.	
11 close friends.	11 Q Have you ever had have you ever	
Do you mind if I look?	12 referred to a burner phone?	
13 Q Sure.	13 A Never. I don't really even understand	
14 A Okay. It is sorry, it's opening, I	14 what that is.	
15 < HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL>	15 Q Okay. What's your current who's	
16 Q And you say that number you only use	16 your current employer?	
17 for family and close friends, not for work, I take	17 A Skyview.	
18 it?	18 Q What's the business address of Skyview?	
19 A Not for work at all, no.	19 A I don't know the business address of	
20 Q Any other phone number that you	20 Skyview. I don't know what they've registered it	
21 currently have?	21 as.	
22 A There is a phone that's owned by	22 Q Where do you do you have a office	
23 Skyview that I don't know the phone number. I can	23 for Skyview	
24 get that to you at a later date.	24 A There is not office space yet, no.	
25 Q Do you have that phone with you on your	25 Q Do you have any business office	
	E DEDOC	

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

5 (17 to 20)

Conducted of	
17	19
1 anywhere right now?	1 Q Well, who made the agreement?
2 A No, I do not.	2 A The agreement was negotiated by several
Q Does anybody who works for how many	3 parties and representatives of Skyview, and I
4 employees does Skyview have?	4 personally was not involved in those negotiations.
5 A I believe there is right around 40. I	5 Q Who was? Who negotiated your comp for
6 don't know the exact headcount.	6 Skyview?
7 Q Do any of them work in an office?	7 A I think it wasn't my comp; it was an
8 A They all work remotely, as far as	8 overall consulting fee and then the comp was set
9 I know.	9 by senior people at Skyview, Frank Waterhouse and
10 Q When was the last as far as you	10 Brian Collins.
11 know, every other employee for Skyview works	11 Q As best you know, what is your
12 remotely?	12 compensation for this year going to
13 A I believe they work remotely, yes. I	13 A Commensurate
14 don't know where they work from on a daily basis.	14 Q Strike that. What do you expect your
15 Q Who owns Skyview?	15 compensation to be for the work you do associated
16 A I do.	16 with Skyview for the year 2021?
17 Q You are the sole owner of Skyview?	17 A Commensurate
18 A Yes.	18 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. Objection
19 Q Does anyone else have any economic	19 also as to relevance, as I objected on all of the
20 stake in Skyview?	20 previous depositions. This is beyond the scope of
21 A No.	21 the deposition which is due to the facts and
22 Q Does any employee of Skyview use office	22 circumstances to prove or defend the temporary
23 space at the same building where NexBank is	23 and motion for temporary injunction and motion
24 located?	24 for protective order and having to do with
25 A I believe that some people come in	25 Sentinel.
18 1 there to use those facilities on a on an ad hoc	1 BY MR. CLUBOK:
Q But you don't believe that anyone has a	
4 permanent office associated with Skyview in	4 the year 2021?
If that in that office?	5 A It would be commissioned to what it
5 that in that office?	5 A It would be commiserate to what it
6 A Not that I'm aware of it being	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at
6 A Not that I'm aware of it being 7 permanent, no.	<ul> <li>6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at</li> <li>7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 A Not that I'm aware of it being</li> <li>7 permanent, no.</li> <li>8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at</li> <li>7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible)</li> <li>8 Q So, your compensation was intended to</li> </ul>
6 A Not that I'm aware of it being 7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank?	<ul> <li>6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at</li> <li>7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible)</li> <li>8 Q So, your compensation was intended to</li> <li>9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get</li> </ul>
6 A Not that I'm aware of it being 7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very	<ul> <li>6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at</li> <li>7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible)</li> <li>8 Q So, your compensation was intended to</li> <li>9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get</li> <li>10 in the last year working for Highland Capital</li> </ul>
6 A Not that I'm aware of it being 7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking)	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct?
6 A Not that I'm aware of it being 7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed,
6 A Not that I'm aware of it being 7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and
6 A Not that I'm aware of it being 7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities 14 affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse.
6 A Not that I'm aware of it being 7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities 14 affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount 15 of shares in NexBank.	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for
<ul> <li>A Not that I'm aware of it being</li> <li>permanent, no.</li> <li>Q Do you have any connection whatsoever</li> <li>with NexBank?</li> <li>A I am a very</li> <li> (overspeaking)</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities</li> <li>affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount</li> <li>of shares in NexBank.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> </ul>	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020?
<ul> <li>A Not that I'm aware of it being</li> <li>permanent, no.</li> <li>Q Do you have any connection whatsoever</li> <li>with NexBank?</li> <li>A I am a very</li> <li> (overspeaking)</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities</li> <li>affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount</li> <li>of shares in NexBank.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Do you have any connection with SSB?</li> </ul>	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020? 17 A I believe it was around \$3 million,
<ul> <li>A Not that I'm aware of it being</li> <li>permanent, no.</li> <li>Q Do you have any connection whatsoever</li> <li>with NexBank?</li> <li>A I am a very</li> <li> (overspeaking)</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection, form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities</li> <li>affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount</li> <li>of shares in NexBank.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Do you have any connection with SSB?</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection, form.</li> </ul>	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020? 17 A I believe it was around \$3 million, 18 according to Highland Capital.
7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities 14 affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount 15 of shares in NexBank. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Do you have any connection with SSB? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what SSB is.	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020? 17 A I believe it was around \$3 million, 18 according to Highland Capital. 19 Q Is that all in, bonus, deferred comp,
7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities 14 affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount 15 of shares in NexBank. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Do you have any connection with SSB? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what SSB is. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK:	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020? 17 A I believe it was around \$3 million, 18 according to Highland Capital. 19 Q Is that all in, bonus, deferred comp, 20 equity, any other source of compensation?
7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities 14 affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount 15 of shares in NexBank. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Do you have any connection with SSB? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what SSB is. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Do you have any other employers, other	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020? 17 A I believe it was around \$3 million, 18 according to Highland Capital. 19 Q Is that all in, bonus, deferred comp, 20 equity, any other source of compensation? 21 A It would be every source
7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities 14 affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount 15 of shares in NexBank. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Do you have any connection with SSB? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what SSB is. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Do you have any other employers, other 22 than Skyview?	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020? 17 A I believe it was around \$3 million, 18 according to Highland Capital. 19 Q Is that all in, bonus, deferred comp, 20 equity, any other source of compensation? 21 A It would be every source 22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities 14 affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount 15 of shares in NexBank. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Do you have any connection with SSB? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what SSB is. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Do you have any other employers, other	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020? 17 A I believe it was around \$3 million, 18 according to Highland Capital. 19 Q Is that all in, bonus, deferred comp, 20 equity, any other source of compensation? 21 A It would be every source
7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities 14 affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount 15 of shares in NexBank. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Do you have any connection with SSB? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what SSB is. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Do you have any other employers, other 22 than Skyview?	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020? 17 A I believe it was around \$3 million, 18 according to Highland Capital. 19 Q Is that all in, bonus, deferred comp, 20 equity, any other source of compensation? 21 A It would be every source 22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
7 permanent, no. 8 Q Do you have any connection whatsoever 9 with NexBank? 10 A I am a very 11 (overspeaking) 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 THE WITNESS: I hold shares or entities 14 affiliated with me hold a very, very tiny amount 15 of shares in NexBank. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Do you have any connection with SSB? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what SSB is. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Do you have any other employers, other 22 than Skyview? 23 A No.	6 should have been in the calendar year 2020 at 7 Highland Capital Management Company. (inaudible) 8 Q So, your compensation was intended to 9 reflect what you believed you were entitled to get 10 in the last year working for Highland Capital 11 Management; correct? 12 A I don't know if it was what I believed, 13 but I was, again, set by Mr. Collins and 14 Mr. Waterhouse. 15 Q And what was your compensation for 16 Capital Highland Capital Management in 2020? 17 A I believe it was around \$3 million, 18 according to Highland Capital. 19 Q Is that all in, bonus, deferred comp, 20 equity, any other source of compensation? 21 A It would be every source 22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 23 THE WITNESS: Sorry Frances, my

Conducted on July 29, 2021 2.1 23 1 as reflected in their award letters from all in of 1 member of the bar? 2 everything that you could put as compensation, A Yes. 3 including free lunches and cell phone 3 Q Which bar or bars? 4 reimbursement and parking and that kind of thing. The Texas bar. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Do you have any other bar memberships, Q And did you actually receive that other than Texas, state court or Supreme Court? \$3 million? A I do not. A I did not. Any federal court bar memberships? Q How much of it did you receive, 10 roughly? 10 Q Where did you go to law school? A I received just my base salary in 2020. A Pepperdine University. 11 Q What year did you graduate? 12 Q Which was roughly? 12 13 A \$450,000. 13 A Sorry? Q And are you contesting the fact that 14 Q Sorry. What year did you graduate? 15 you did not receive roughly \$2.5 million that you 15 A 2000. 16 believe you are entitled to? Q Have you ever had any disciplinary 16 17 A Yes. 17 actions taken against you since you became a 18 MS. SMITH: Objection. I'm going to 18 lawyer? 19 object to the extent that it requires you to 19 A No. 20 divulge any privileged conversations with your 20 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 21 attorneys. 21 BY MR. CLUBOK: 22 BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Have you ever had any complaints to the 2.2. Q Yeah, all -- all of my questions are 23 bar, as far as you know? 24 intended to -- are not intended to seek privileged 24 A As far as I know, no. 25 communications with your attorneys. 25 Q Do you have any other professional 22 24 So when I say contesting, I mean to licenses, other than law degree? Highland Capital Management in some form or A I do not. 3 another, not what you might be personally saying Q What does Skyview Group do? to your attorney in a privilege setting. 4 A Skyview Group advises and consults with A Without divulging any privileged 5 its clients. communications, the general answer is yes. Q On what matters? Q In what form are you contesting that or A Anything that we're contracted to 8 have you -- have you made that -- have you -- in consult and advise upon. 9 what form have you expressed that disagreement? Q What do you -- what -- what area 10 Do you have a claim? Have you given notice? 10 generally do you advise and consult on? 11 A I follow the advice of counsel. A Middle and back office functions to 12 Q Right. But how have you communicated, 12 money managers and family offices and related 13 if you have communicated, your challenge to your 13 parties. 14 compensation for 2020 to Highland Capital 14 Q Anything else? 15 Management? 15 A No. A Again, without divulging privileged Q And how many clients do you have? 16 17 communication with counsel, I believe a proof of 17 A I'd have to think of the number of 18 claim has been filed. 18 entities. 19 Pardon me? I'm not certain, but I would say around 20 Q The question or the answer? 20 probably -- let me think. I'd have to look at the 21 A I said a proof of claim has been filed. 21 agreements to give you an exact number, but I'd Q You're an attorney authorized to 22 say less than a dozen. 23 practice law? 23 Q And of those, are any completely A Yes. 24 unaffiliated with Jim Dondero, yourself or other 24

25 current or former employees of Highland Capital

25

Q Are you -- are you currently an active

7 (25 to 28)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021	
1 Management?	1 THE WITNESS: I will I will take	
2 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	2 advice from counsel, and, like you said, they can	
THE WITNESS: Yes.	3 be provided under some kind of a confidentiality	
4 BY MR. CLUBOK:	4 agreement under this deposition. I'm not saying	
5 Q How many?	5 that I that I don't have to disclose them.	
6 A Three.	6 I'll just take the advice of counsel.	
7 Q Who are they?	7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. Why don't we go off	
8 A There is confidentiality agreements	8 the record.	
9 with who the clients are. I believe I would	9 Off-record discussion	
10 violate those contracts if I disclose.	10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	
11 Q We we can designate this part of the	11 record at 10:56 a.m.	
12 transcript as confidential.	12 (Recess taken 10:56 a.m. to 11:05 a.m.)	
13 CONFIDENTIAL	13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on	
14 THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at the	14 the record at 11:05 a.m., eastern time.	
15 agreements to tell you the entity names.	15 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
16 BY MR. CLUBOK:	16 Q Okay. Sir, to the my understanding	
17 Q Okay, we'll ask you to do that,	17 that Ms. Smith, on your behalf, is going to	
18 then, at the (inaudible)	18 designate this next answer highly confidential and	
19 You believe there's a confidentiality	19 myself, the other lawyers reasoning UBS and	
20 agreement that prohibits you, in response to this	20 debtor's counsel will all abide by the orders in	
21 subpoenaed deposition that was court ordered, from	21 this case with respect to confidentiality. So	
22 disclosing those names, even under the protective	22 with that said	
23 order in this case?		
	MR. FEINSTEIN: That's agreed.	
MS. SMITH: Objection to form. He's	24 MR. CLUBOK: Thank you, Mr. Feinstein.	
25 already he's already answered the question	25 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
26	28	
1 saying	Q Let's just ask you again. To the bet	
2 MR. CLUBOK: Yeah.	2 of your ability, can you identify any clients of	
3 MS. SMITH: he thinks it's	3 Skyview Group that are not affiliated with	
4 confidential.	4 Mr. Dondero or some other current or former	
5 BY MR. CLUBOK:	5 employee of Highland Capital Management?	
6 Q Well, no, let me just be clear. You	6 A Yes.	
7 understand you are here testifying under a	7 MS. SMITH: Objection as to	
8 subpoena; correct?	8 objection as to form, and this is to be marked	
9 A Yes.	9 highly confidential.	
10 Q And you understand that you contested	You can answer to the extent you know.	
11 that subpoena and litigated in court to try to	11 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	
12 quash this deposition; correct?	12 THE WITNESS: The first one I'm aware	
13 A Correct.	13 of is a real estate developer in Austin. I do not	
14 Q You understand you've been court	14 know the entity name. I know generally that they	
15 ordered to sit for this deposition; right?	15 have a distressed situation that involves	
16 A Yes.	16 litigation. And they do not have any resources	
17 Q And you are a lawyer; correct?	17 in-house, so they wanted to retain Skyview and	
18 A Yes.	18 have retained Skyview to generally manage	
19 Q Okay. And you believe that you have a	19 litigation in a distress workout situation on a	
20 binding, private contract with your clients that	20 project real estate project in Austin, Texas.	
21 protects you from disclosing them even in this	MR. CLUBOK: Who is the human being	
22 deposition setting? As you sit here today, that's	22 that you deal with there?	
23 your legal belief?	· ·	
	23 THE WITNESS: I have never dealt with	
24 MS. SMITH: Objection, calls for legal	24 anyone. I was informed by JP Sevilla that they	
24 MS. SMITH: Objection, calls for legal 25 conclusion.		

8 (29 to 32)

	Conducted on	Jι	ıly 29, 2021
	29		31
1	structure with generally what I just told you.	1	BY MR. CLUBOK:
2	I have zero involvement.	2	Q What are your duties and
3	BY MR. CLUBOK:	3	responsibilities at Skyview Group?
4	Q Okay. Do you know how Skyview got that	4	A To manage the employee base, originates
5	business? Through some	5	new business, and consult, advise clients.
6	A I do not. I know that someone at	6	Q Do you does Skyview pay any rent or
7	Skyview was contacted that had a personal	7	any compensation for the use of offices at the
8	relationship with this person, and said "Do you	8	NexBank?
	guys have these resources."	9	MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.
10	Q Okay. And the other two clients and	$ _{10}$	
	by the way, you don't know who that person was at		BY MR. CLUBOK:
	Skyview who was in contact	12	
13	-		you were given office space at NexBank?
14		14	
	Skyview has that are not affiliated in some way	15	· ·
	with Mr. Dondero or another Highland current or		5 BY MR. CLUBOK:
	former employee?	17	71 1
18	•	-	3 offices?
	Again, this needs to be marked highly	19	3
	confidential.		) speculation.
21	THE WITNESS: A group of retired	21	
	executives in Dallas have a relationship with Lucy		BY MR. CLUBOK:
	Bannon at Skyview, and they are forming a small VC	23	•
	firm and have zero resources, other than their	24	
25	contacts. And they asked us to structure from a	25	Q When was the last time you were there?
	30		32
1	tax standpoint and consult on docs for their	1	A Early June.
2	outside counsel on structuring this VC fund	2	Q And how often have you gone there since
3	they're trying to put together.	3	forming Skyview Group?
	BY MR. CLUBOK:	4	A I think I've been there three times.
5	Q And they are retired from what company?	5	Q Do you is there an office designated
6	A I really don't know.	6	for you there?
7	Q And what's the third one?	7	A No.
8	A The third one is a Swiss-based	8	Q Do you plan to remain the sole owner of
	commodities broker that was looking for fund	9	Skyview Group?
	accounting work fund accounting help and	10	
	compliance help in opening a U.S. operation.		senior and employees in general.
12		12	
	LOI, and there is not agreement to fees.		equity under your current planning?
14	Q What's the name of that Swiss Bank?	14	, and the second
15		15	
16	Q What's your job title at Skyview?		do not have a final determination that's being
17			evaluated.
18	the title of president.	18	BY MR. CLUBOK:
19		19	Q But it would include Mr. Sevilla;
20	A Correct.	20	correct?
21	Q So ultimately you make any final	21	A I would highly assume so.
22	decisions about the company?	22	Q And Mr. DiOrio?
23	A Correct.	23	A I would highly assume so.
24		24	
25		25	A I don't know about Ms. Irving, because

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

9 (33 to 36)

Conducte	d on July 29, 2021
3	3 35
1 I don't know what Ms. Irving's ability to work	1 wouldn't consider it as operating out of NexBank's
2 looks like in the future.	2 offices.
Q And what about Mr. Leventon?	3 BY MR. CLUBOK:
4 A I would highly assume so.	4 Q Have any Skyview employees given the
5 Q Highly assume that he would be slated	5 NexBank offices as their business address as far
6 to get equity when you figure out a plan for	6 as you know?
7 distributing equity to employees; correct?	7 A I have no idea what they've given as a
8 A I agree.	8 business address.
9 Q When did you get the idea to form	9 Q When you worked out agreements with
10 Skyview?	10 your clients, did you ever include a business
11 A Five years ago.	11 address for Skyview Group in any of those
12 Q And did you make efforts to make	12 agreements?
13 Skyview a reality prior to leaving Highland	13 A I haven't been involved in working out
14 Capital Management?	14 those agreements.
MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.	15 Q Has Skyview ever represented to any
16 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, several times.	16 clients that its business address was the NexBank
17 BY MR. CLUBOK:	17 office?
18 Q And so you'd been planning to form	18 A I
19 Skyview during the last year of working at	MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.
20 Highland Capital Management, at least; correct?	20 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I don't know.
21 A Yes, for many years before that.	21 BY MR. CLUBOK:
22 Q In fact, you incorporated just two days	Q If they did so, that would be false;
23 after you were fired from Highland Capital	23 correct?
24 Management?	24 MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.
25 MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.	25 THE WITNESS: That would be false, in
3	4 36
1 THE WITNESS: I don't know when the	1 my opinion, yes.
2 entity was incorporated.	2 BY MR. CLUBOK:
3 BY MR. CLUBOK:	3 Q So if a Skyview employee was
4 Q Fair to say it was very shortly after	4 representing that his or her business address was
5 you were terminated from Highland Capital	5 the NexBank office, that would be a false
6 Management?	6 statement; correct?
7 A That would be my assumption, yes.	7 MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.
8 Q Does Jim Dondero have any sort of	8 THE WITNESS: To me, it would be
9 economic stake in Skyview, in directly or	9 because I don't consider that where Skyview's
10 indirectly?	10 offices are since a very small number of
11 A No.	11 employees, as far as I know, worked there on an ad
12 Q What is Mr. Dondero's relationship to	12 hoc basis.
13 Skyview, if any?	13 BY MR. CLUBOK:
MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.	Q Do you have any other employment
15 THE WITNESS: Mr. Dondero's entity is	15 currently? And I apologize if I asked that
16 related to Helm (inaudible) or some of the	16 already, but
17 contractual clients.	A I do not. You already asked, and I do
18 BY MR. CLUBOK:	18 not.
19 Q And Skyview is currently operating out	Q Do you have any other source of income,
20 of NexBank's offices; correct?	20 other than the income you get from Skyview Group?
21 MS. SMITH: Objection as to form. He's	A Well, I have shares in the REITs that
22 already explained that multiple times.	22 are granted by the independent board members to
23 THE WITNESS: Skyview employees on an	23 myself. But I don't know if you would consider
24 ad hoc basis work in the NexBank offices.	24 that income or not.
I don't know how many, but I certainly	25 Q The REITs that Skyview Group manages?
	NET DEDOC

10 (37 to 40)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
37	39
1 A Skyview Group does not manage anything.	A I believe it's an entity that holds
2 Q All right. Are these REITs that are	2 claims that the former Highland employees
3 clients of Skyview Group?	3 potentially have against the debtor.
4 A NexPoint Advisors	Q Did you assign your claim to CPCM?
5 MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.	5 A I believe I did.
6 THE WITNESS: Sorry, Frances, I keep	6 Q For what consideration?
7 stepping on you, I apologize.	7 A My employment.
8 NexPoint Advisors is the investment	8 Q Your employment with whom?
9 manager that manages the REIT on our part.	9 A My employment with Skyview.
10 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
11 Q And is NexPoint Advisors a part of	
12 Skyview Group?	12 Q And you had to assign your claim to
13 A Yes.	13 CPCM as in order to get a job with a company
14 Q And you are allocated shares in the	14 you fully owned?
15 REITs that NexPoint Advisors manages; correct?	15 A No.
16 A Yes. And by the independent board	Q Okay. So was there any consideration
17 members, as they see fit.	17 at all for the assignment of your claim to CPCM?
18 Q Do you have any other source of income?	MS. SMITH: Objection to form. He's
19 A No.	19 already answered that.
20 Q As a rough percentage, what do you	20 (No response)
21 expect your Skyview Group income to be in terms of	21 BY MR. CLUBOK:
22 your total income as vis-a-vis the REITs?	Q Was there any consideration at all for
MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.	23 the assignment of your claim to CPCM?
24 Again, none of this is relevant to the topics of	24 A I believe it was my employment with
25 the deposition.	25 Skyview.
38	40
1 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know how to	Q But that employment you had the right
2 calculate that because they could give me zero or	2 to give yourself, regardless of whether or not you
3 they could give me ad infinitum shares. But in	3 assigned your claim to CPCM as a hundred percent
4 looking historically, it would be less than	4 owner of Skyview; correct?
5 probably I don't know, I'd have to do the math,	5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
6 but about 10 or 15 percent. But, again, it's not	6 THE WITNESS: Theoretically, yes.
7 necessarily income.	7 BY MR. CLUBOK:
The state of the s	
8 Q Are there any subsidiaries of Skyview	8 Q Not just theoretically, actually, as
9 Group?	9 far as you know.
10 A I would I would have to have	As far as you understand, owning a
11 somebody update the org chart. I know that that's	11 company a hundred percent, you certainly had the
12 been considered, but I don't know what's been	12 right to employ yourself in any capacity you
13 implemented.	13 chose; correct?
14 Q Have you ever heard of an entity called	14 A Yeah, I would agree with that.
15 Skyview Legal PC?	15 Q And is there any other consideration
16 A I know that something was being	16 at all that you could identify, even a peppercorn,
17 discussed about a legal PC. I don't know what the	17 for the transfer or assignment of your claims to
18 gentlemen working on it decided to name it or if	18 CPCM?
19 it's been implemented.	10 A I don't remember the agreements in
19 It's been impremented.	19 A I don't remember the agreements in
20 Q Who's the gentlemen working on it?	20 detail. I'd have to look at the agreements
Q Who's the gentlemen working on it?	20 detail. I'd have to look at the agreements
<ul> <li>Q Who's the gentlemen working on it?</li> <li>A I believe JP Seery and Isaac Leventon</li> </ul>	20 detail. I'd have to look at the agreements 21 relating to (inaudible) to see what (inaudible)
<ul> <li>Q Who's the gentlemen working on it?</li> <li>A I believe JP Seery and Isaac Leventon</li> <li>were the people in charge of that.</li> <li>Q Do you know what CPCM LLC is?</li> </ul>	20 detail. I'd have to look at the agreements 21 relating to (inaudible) to see what (inaudible) 22 was considered.
Q Who's the gentlemen working on it?  1 A I believe JP Seery and Isaac Leventon  were the people in charge of that.  Q Do you know what CPCM LLC is?	<ul> <li>20 detail. I'd have to look at the agreements</li> <li>21 relating to (inaudible) to see what (inaudible)</li> <li>22 was considered.</li> <li>23 Q But as you sit here today, you are not</li> </ul>

Conducted of	n July 29, 2021	
41	43	3
1 some.	1 A I see that written on the document,	
Q Well, we're going to put up Exhibit 1.	2 yes.	
3 By the way, do you have exhibits in front of you?	Q And on page 2, it says, 'For value	
4 A I have a folder of (inaudible) yes,	4 received, the adequacy and sufficiency of which	
6 Q Okay. Take a look at Exhibit 1.	6 unconditionally and irrevocably sold, transferred,	
7 A Give me a second to find it.	7 assigned to CPCM," et cetera, et cetera.	
8 Q Sorry. It's I said Exhibit 1.	8 Do you see that?	
9 It is Tab actually 1, and it is going	9 A I do.	
10 to be Exhibit 86. I apologize.	10 Q And as far as you sit here today, the	
11 (Deposition Exhibit 86 was marked for	11 only consideration you can think of is the	
12 identification.)	12 employment you gave yourself at Skyview Group;	
13 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 86. Okay.	13 correct?	
14 BY MR. CLUBOK:	14 A And, again, I'd have to see the	
15 Q You may or may not have that one in	15 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.	
16 your	16 THE WITNESS: I'd have to see all	
17 A I believe that they end at 84.	17 the the related documents. But that's	
18 Q Okay. So we'll put up tab or	18 that's what I consider the consideration without	
19 Exhibit 86 on the screen.	19 looking at the documents.	
20 Exhibit 86 is a Notice of Transfer of	20 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
21 Claim Other Than for Security by Scott Ellington	21 Q What documents would you have to look	
22 to CPCM.	22 at?	
23 A Okay.	23 A I don't know what's out there related	
24 MS. SMITH: Andy, since we are not	24 to this. I'm being shown two pages. I wasn't	
25 copied on the exhibits, could someone please load	25 involved in negotiation or drafting of these. I	
42	44	4
1 them into the chat?	1 don't know what else is relatable.	
2 MR. CLUBOK: Yes. We'll start to make	2 Q Were you involved in any negotiation of	
3 them		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
4 Shannon, maybe you can circulate them	4 individual?	
5 at the same time that we put them up on the	5 A No.	
6 screen.	6 Q Were you involved at all in the	
7 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Certainly.	7 approval of those transfers?	
8 MR. CLUBOK: Thank you.	8 A No.	
9 BY MR. CLUBOK:	9 Q Did you have any involvement at all?	
10 Q And Mr. Ellington, can you	10 A None.	
11 MR. CLUBOK: Let's see.	11 Q You just who told you about it?	
	12 A I believe counsel.	
1 2	1	
13 REMOTE TECHNICIAN: Yes, I will I	Q You learned about the transfers through	
14 will circulate the document in chat. Or actually,	14 counsel and no other no other source?	
15 Shannon has already done	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
MR. CLUBOK: Can you put the document	16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes, at the time I	
17 on the screen, or maybe it's up there and I just	17 was under a restraining order, so I had very	
18 don't see it.	18 limited communication with anyone, but counsel.	
19 REMOTE TECHNICIAN: Yes. Thank you,	19 Q Do you know whose idea it was to	
20 one moment.	20 transfer the claims to the CPCM?	
21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	21 MS. SMITH: Objection.	
22 Q So this is the do you recognize	22 THE WITNESS: No, I don't.	
23 Exhibit 86 as the Notice of Transfer of Claim	MS. SMITH: Do not answer to the extent	
24 Other Than for Security with respect to yourself,	24 it calls for privileged information.	
25 as the transferor and CPCM as the transferee?	25 THE WITNESS: I do not know.	

45	July 29, 2021	47
1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	4/
2 Q Did you ever discuss it with anyone	2 Q Are you aware of anyone else they	
3 other than your counsel?	3 represent?	
4 A No.	4 A I believe they represent Mr. Waterhouse	
5 Q CPCM is wholly owned by Skyview Group?	5 and potentially Mr. Collins. I believe them or a	
6 A I'd have to look at an org chart or	6 subset of them represents Skyview as an entity,	
7 related documents. I'm not certain, but that's my	7 but I don't know that for a fact as I haven't seen	
8 understanding.	8 those engagement letters with my own eyes.	
9 Q So you have all the economic interest	9 Q Who hired them?	
10 in CPCM; correct?	10 A Who hired whom?	
11 A Again, I'd have to look at how it was	Q Who hired Ross and Smith to represent	
12 structured.	12 you and your colleagues?	
13 I don't I just know anecdotally what	13 A Well, I personally hired them to	
14 I was told.	14 represent me. I would assume each individual	
Q As far as you know sitting here today,	15 hired them on their own behalf.	
16 can you are you aware of anyone else who has	Q And when you hired them, did you you	
17 any other economic interest in CPCM other than	17 worked out no payment arrangements with them; you	
18 you?	18 just hired them and didn't have any compensation	
A No, I think it's a wholly-owned sub of	19 worked out?	
20 Skyview, but, again, I'd have to look at the	20 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
21 documents to be certain.	THE WITNESS: The payor of the bills,	
22 Q CPCM is represented by Ross and Smith	22 as far as I understand, are through various	
23 and Baker MacKenzie.	23 indemnities and insurance policies with entities	
A That's my understanding, yes.	24 and insurers. But, again, that's not I'm not	
Q And these are the attorneys who are	25 the person that processes or pays the bills, so I	
46		48
1 sitting here today with you during this	1 don't know how they're being paid.	
2 deposition?	2 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
A Yes.	3 Q When you when you said you were	
4 Q And Mr. Sevilla, Mr. Leventon,	4 going to work with Ms. Smith, did you discuss	
5 Mr. DiOrio and Ms. Lucas/Irving also shared that	5 compensation in any way of who would pay for it?	
6 same set of counsel; correct?	MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged.	
7 A That's my understanding, yes.	7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think that's	
8 Q Who is paying the legal fees for Ross	8 getting into privileged communications.	
9 and Smith?	9 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
10 A I'm not certain.	10 Q Do you have any idea who is paying	
11 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	11 Ms. Smith's bills to represent you and your	
12 BY MR. CLUBOK:	12 colleagues?	
13 Q You have Ross and Smith, Ms. Smith	13 A Currently, no.	
14 has been here object making these objections.	Q Did you ever have any idea as to who	
15 She has got a colleague of hers also sitting on	15 was going to be paying Ms. Smith's bills to	
16 this deposition, and you have no idea who's paying 17 their bills?	16 represent you, your colleagues and the company you 17 100 percent own?	
18 A No, I don't.	18 A Yes.	
19 Q Who else do they represent, other than	19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
20 you, CPCM, Mr. Sevilla, Mr. Leventon and Mr.	20 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
21 DiOrio and Ms. Irving?	21 Q And who and what was your	
22 A No, I don't.	22 understanding?	
144 A 110, I WH t.	22 understanding:	
	23 A At the incention I haliava it was an	
23 MS. SMITH: Objection objection,	23 A At the inception, I believe it was an	
	23 A At the inception, I believe it was an 24 entity called Gov Re. 25 Q So when you first hired Ms. Smith, you	

Conducted of	•
49	51
believe that Gov Re would pay all of her legal	1 A No.
2 fees for representing you and your colleagues and	2 Q All the who hired Baker MacKenzie,
3 Skyview Group?	3 as far as you know?
4 A That's my understanding, but I don't	4 A For whom as a client?
5 have any transparency in the operations of Gov Re.	5 Q For you.
6 Q Who runs Gov Re?	6 A Me.
7 A I don't know.	7 Q Who hired Baker MacKenzie for all the
8 Q What connection	8 other employees?
9 (overspeaking)	9 MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.
10 A Bermuda Directors (?) would be my	10 THE WITNESS: I assume them as
11 assumption.	11 individuals?
Q What connection do you have with Gov	12 BY MR. CLUBOK:
13 Re?	13 Q Yeah, who hired Baker MacKenzie for
14 A None.	14 your other well, strike that.
Q What made you think that Gov Re would	15 Is it fair to say that Baker MacKenzie
16 pay the bills for you and all your colleagues and	16 represents all the same entities and individuals
17 your company?	17 that we identified as being represented by Ross
18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	18 Smith, as far as you know?
19 THE WITNESS: Because they had an	19 A Oh, I don't I don't know how that's
20 insurance policy that covered us.	20 broken down.
21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	21 Q Well, does Baker MacKenzie represent
22 Q Through what entity?	22 Skyview Group and CPCM?
	23 A I believe so.
	24 Q Does Baker MacKenzie represent Mr.
24 Q Who is Mr. Collins, I think you 25 mentioned?	
	25 Sevilla, Mr. Leventon, Mr. DiOrio and Ms. Irving?
1 A Brian Collins, he's the chief	1 A That's my understanding, but I have not
	2 seen those engagement letters.
<ul> <li>administrative officer of Skyview.</li> <li>Q Was he a former Highland Capital</li> </ul>	3 Q And who arranged for them to represent
4 Management employee?  5 A He was.	4 all of those individuals other than 5 MS. SMITH: Objection objection
15 A DEWAS.	15 IVIS. SIVILLE: ODIECTION ODIECTION
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the	6 speculation.
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were?	<ul> <li>6 speculation.</li> <li>7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as</li> </ul>
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as individuals.
<ul> <li>Q Was he also terminated on or about the</li> <li>same time you were?</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I believe he was</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>speculation.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I would assume them as individuals.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> </ul>
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a	<ul> <li>6 speculation.</li> <li>7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as</li> <li>8 individuals.</li> <li>9 BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>10 Q And and is was paying Baker</li> </ul>
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later.	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills?
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK:	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point.
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q When you were terminated, were you told	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation
<ul> <li>Q Was he also terminated on or about the</li> <li>same time you were?</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I believe he was</li> <li>terminated with the rest of the employees about a</li> <li>month later.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q When you were terminated, were you told</li> <li>why you were being terminated from HCM?</li> </ul>	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q When you were terminated, were you told 14 why you were being terminated from HCM? 15 A Yes.	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well?
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q When you were terminated, were you told 14 why you were being terminated from HCM? 15 A Yes. 16 Q What is were you told?	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well? 16 A That was my understanding.
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q When you were terminated, were you told 14 why you were being terminated from HCM? 15 A Yes. 16 Q What is were you told? 17 A I was told by Mr. Seery that I had	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well? 16 A That was my understanding. 17 Q Has anyone from Gov Re ever
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q When you were terminated, were you told 14 why you were being terminated from HCM? 15 A Yes. 16 Q What is were you told? 17 A I was told by Mr. Seery that I had 18 worked against the estate.	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well? 16 A That was my understanding. 17 Q Has anyone from Gov Re ever 18 communicated to you in words or substance that
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q When you were terminated, were you told 14 why you were being terminated from HCM? 15 A Yes. 16 Q What is were you told? 17 A I was told by Mr. Seery that I had 18 worked against the estate. 19 Q Anything else?	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well? 16 A That was my understanding. 17 Q Has anyone from Gov Re ever 18 communicated to you in words or substance that 19 they would not be paying the legal fees incurred
<ul> <li>Q Was he also terminated on or about the</li> <li>same time you were?</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I believe he was</li> <li>terminated with the rest of the employees about a</li> <li>month later.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q When you were terminated, were you told</li> <li>why you were being terminated from HCM?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q What is were you told?</li> <li>A I was told by Mr. Seery that I had</li> <li>worked against the estate.</li> <li>Q Anything else?</li> <li>A Not that I recall.</li> </ul>	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well? 16 A That was my understanding. 17 Q Has anyone from Gov Re ever 18 communicated to you in words or substance that 19 they would not be paying the legal fees incurred 20 by hiring Baker MacKenzie or Ross Smith?
<ul> <li>Q Was he also terminated on or about the</li> <li>same time you were?</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I believe he was</li> <li>terminated with the rest of the employees about a</li> <li>month later.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q When you were terminated, were you told</li> <li>why you were being terminated from HCM?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q What is were you told?</li> <li>A I was told by Mr. Seery that I had</li> <li>worked against the estate.</li> <li>Q Anything else?</li> <li>A Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q What did you say in response?</li> </ul>	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well? 16 A That was my understanding. 17 Q Has anyone from Gov Re ever 18 communicated to you in words or substance that 19 they would not be paying the legal fees incurred 20 by hiring Baker MacKenzie or Ross Smith? 21 A No.
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q When you were terminated, were you told 14 why you were being terminated from HCM? 15 A Yes. 16 Q What is were you told? 17 A I was told by Mr. Seery that I had 18 worked against the estate. 19 Q Anything else? 20 A Not that I recall. 21 Q What did you say in response? 22 A "I don't know what you're talking	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well? 16 A That was my understanding. 17 Q Has anyone from Gov Re ever 18 communicated to you in words or substance that 19 they would not be paying the legal fees incurred 20 by hiring Baker MacKenzie or Ross Smith? 21 A No. 22 Q Who handles making sure that those
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q When you were terminated, were you told 14 why you were being terminated from HCM? 15 A Yes. 16 Q What is were you told? 17 A I was told by Mr. Seery that I had 18 worked against the estate. 19 Q Anything else? 20 A Not that I recall. 21 Q What did you say in response? 22 A "I don't know what you're talking 23 about. Can you please tell me give me an	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well? 16 A That was my understanding. 17 Q Has anyone from Gov Re ever 18 communicated to you in words or substance that 19 they would not be paying the legal fees incurred 20 by hiring Baker MacKenzie or Ross Smith? 21 A No. 22 Q Who handles making sure that those 23 firms get paid?
6 Q Was he also terminated on or about the 7 same time you were? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe he was 10 terminated with the rest of the employees about a 11 month later. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q When you were terminated, were you told 14 why you were being terminated from HCM? 15 A Yes. 16 Q What is were you told? 17 A I was told by Mr. Seery that I had 18 worked against the estate. 19 Q Anything else? 20 A Not that I recall. 21 Q What did you say in response? 22 A "I don't know what you're talking	6 speculation. 7 THE WITNESS: I would assume them as 8 individuals. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And and is was paying Baker 11 MacKenzie's bills? 12 A I don't know at this point. 13 Q Was it originally your anticipation 14 that Gov Re would pay Baker MacKenzie's bills as 15 well? 16 A That was my understanding. 17 Q Has anyone from Gov Re ever 18 communicated to you in words or substance that 19 they would not be paying the legal fees incurred 20 by hiring Baker MacKenzie or Ross Smith? 21 A No. 22 Q Who handles making sure that those

#### Conducted on July 29, 2021 55 THE WITNESS: It's an assumption, Countrywide? Mr. Waterhouse. 2 A It would have been about 2002, I BY MR. CLUBOK: 3 believe. Q Did you discuss with any individual Q Okay. Going back to your employment with HCM, when you first started there, what was other than your -- the lawyers assigning any claims to Skyview Group? your job title? -- (overspeaking) --A In-house counsel. 8 A Assigning --Q And eventually you became the general Q Excuse me -- (overspeaking) -counsel? 10 assigning things with CPCM? 10 A Yes. A No, only discussed with counsel. Q When was that? 11 Q When were you first employed by A I'd have to go back and look. I don't 12 13 Highland Capital Management? 13 recall. But I believe it was around 2010. A May of 2007. Q So, after the -- you remember that UBS Q How did you start working for Highland 15 filed a lawsuit against Highland in roughly 15 16 2000 -- in early 2009? 16 Capital Management? A I was in the syndications group, the 17 A Yes. 18 real estate group of Wells Fargo. Highland bought 18 MS. SMITH: Objection -- objection. 19 into those syndicated levels, and they asked me to 19 Before you start this line of questioning, I want 20 come interview to work in-house with them. 20 to caution you, Mr. Ellington, not to disclose any 21 Q Prior to Wells Fargo, where did you 21 privileged communications with counsel that you 2.2 work? 22 might have gotten in your role as Highland Capital 23 A Countrywide Home Loans. 23 GC, unless the debtor -- or I will rely on the 24 24 debtor to assert privilege, if needed. Q Doing what? 25 MR. FEINSTEIN: Well, yes. It is Rob A In the syndications group, and in the 25 54 56 -- ultimately in the bankruptcy group. 1 Feinstein. So that privilege does belong to the Q Doing legal work? debtor and the extent to which we assert the 2 A Yes. privilege, you will know on a question-by-question 4 Q Prior to -- sorry, Countrywide, you 4 5 said? 5 If you don't hear an objection from us, 6 A Yeah, Countrywide Home Loans. 6 it's because we determined either the privilege Q What did you do prior to working at doesn't apply or one of the recognized exceptions Countrywide? apply, like the crime fraud exception, or that 9 we're waiving it. But in all events, we'll assert A I worked at a talent agency in Los 10 Angeles. 10 the privilege as and if we see fit; otherwise, Q In what capacity? 11 counsel should feel free to answer the question --11 A It was an assistant. 12 excuse me, the witness should feel free to answer 12 13 Q What year? 13 the questions. 14 A The year would have been starting in 14 THE WITNESS: Mr. Feinstein, thank you. 15 2000. 15 BY MR. CLUBOK: Q So when did you graduate law school? 16 16 Q Do you understand? 17 A 2000. 17 Q And your first job was as an assistant 18 Q Do you understand the consent? 19 at a talent agency. 19 A Yes. 20 20 So you became general counsel after UBS 21 Q Why did you do that? 21 filed its lawsuit against Highland in New York? A Because to get into the top talent 23 agencies, you had to have a law degree with an Q And in addition to being general 23 24 MBA, and I wanted to work in the film industry. 24 counsel, were you a partner in Highland Capital

25 Management?

25

Q Okay. And when did you move to

	13dfy 29, 2021
1 A Ultimately, yes.	1 A Reported to Mr. Surgent.
1 A Ultimately, yes. 2 Q When was that?	2 Q When he was the chief compliance
3 A I don't remember the date.	3 officer?
4 Q Roughly?	4 A Yeah, they they were in
5 A I want to say around '13, maybe '12	5 compliance-based roles at the end of my tenure.
6 2012 or 2013.	6 Q When Mr. Surgent was the chief
Q Okay. And you remained a partner until	7 compliance officer, who did he report to?
the bankruptcy?	8 A Jim Dondero.
9 A Until my termination, yes.	9 Q Was there anyone else in the Highland
10 Q Until your termination.	10 Capital Management legal department, other than
And did you remain general counsel	11 the names you've identified?
12 until your termination?	12 A Sarah Bell, my executive assistant,
13 A Yes.	13 reported to me. I believe she may have reported
14 Q Who did you report to at Highland?	14 to Mr. Collins. I believe she reported to
15 A Jim Dondero.	15 Mr. Collins, technically.
16 Q Where did you work?	16 Q Anyone else in the Highland Capital
17 A In the offices at the Crescent.	17 Management legal department?
18 Q Were you physically near Jim Dondero,	18 A Not that I can recall.
19 your office?	19 Q Prior to becoming general counsel, were
20 A No, I was not physically near Dondero.	20 you the assistant general counsel?
21 Q Same floor?	21 A I was.
22 A Same floor, yes. There was only one	22 Q And did you ever hold a title of
23 floor.	23 portfolio manager?
24 Q And you had your own private office?	24 A I did.
25 A I did.	25 Q When?
58	60
1 Q And you spoke with Mr. Dondero on an	1 A I'd have to go back and think about
2 average of a daily basis?	2 that, Mr. Clubok. I apologize. But it was, I
3 A Yes.	3 want to say, '08 and '09, maybe in '10.
4 MS. SMITH: Objection as to form.	4 Q When you communicated with Mr. Dondero,
5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Frances.	5 is it fair to say you you communicated
6 Yes.	6 verbally, like in-person?
7 BY MR. CLUBOK:	7 A I communicated verbally in-person as
8 Q Did anyone report to you directly at	8 well as telephonically.
9 Highland Capital Management?	9 Q How about by text message?
10 A Yes.	10 A Very limited.
11 Q Who?	11 Q When you would text message with
12 A I'll try my best to give an exhaustive	12 Mr. Dondero, which phone would you use?
13 least.	13 A 214-649-5475.
14 Thomas certainly reported to me in his	14 Q Did you use any other messenger systems
15 deputy general counsel role, but not in his chief	15 to communicates with Mr. Dondero, like
16 compliance officer role.	16 A No.
JP Sevilla, Ms. Irving, Mr. DiOrio,	17 Q Did you ever use signal or What's App
18 Ms. Vitiello, Ms. Leventon I mean, Mr.	18 or any other text messaging?
19 Leventon, sorry. I think that was the direct	19 A No, I exclusively communicated with
20 reports upon determination, but at different	20 Mr. Dondero on iMessage.
21 times, it's been various other people.	21 Q IMessage on your iPhone?
Q What about Lauren Thedford?	22 A Yes.
A She did not report to me. She reported	Q Did he have an iPhone?
24 to Mr. Surgent.	24 A Yes.
25 Q And what about Jason Post?	25 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

16 (61 to 64)

Conducted o	Conducted on July 29, 2021			
61	63			
1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	1 Q So I can get it clean for the record.			
2 Q Did you ever email with him?	2 And when you say it's like if I said			
3 A Yeah, there was there was emails	3 it's not raining range outside and you said "No,"			
4 with Mr. Dondero.	4 you're meaning yes, it's not raining, but it says			
5 Q Who set your compensation at HCM?	5 no. So, anyway, I'm just going to ask you that			
6 A I believe there was a compensation	6 again just not to make you repeat, but just so you			
7 committee, but the ultimate arbiter was	7 can answer. Whatever your answer is I don't care.			
8 Mr. Dondero.	8 I just want to			
9 Q Did you have responsibilities for any	9 A Yes, I understand that.			
10 other HCM-affiliated or managed entities while you	10 Q Okay. So is it true that other than			
11 were the general counsel at Highland Capital	11 the NexPoint advisor-managed REITs in which you			
12 Management?	12 were granted shares, there was never a Highland			
13 A I believe that I was a managing member	13 Capital Management affiliate or managed fund that			
14 or officers of various entities at different	14 paid you directly while you were working at HCM;			
15 times.	15 correct?			
16 Q Did any of those entities separately	16 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.			
17 compensate you for the work you did?	17 THE WITNESS: That is my understanding,			
18 A No.	18 yes.			
19 Q So all of the compensation you received	19 BY MR. CLUBOK:			
20 came even if you did it on behalf of some of	20 Q When you were at Highland, you used			
21 these other entities came directly from Highland	21 email addresses that ended in "hcmlp.com" and			
22 Capital Management LP?	22 "highlandcapital.com?"			
23 A Yes.	23 A Yes.			
Q Was there ever a time when one of	24 Q Did you ever use any other email to			
25 Highland's affiliated or managed funds paid you	25 conduct any business for Highland or any of its			
62	64			
1 directly?	1 affiliates?			
2 A Again, only with	2 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.			
3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	3 THE WITNESS: For Highland or its			
4 THE WITNESS: Again, only with the	4 affiliates, no.			
5 exception of the NexPoint Advisor-managed REITs	5 MR. CLUBOK: I'm sorry. What was the			
6 when I was granted shares.	6 form objection to that, Ms. Smith?			
7 BY MR. CLUBOK:	7 MS. SMITH: That was a that was a			
8 Q So other than NexPoint Advisor-managed	8 compound question.			
9 REITs in which you were granted shares, there was	9 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. I'm trying to			
10 never a Highland Capital Management affiliate or	10 one second here. My wife just nicely brought me a			
11 managed fund that paid you directly; is that	11 cup of coffee.			
12 correct?	MS. SMITH: I wish I had someone			
13 A That paid me directly, no.	13 bringing me coffee.			
14 Q Is that correct?	MR. CLUBOK: It's very nice. You guys			
15 A That's what that's my belief, yes.	15 may regret that I have coffee. I don't know.			
16 Q And by the way, that was an example of,	Sorry, let me back to it.			
17 I think, a double negative.	17 BY MR. CLUBOK:			
The only reason I reiterated that	18 Q When you conducted business for			
10 The only reason frenchates that	16 Q When you conducted business for			
19 question is if I say if you say I said was	19 Highland Capital Management, did you ever use any			
· ·				
19 question is if I say if you say I said was 20 there I said was there never.	19 Highland Capital Management, did you ever use any 20 other email, other than the HCMLP.com or the			
<ul> <li>19 question is if I say if you say I said was</li> <li>20 there I said was there never.</li> <li>21 I put in a negative. And you said</li> </ul>	19 Highland Capital Management, did you ever use any 20 other email, other than the HCMLP.com or the 21 HighlandCapital.com?			
<ul> <li>19 question is if I say if you say I said was</li> <li>20 there I said was there never.</li> <li>21 I put in a negative. And you said</li> <li>22 "No," and so it became a little confusing. So</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>19 Highland Capital Management, did you ever use any</li> <li>20 other email, other than the HCMLP.com or the</li> <li>21 HighlandCapital.com?</li> <li>22 A No, not for business related to</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>19 question is if I say if you say I said was</li> <li>20 there I said was there never.</li> <li>21 I put in a negative. And you said</li> <li>22 "No," and so it became a little confusing. So</li> <li>23 I am just going to ask that question again without</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>19 Highland Capital Management, did you ever use any</li> <li>20 other email, other than the HCMLP.com or the</li> <li>21 HighlandCapital.com?</li> <li>22 A No, not for business related to</li> <li>23 Highland Capital Management.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>19 question is if I say if you say I said was</li> <li>20 there I said was there never.</li> <li>21 I put in a negative. And you said</li> <li>22 "No," and so it became a little confusing. So</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>19 Highland Capital Management, did you ever use any</li> <li>20 other email, other than the HCMLP.com or the</li> <li>21 HighlandCapital.com?</li> <li>22 A No, not for business related to</li> </ul>			

#### Conducted on July 29, 2021 67 any other business-related purpose? A OG Ventures? No. Q Were you surprised when you were hired from Highland Capital Management? Q And what emails -- what email or emails were that? A Yes. A WWWSA -- or my name, sorry, 5 MS. SMITH: Objection as to form. "sasmgt.com." 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Under what circumstances would you use 7 Q Did you have a chance to clean out your the sasmanagement.com email? 8 office? A In things related to SAS or Sentinel or 10 its related entities. 10 Q Have you performed any services for any 11 HCM-related entities since your termination? 11 Q Why? A Because we were instructed by A Other than in my employment with 13 compliance that all aspects of those businesses 13 Skyview, but I don't know that it's considered 14 should be conducted on their own servers and 14 related anymore due to the bankruptcy. 15 completely separate from Highland Capital Q When was the last time you spoke with 16 Management LP. 16 Jim Dondero? Q Okay, other than that email address and 17 A About 32 days ago. 18 the Highland-related email addresses that you've 18 Q And prior to that, how frequently were 19 already mentioned, was there ever any other email 19 you speaking to him? 20 that you used for any business purposes while you 20 A Once every couple to three days. 21 were employed at Highland Capital Management? 21 Q When's the last time you spoke with 22 A Not that I recall. 2.2 Isaac Leventon? 23 Q Did you ever -- what is Blackland 23 A About 35 days ago. 24 Associates? Q And prior to that, how often did you A Consulting firm. 25 speak with Mr. Leventon? 66 68 Q When was that -- what -- does that have A Maybe a couple of times a week. any connection with Highland Capital Management? Q When's the last time you spoke with A None. JP Sevilla? Q Did you ever use emails with the A Close to 40 days ago, I believe. blacklandassociates.com? Q And how often did you speak to him prior to that? Did you ever -- what's your sister's A A couple of times a week. Q When was the last time you spoke with name. A I have two sisters. Matt DiOrio? 10 O What are their names? 10 A I would say about 30 days ago. A Sharon Ellington and Marcia Maslow. Q How often did you speak with him prior 11 11 Q Have either of those individuals ever 12 to that? 13 done any work in connection with Highland Capital A Two or three times a week. 13 14 Management? 14 Q When was the last time you spoke with 15 15 Ms. Irving? A My sister Marcia assisted on some IT

## 16 projects.

- Q Any other work that either of them ever
- 18 did in connection with your work at Highland
- 19 Capital Management?
- A My -- my other sister is an estate
- 21 planning attorney, and she's helping me with my
- 22 personal finances.
- 23 Q Anything else?
- 24 A Not that I -- not that I recall.
- 25 Q Have you ever heard of OG Ventures?

- 16 A Two plus months ago.
- 17 Q What did Ms. Irving do for
- 18 Skyview Group?
- A Ms. Irving has never worked for
- 20 Skyview Group because she is on medical leave.
- 21 Q Is Skyview Group paying her any
- 22 compensation at all for 20 -- for -- strike that.
- 23 Has Skyview Group agreed to pay her any
- 24 compensation at all for 2021?
- 25 A I have no idea.

PLANET DEPOS

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

18 (69 to 72)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
1 Q So Ms. Irving is currently not employed 2 by Skyview Group; is that correct? 3 MS. SMITH: Objection. Objection to 4 form. That misstates what he said. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know the 6 arrangement. I don't know how that FMLA works. I 7 just I just don't know enough about it. 8 That's handled by Mr. Collins. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Did you speak with so you've been in	1 July 29, 2021  1 Q When did you find out that you were 2 going to be deposed today? 3 A I found out I was going to be deposed 4 today, I believe, before I left. 5 My dad had a about a 6 ten-generational iPad that I would try to get 7 emails on, and I think I received some calendar 8 notices when I had Wi-Fi. 9 Q I'm sorry, you say that you found out 10 about today's deposition before you left for your
<ul> <li>11 Africa? You were on an extended trip to Africa</li> <li>12 for about a month or so?</li> <li>13 A Yeah, 26 days.</li> <li>14 Q And when did you return?</li> <li>15 A I returned about 30 hours ago, 36 hours</li> <li>16 ago, something like that.</li> <li>17 Q During the time who were you in</li> <li>18 Africa with?</li> </ul>	11 Africa trip?  12 A No. I said I knew I was going to be 13 deposed. I didn't know the date. 14 I was able to get some calendar invites 15 through a personal email account off my dad's 16 iPad, but it was incredibly difficult. 17 Q So you got a calendar invite for 18 today's deposition and no other information at all
19 A My father. 20 Q Just you and your father, that's it? 21 A Some of my family 22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 23 THE WITNESS: A subset of my family 24 members joined us for the for about ten days on 25 the beginning.	19 about today's deposition before you got back to 20 the US?  21 A Literally none. 22 Q Did you how did you spend yesterday?  23 A How did I spend yesterday?  24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 25 THE WITNESS: I went to AT&T to try to
BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q And during that time, other than your  attorney, did you communicate with anyone in the  U.S.?  A I don't believe so. And I didn't  communicate with my attorneys either, that I  recall.  Q You didn't communicate with your	<ol> <li>get my phones turned on, and then I had a</li> <li>discussion with counsel yesterday afternoon.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Did you do anything else related to</li> <li>this case yesterday?</li> <li>A Nothing.</li> <li>Q Do you have you have files of</li> <li>documents that you took from Highland Capital?</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>9 attorneys at all I don't want to get into the</li> <li>10 substance, but you didn't communicate with your</li> <li>11 attorneys at all during the time you were in</li> <li>12 Africa; is that true?</li> <li>13 A No, someone decided to politely I</li> <li>14 when I signed up for the international phone plan,</li> <li>15 what I was told by AT&amp;T is that the email went to</li> <li>16 my former executive admin at Highland and someone</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A No, I didn't.</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Strike that. You you have documents</li> <li>in your possession that are Highland Capital or</li> <li>related documents; correct?</li> <li>A That is</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> </ul>
17 at (inaudible) someone informed them they're to 18 turn off my phones. 19 Q So, you had absolutely no communication 20 with your attorneys during the time you were in 21 Africa; is that true? 22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't believe I had any 24 at all. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:	17 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect.  18 BY MR. CLUBOK:  19 Q Do you have any boxes that you  20 needed strike that.  21 Do you have any boxes in your  22 possession that potentially contain information  23 responsive to the document subpoena we issued in  24 this case?  25 MS. SMITH: Objection.

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

19 (73 to 76)

Conducted of	
73	75
1 THE WITNESS: No, I have moving boxes	1 Q Have you ever served as a direct as
2 where my former partner was packed up, and I had	2 a director of any other entity related in any way
3 personal notebooks that I searched through to see	3 to Highland Capital Management or Jim Dondero?
4 if there is anything relevant to this case. And I	4 A Not that I can recall.
5 don't have any documents that are Highland Capital	5 Q And so other than this one instance
6 Managements or otherwise else.	6 that you've described as a short stint, you've
7 BY MR. CLUBOK:	7 never actively engaged in the duties of a
8 Q But you didn't search those notebooks	8 director, as far as you know?
9 prior to leaving for Africa; correct?	9 A Yes.
10 A No, I did not.	10 Q Have you formed any other entities,
11 Q And you have not searched them since	11 other than Skyview and its subsidiaries?
12 you got back; correct?	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
13 A That is wrong.	MR. CLUBOK: Sorry, what's the
14 Q When did you search them?	14 objection to that question?
15 A The day I got back, I searched through	15 MS. SMITH: And what is the time period
16 the boxes until 3:00 o'clock in the morning until	16 and the relation to this matter.
17 I found them.	17 MR. CLUBOK: Okay.
18 Q And you found the notebooks, and they	18 BY MR. CLUBOK:
19 had absolutely nothing to do with anything	19 Q Have you formed any other entities
20 requested in the subpoena; is that correct?	20 other than Skyview and its subsidiaries?
21 A No, there was absolutely nothing.	21 A I I don't understand how I can
The notebooks were only a few months	22 answer that, Mr. Clubok, because in my role as
23 old. I mean, they mostly went back to December.	23 Highland, I was involved in forming numerous
	24 entities. I've formed entities for my own estate
24 Q Do you currently sit on the board of	The state of the s
25 any companies?	25 and tax planning on advice of counsel, so
74	76
11 A Sit on the board of any companies?	1 I'm I'm twing my host to answer that
1 A Sit on the board of any companies? 2 I believe Letill may be on a real estate.	1 I'm I'm trying my best to answer that.
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate	2 Maybe you if you could give me a
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint	2 Maybe you if you could give me a 3 timeframe or a limitation.
<ul> <li>2 I believe I still may be on a real estate</li> <li>3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint</li> <li>4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if</li> </ul>	2 Maybe you if you could give me a 3 timeframe or a limitation. 4 Q How about since you became general
<ul> <li>I believe I still may be on a real estate</li> <li>transaction that was relative to the NexPoint</li> <li>Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if</li> <li>I'm still on the board there or not.</li> </ul>	2 Maybe you if you could give me a 3 timeframe or a limitation. 4 Q How about since you became general 5 counsel of Highland, are there any other entities,
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else?	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  When the counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that
<ul> <li>I believe I still may be on a real estate</li> <li>transaction that was relative to the NexPoint</li> <li>Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if</li> <li>I'm still on the board there or not.</li> <li>Q Anything else?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  When about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities,  other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that  you've formed where you are the sole owner?
<ul> <li>I believe I still may be on a real estate</li> <li>transaction that was relative to the NexPoint</li> <li>Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if</li> <li>I'm still on the board there or not.</li> <li>Q Anything else?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever served as a director for</li> </ul>	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities,  other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that  you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital	Maybe you if you could give me a timeframe or a limitation.  Understand the property of the pr
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero?	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  When about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that  you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or  tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or  investment in these.
<ul> <li>I believe I still may be on a real estate</li> <li>transaction that was relative to the NexPoint</li> <li>Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if</li> <li>I'm still on the board there or not.</li> <li>Q Anything else?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever served as a director for</li> <li>any company affiliated with Highland Capital</li> <li>Management or Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A That's</li> </ul>	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  When about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities,  other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that  you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or  tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or  investment in these.  I was involved in private investing
<ul> <li>I believe I still may be on a real estate</li> <li>transaction that was relative to the NexPoint</li> <li>Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if</li> <li>I'm still on the board there or not.</li> <li>Q Anything else?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever served as a director for</li> <li>any company affiliated with Highland Capital</li> <li>Management or Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A That's</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	Maybe you if you could give me a timeframe or a limitation.  Understand the solution of the so
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult	Maybe you if you could give me a timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that you've formed where you are the sole owner? A Again, other than relative to estate or tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or investment in these. I was involved in private investing with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or investment in these.  I was involved in private investing with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel.
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other	Maybe you if you could give me a timeframe or a limitation.  Uellow about since you became general counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that you've formed where you are the sole owner? A Again, other than relative to estate or tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or investment in these. I I was involved in private investing with my own firms.  Uellow What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel. Uellow Who formed Sentinel?
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities,  other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that  you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or  tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or  investment in these.  I was involved in private investing  with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel.  Q Who formed Sentinel?  A Maples and Calder.
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director 17 of an LLC like an SPV without my knowledge, but an	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities,  other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that  you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or  tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or  investment in these.  I was involved in private investing  with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel.  Who formed Sentinel?  A Maples and Calder.  Q Okay, you were an original equity owner
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director 17 of an LLC like an SPV without my knowledge, but an 18 actual board, no.	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or investment in these.  I was involved in private investing with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel.  Q Who formed Sentinel?  A Maples and Calder.  Q Okay, you were an original equity owner of Sentinel; correct?
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director 17 of an LLC like an SPV without my knowledge, but an 18 actual board, no. 19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	Maybe you if you could give me a timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that you've formed where you are the sole owner? A Again, other than relative to estate or tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or investment in these. I I was involved in private investing with my own firms. Q What about Sentinel? A I did not form Sentinel. Q Who formed Sentinel? A Maples and Calder. Q Okay, you were an original equity owner Sof Sentinel; correct? A I would have to look at the original
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director 17 of an LLC like an SPV without my knowledge, but an 18 actual board, no. 19 BY MR. CLUBOK: 20 Q So other than being just listed in some	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or investment in these.  I was involved in private investing with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel.  Q Who formed Sentinel?  A Maples and Calder.  Q Okay, you were an original equity owner of Sentinel; correct?
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director 17 of an LLC like an SPV without my knowledge, but an 18 actual board, no. 19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	Maybe you if you could give me a timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that you've formed where you are the sole owner? A Again, other than relative to estate or tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or investment in these. I I was involved in private investing with my own firms. Q What about Sentinel? A I did not form Sentinel. Q Who formed Sentinel? A Maples and Calder. Q Okay, you were an original equity owner Sof Sentinel; correct? A I would have to look at the original
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director 17 of an LLC like an SPV without my knowledge, but an 18 actual board, no. 19 BY MR. CLUBOK: 20 Q So other than being just listed in some	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities,  other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that  you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or  tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or  investment in these.  I was involved in private investing  with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel.  Q Who formed Sentinel?  A Maples and Calder.  Q Okay, you were an original equity owner  of Sentinel; correct?  A I would have to look at the original  documentations. I don't know how that was held or
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director 17 of an LLC like an SPV without my knowledge, but an 18 actual board, no. 19 BY MR. CLUBOK: 20 Q So other than being just listed in some 21 document without your knowledge, you've never	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities,  other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that  you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or  tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or  investment in these.  I was involved in private investing  with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel.  Q Who formed Sentinel?  A Maples and Calder.  Q Okay, you were an original equity owner  M I would have to look at the original  documentations. I don't know how that was held or  structured.
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director 17 of an LLC like an SPV without my knowledge, but an 18 actual board, no. 19 BY MR. CLUBOK: 20 Q So other than being just listed in some 21 document without your knowledge, you've never 22 served as a director for any	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or investment in these.  I was involved in private investing with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel.  Q Who formed Sentinel?  A Maples and Calder.  Q Okay, you were an original equity owner of Sentinel; correct?  A I would have to look at the original documentations. I don't know how that was held or structured.  Q Well, you had an equity stake in
2 I believe I still may be on a real estate 3 transaction that was relative to the NexPoint 4 Advise funds called "GEN," but I don't know if 5 I'm still on the board there or not. 6 Q Anything else? 7 A No. 8 Q Have you ever served as a director for 9 any company affiliated with Highland Capital 10 Management or Jim Dondero? 11 A That's 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That's a difficult 14 question to answer, as very often there are 15 entities formed and they'll put my name or other 16 senior employees' name as an officer or director 17 of an LLC like an SPV without my knowledge, but an 18 actual board, no. 19 BY MR. CLUBOK: 20 Q So other than being just listed in some 21 document without your knowledge, you've never 22 served as a director for any 23 A No, I think I was on I can't	Maybe you if you could give me a  timeframe or a limitation.  Q How about since you became general  counsel of Highland, are there any other entities, other than Skyview and its subsidiaries, that you've formed where you are the sole owner?  A Again, other than relative to estate or tax planning on advice of counsel, no, or investment in these.  I was involved in private investing with my own firms.  Q What about Sentinel?  A I did not form Sentinel.  Q Who formed Sentinel?  A Maples and Calder.  Q Okay, you were an original equity owner of Sentinel; correct?  A I would have to look at the original documentations. I don't know how that was held or structured.  Q Well, you had an equity stake in Sentinel; correct?

79 Q Yeah, ultimately. You have an economic 1 BY MR. CLUBOK: interest in Sentinel -- maybe through some other Q But you personally believe that you have -- whether it's directly or indirectly a entities, but you have an ultimate economic roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; interest in Sentinel; correct? A Potentially. I would have to look how correct? A That's related to me somehow, yes. that's held. 6 Q And what about an entity called SAS? Q And you personally, whether directly or indirectly, have a roughly 30 percent economic A Same. That was formed by Maples and Calder. interest in SAS; correct? 10 Q Formed by Maples and Calder. 10 A Again, in some way related to me, yes. But -- and that one you have all of the Q Are there any other entities that have 11 12 equity interest; correct? 12 been formed since you became general counsel of 13 Highland in which you have a economic interest 13 A Not true. 14 that you've not identified? MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 15 BY MR. CLUBOK: A No, not that I'm aware of, except for Q Roughly, how much of the equity 16 entities related to those two global monikers of 17 interest in SAS do you have? 17 SAS and Sentinel. A Again, it considers how it's held. I 18 Q What is Millennium Risk Management? 19 don't know that I hold any as an individual. But 19 A I have no idea. 20 what could be argued that I was the ultimate 20 O What is TT3 Partners? 21 beneficial or a beneficiary in some way, it would 21 A Never heard of it. 22 be 30 percent. 2.2. O What is BSN Ventures LLC? 23 Q And who has the other 70 percent of 23 A I don't know. 24 SAS? 24 Q Did you ever form any entity with 25 A Entities --25 Mr. Leventon? 78 80 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Entities related to BY MR. CLUBOK: 3 Mr. Dondero. O Strike that. BY MR. CLUBOK: 4 Was an entity ever formed that had you Q Okay. And is that same 30/70 and Mr. Leventon as the chief economic 6 proportion apply to Sentinel, as far as you know? beneficiaries, either directly or indirectly? A As far as I know. Again, I don't know A Not that I recall, no. 8 how Mr. Dondero holds that. He may not hold any Q How about same question with respect to Mr. DiOrio. 9 as an individual. And the structure has changed 9 10 so many times for -- at the -- at the request of 10 A No, not that I recall. 11 the Cayman International Monetary authorities, I Q Same question with respect to William 11 12 don't even know what it looks like. 12 T. Reid of Reid Collins. Q But without getting into the A No. No, that's not true. I'm involved 14 complicated structures -- and the court in this 14 in an entity with Mr. Reid and one of his partners 15 case has asked at some point about those -- you 15 on a deer lease outside of Austin, Texas. I 16 understand that the ultimate economic interest in 16 believe that's the BSN Ventures that you just 17 both Sentinel and SAS is split roughly 70/30 17 mentioned. They formed it, I didn't. 18 respectively between Mr. Dondero and you; correct? 18 Q Sorry. What is a deer lease? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. A You pay money to a rancher to lease 19 19 THE WITNESS: Or entities related to 20 20 property to hunt upon. 21 us. Q Is it for commercial purposes or is it 22 just a payment like you'd join a hunting club or a I have no transparency, and Mr. Dondero 23 holds it. And to be quite honest with you, 23 golf club? 24 without looking at the docs, I don't know how it 24 A Exactly the same --

25

25 even is related to me.

MS. SMITH: Objection to form. And

21 (81 to 84)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
81	83
1 this is way off the track of the purpose of the	1 individuals that you can recall ever discussing
2 deposition.	what to do about the judgment that UBS obtained in
THE WITNESS: Exactly the same as a	New York since Highland's bankruptcy and prior to
4 country club membership or a hunting club or a	4 you being terminated from Highland Capital
5 tennis club or a golf membership, but it is paid	5 Management; correct?
6 to an individual rancher.	6 A I'm sure I hypothecated with I'm
7 BY MR. CLUBOK:	7 certain that I did with Mr. Leventon just about,
8 Q And you did enter into that arrangement	8 you know, what we thought the outcome would be for
9 with Mr. Collins; right?	9 Mr. Sevilla. And I'm sure that I talked to
10 A No, Mr. Reid.	10 Mr. Reid about it several times, you know, because
	11 I I interact with him socially, so you know how
12 A And yeah, Mr. Reid and a partner of	12 those kind of conversions go.
13 his named Nate Palmer. And, again, they formed	Q Anybody else at all?
14 the entity. I just paid my pro rata share to the	14 A Not that I can think of.
15 LLC. They run it, they manage it.	Q Did you ever tell me that there was an
16 It is literally a friendship thing	16 insurance policy issued by Sentinel that
17 that's centered around deer hunting.	17 potentially could satisfy that judgment?
18 Q And that firm for a while represented	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
19 Highland Capital Management in the litigation	19 THE WITNESS: No.
20 against UBS; correct?	20 BY MR. CLUBOK:
21 A Correct.	Q Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that
22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	22 there was an insurance policy issued by Sentinel
23 BY MR. CLUBOK:	that could potentially satisfy that judgment?
24 Q They did so until they sought to	A I didn't need to tell Mr. Dondero. He
25 withdraw earlier this year; correct?	25 was aware of it since inception.
82	84
1 A Correct.	Q Did you ever tell Mr. Seery that there
2 Q Did you discuss withdrawal with them?	was an insurance policy issued by Sentinel that
3 A I did not.	3 could potentially satisfy at least part of the
4 Q Did you discuss the UBS litigation with	4 judgment that UBS obtained in New York?
5 them during during 2020, the last year of your	5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
6 employment with Highland Capital Management?	THE WITNESS: No.
7 A Yeah, I'm sure I did at some point.	7 BY MR. CLUBOK:
8 Q Did you ever discuss with them what to	8 MR. CLUBOK: What was the form
9 do about the judgment that UBS obtained?	9 objection?
10 A No.	10 MS. SMITH: Well, it calls for a legal
11 Q Did you discuss with anybody strike	11 conclusion on what the insurance policy can do and
12 that.	12 who it can pay out on.
Post bankruptcy and prior to	13 MR. CLUBOK: Okay.
14 termination, did you discuss with anybody in the	14 BY MR. CLUBOK:
15 world what to do about the judgment that UBS	15 Q Did you ever tell Mr. Seery anything
16 obtained in New York?	16 at all about the insurance policy that was issued
17 A Yes.	17 by Sentinel with respect to the UBS litigation in
	18 New York?
· ·	
A Again, what time period? I'm sorry.	19 A No.
20 Q From the time of the bankruptcy until	Q Did you ever tell Mr. Nelms, Judge
21 the time you were terminated.	21 Nelms anything at all about the insurance policy
A You, Mr. Dondero, Mr. Seery, former	22 that was issued by Sentinel with respect to the
23 Judge Nelms, Mr. Dubel. I think that's pretty	23 UBS litigation in New York?
24 much it.	24 A No.
25 Q So that's the entire list of	Q Did you ever tell Mr. Dubel anything

22 (85 to 88)

Conducted on July 29, 2021		
85	87	
at all about the insurance policy that had been	1 Mr. Leventon because it was my idea.	
2 issued by Sentinel with respect to the UBS	2 Q It was your idea to have Sentinel issue	
3 litigation in New York?	3 an insurance policy with respect to the UBS	
4 A No.	4 litigation that was then pending in New York;	
5 Q Did you ever tell Mr. Leventon anything	5 correct?	
at all about the insurance policy that had been	6 A Yes.	
7 issued by Sentinel with respect to the UBS	7 Q And when you initially had that idea,	
8 litigation in New York?	8 you discussed it fully with Mr. Leventon?	
9 A Mr. Leventon knew about it since	9 A Well, I discussed with Mr. Leventon	
10 inception.	10 because the idea came from a matter called	
11 Q Mr. Leventon, since inception, knew	11 Cornerstone that Highland Capital Management, its	
12 that there was an insurance policy issued by	12 funds brought against Nautic, a private equity	
13 Sentinel with respect to the UBS litigation	13 advisor. And I had never heard of an ATE policy	
14 pending in New York?	14 before. And we discovered in that litigation that	
15 A Yes.	15 they had made a payment out of their funds for a	
Q How did he know about that?	16 premium. And they had bought an ATE policy, and	
17 MS. SMITH: Objection.	17 it produced a large settlement that the debtor and	
THE WITNESS: He was part of the	18 Highland Capital Management funds benefited from.	
19 overall group of a dozen, if not 20, people inside	19 Q And as a result of that, you discussed	
20 of Highland that went through the process of	20 with Mr. Leventon the idea of purchasing an ATE	
21 approving the transaction, so he was around for	21 policy from Sentinel with respect to the UBS	
22 the genesis, then quickly became not part of that	22 litigation that was then pending in New York?	
23 process.	23 A Mr. Leventon, Mr. Sevilla, Mr. Surgent	
24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	24 were the initial people that I had the	
25 Q What do you mean quickly became not	25 conversation with.	
86	88	
1 part of that process?	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of	
1 part of that process?	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of	
<ul><li>part of that process?</li><li>A It went to a process that was solely</li></ul>	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved?	
<ul> <li>part of that process?</li> <li>A It went to a process that was solely</li> <li>compliance and finance and some individuals from</li> </ul>	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting	
<ul> <li>part of that process?</li> <li>A It went to a process that was solely</li> <li>compliance and finance and some individuals from</li> <li>tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q All three of those equally in terms of</li> <li>being involved?</li> <li>A They were all sitting</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>part of that process?</li> <li>A It went to a process that was solely</li> <li>compliance and finance and some individuals from</li> <li>tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys</li> <li>in accounting and training.</li> </ol>	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in	
<ul> <li>part of that process?</li> <li>A It went to a process that was solely</li> <li>compliance and finance and some individuals from</li> <li>tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys</li> <li>in accounting and training.</li> <li>Q When did it go to that?</li> </ul>	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is	
part of that process?  A It went to a process that was solely compliance and finance and some individuals from tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys in accounting and training. Q When did it go to that? A After about the second and third week	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did?	
part of that process?  A It went to a process that was solely compliance and finance and some individuals from tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys in accounting and training.  Q When did it go to that?  A After about the second and third week of discussing it as a possibility.	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
part of that process?  A It went to a process that was solely  compliance and finance and some individuals from  tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys  in accounting and training.  Q When did it go to that?  A After about the second and third week  of discussing it as a possibility.  Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that	
part of that process?  A It went to a process that was solely  compliance and finance and some individuals from  tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys  in accounting and training.  Q When did it go to that?  A After about the second and third week  of discussing it as a possibility.  Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything  whatsoever to do with it after that?	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy	
part of that process?  A It went to a process that was solely compliance and finance and some individuals from tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys in accounting and training. Q When did it go to that? A After about the second and third week of discussing it as a possibility. Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything whatsoever to do with it after that? A Not that I recall.	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone?	
part of that process?  A It went to a process that was solely  compliance and finance and some individuals from  tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys  in accounting and training.  Q When did it go to that?  A After about the second and third week  of discussing it as a possibility.  Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything  whatsoever to do with it after that?  A Not that I recall.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes.	
part of that process?  A It went to a process that was solely compliance and finance and some individuals from tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys in accounting and training.  Q When did it go to that?  A After about the second and third week of discussing it as a possibility.  Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything whatsoever to do with it after that?  A Not that I recall.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I mean, there were	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's	
1 part of that process? 2 A It went to a process that was solely 3 compliance and finance and some individuals from 4 tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys 5 in accounting and training. 6 Q When did it go to that? 7 A After about the second and third week 8 of discussing it as a possibility. 9 Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything 10 whatsoever to do with it after that? 11 A Not that I recall. 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I mean, there were 14 there were literally two dozen people involved, if	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's 14 office to a group that included Mr. Leventon,	
1 part of that process? 2 A It went to a process that was solely 3 compliance and finance and some individuals from 4 tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys 5 in accounting and training. 6 Q When did it go to that? 7 A After about the second and third week 8 of discussing it as a possibility. 9 Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything 10 whatsoever to do with it after that? 11 A Not that I recall. 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I mean, there were 14 there were literally two dozen people involved, if 15 not more, so I don't I didn't really keep a	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's 14 office to a group that included Mr. Leventon, 15 Mr. Sevilla and Mr. Surgent?	
1 part of that process? 2 A It went to a process that was solely 3 compliance and finance and some individuals from 4 tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys 5 in accounting and training. 6 Q When did it go to that? 7 A After about the second and third week 8 of discussing it as a possibility. 9 Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything 10 whatsoever to do with it after that? 11 A Not that I recall. 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I mean, there were 14 there were literally two dozen people involved, if 15 not more, so I don't I didn't really keep a 16 leash on Mr. Leventon and his involvement, but I	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's 14 office to a group that included Mr. Leventon, 15 Mr. Sevilla and Mr. Surgent? 16 A That's my memory, yes.	
1 part of that process? 2 A It went to a process that was solely 3 compliance and finance and some individuals from 4 tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys 5 in accounting and training. 6 Q When did it go to that? 7 A After about the second and third week 8 of discussing it as a possibility. 9 Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything 10 whatsoever to do with it after that? 11 A Not that I recall. 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I mean, there were 14 there were literally two dozen people involved, if 15 not more, so I don't I didn't really keep a 16 leash on Mr. Leventon and his involvement, but I 17 don't remember him being intimately involved.	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's 14 office to a group that included Mr. Leventon, 15 Mr. Sevilla and Mr. Surgent? 16 A That's my memory, yes. 17 Q How long did you discuss it in the	
1 part of that process? 2 A It went to a process that was solely 3 compliance and finance and some individuals from 4 tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys 5 in accounting and training. 6 Q When did it go to that? 7 A After about the second and third week 8 of discussing it as a possibility. 9 Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything 10 whatsoever to do with it after that? 11 A Not that I recall. 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I mean, there were 14 there were literally two dozen people involved, if 15 not more, so I don't I didn't really keep a 16 leash on Mr. Leventon and his involvement, but I 17 don't remember him being intimately involved. 18 BY MR. CLUBOK:	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's 14 office to a group that included Mr. Leventon, 15 Mr. Sevilla and Mr. Surgent? 16 A That's my memory, yes. 17 Q How long did you discuss it in the 18 initial meeting?	
A It went to a process that was solely compliance and finance and some individuals from tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys in accounting and training. Q When did it go to that? A After about the second and third week of discussing it as a possibility. Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything whatsoever to do with it after that? A Not that I recall. MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I mean, there were there were literally two dozen people involved, if not more, so I don't I didn't really keep a leash on Mr. Leventon and his involvement, but I don't remember him being intimately involved. BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q Did you ever mention that strike	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's 14 office to a group that included Mr. Leventon, 15 Mr. Sevilla and Mr. Surgent? 16 A That's my memory, yes. 17 Q How long did you discuss it in the 18 initial meeting? 19 A Less than five minutes.	
1 part of that process? 2 A It went to a process that was solely 3 compliance and finance and some individuals from 4 tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys 5 in accounting and training. 6 Q When did it go to that? 7 A After about the second and third week 8 of discussing it as a possibility. 9 Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything 10 whatsoever to do with it after that? 11 A Not that I recall. 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I mean, there were 14 there were literally two dozen people involved, if 15 not more, so I don't I didn't really keep a 16 leash on Mr. Leventon and his involvement, but I 17 don't remember him being intimately involved. 18 BY MR. CLUBOK: 19 Q Did you ever mention that strike 20 that.	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's 14 office to a group that included Mr. Leventon, 15 Mr. Sevilla and Mr. Surgent? 16 A That's my memory, yes. 17 Q How long did you discuss it in the 18 initial meeting? 19 A Less than five minutes. 20 Q Okay. And then after that, did you	
1 part of that process? 2 A It went to a process that was solely 3 compliance and finance and some individuals from 4 tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys 5 in accounting and training. 6 Q When did it go to that? 7 A After about the second and third week 8 of discussing it as a possibility. 9 Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything 10 whatsoever to do with it after that? 11 A Not that I recall. 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I mean, there were 14 there were literally two dozen people involved, if 15 not more, so I don't I didn't really keep a 16 leash on Mr. Leventon and his involvement, but I 17 don't remember him being intimately involved. 18 BY MR. CLUBOK: 19 Q Did you ever mention that strike 20 that. 21 So you are saying Mr. Leventon the	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's 14 office to a group that included Mr. Leventon, 15 Mr. Sevilla and Mr. Surgent? 16 A That's my memory, yes. 17 Q How long did you discuss it in the 18 initial meeting? 19 A Less than five minutes. 20 Q Okay. And then after that, did you 21 ever talk to Mr. Leventon again about the idea?	
1 part of that process? 2 A It went to a process that was solely 3 compliance and finance and some individuals from 4 tax, if I remember correctly, and a couple of guys 5 in accounting and training. 6 Q When did it go to that? 7 A After about the second and third week 8 of discussing it as a possibility. 9 Q And Mr. Leventon never had anything 10 whatsoever to do with it after that? 11 A Not that I recall. 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I mean, there were 14 there were literally two dozen people involved, if 15 not more, so I don't I didn't really keep a 16 leash on Mr. Leventon and his involvement, but I 17 don't remember him being intimately involved. 18 BY MR. CLUBOK: 19 Q Did you ever mention that strike 20 that. 21 So you are saying Mr. Leventon the 22 idea first came to have a insurance policy issued	1 Q All three of those equally in terms of 2 being involved? 3 A They were all sitting 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: They were all sitting in 6 Mr. Surgent's office when I walked in and said is 7 it possible to do an ATE like Nautic did? 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And this was the very first time that 10 you ever mentioned this idea to get an ATE policy 11 with respect to the UBS litigation to anyone? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you mentioned that in Mr. Surgent's 14 office to a group that included Mr. Leventon, 15 Mr. Sevilla and Mr. Surgent? 16 A That's my memory, yes. 17 Q How long did you discuss it in the 18 initial meeting? 19 A Less than five minutes. 20 Q Okay. And then after that, did you 21 ever talk to Mr. Leventon again about the idea? 22 A I'm sure I did, yes. Or in a larger	

23 (89 to 92)

89		91
1 insurance policy was actually issued, as far as	1 A I did not.	<i>,</i> 1
2 you know?	2 And you never told Judge Nelms about	
3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	3 it; correct?	
4 THE WITNESS: As far as I know.	4 A I did not.	
5 BY MR. CLUBOK:	5 Q You never told John Dubel about it;	
6 Q And was that because you discussed it	6 correct?	
7 with Mr. Leventon since that policy has been	7 A I did not.	
8 issued?	Q You never took any action to make sure	
9 A I'm sure that I have. I don't recall	9 that the court the bankruptcy court was aware	
10 it specifically, but, you know, it's like every	10 about the ATE policy; correct?	
11 person in the firm knew.	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
12 Q Every person in what firm knew?	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.	
13 A In Highland Capital Management, I'd be	13 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
14 surprised if anyone didn't know especially above a	14 Q That's like one of those double	
15 certain level because they were involved or their	15 negatives, so let me just ask it again: It's true	
16 team members were involved.	16 that you never took any action to ensure that the	
17 Q Sorry, what specifically did every	17 bankruptcy court became aware of the ATE policy	
18 person at Highland Capital Management above a	18 that had been taken out with respect to the UBS	
19 certain level know that you are referring to?	19 litigation in New York; correct?	
20 A That the ATE policy was being	20 A Correct.	
21 considered because it went through its normal	21 Q Did you ever make any effort to collect	
22 process that involved almost every group in the	22 on that policy?	
23 firm.	23 A I	
Q So, every single person who did an ATE	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
25 policy was being considered.	25 THE WITNESS: No.	
90		92
1 And roughly when was that?	1 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. I think this is a	
2 A Several months before it was put in	2 good time for a break.	
3 place.	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
4 Q And how many people knew it was	4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	
5 actually put in place?	THE VIBEOGRAPHER. We're going on the	
6 A I would say the entire group that was	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time.	
7 part of the process.		
	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time.	
8 Q So virtually every single senior person	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.)	
<ul><li>Q So virtually every single senior person</li><li>at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE</li></ul>	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on	
	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time.	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place?	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking	
<ul> <li>9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE</li> <li>10 policy had been put in place?</li> <li>11 A That's my understanding. I'd be</li> </ul>	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you You have no knowledge that Mr. Seery was	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited?	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you 15 You have no knowledge that Mr.Seery was 16 ever made aware of this; correct?	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited? 16 A Yes, I believe that is the main entity,	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you 15 You have no knowledge that Mr. Seery was 16 ever made aware of this; correct? 17 A I I don't	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited? 16 A Yes, I believe that is the main entity, 17 but I'd have to see an org chart. I don't know	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you 15 You have no knowledge that Mr. Seery was 16 ever made aware of this; correct? 17 A I I don't 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited? 16 A Yes, I believe that is the main entity, 17 but I'd have to see an org chart. I don't know 18 what it looks like now.	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you 15 You have no knowledge that Mr. Seery was 16 ever made aware of this; correct? 17 A I I don't	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited? 16 A Yes, I believe that is the main entity, 17 but I'd have to see an org chart. I don't know	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you 15 You have no knowledge that Mr. Seery was 16 ever made aware of this; correct? 17 A I I don't 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited? 16 A Yes, I believe that is the main entity, 17 but I'd have to see an org chart. I don't know 18 what it looks like now.	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you 15 You have no knowledge that Mr. Seery was 16 ever made aware of this; correct? 17 A I I don't 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know if Mr. Seery	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited? 16 A Yes, I believe that is the main entity, 17 but I'd have to see an org chart. I don't know 18 what it looks like now. 19 Q And you recall it is a fairly	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you 15 You have no knowledge that Mr.Seery was 16 ever made aware of this; correct? 17 A II don't 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know if Mr. Seery 20 was made aware of it or not.	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited? 16 A Yes, I believe that is the main entity, 17 but I'd have to see an org chart. I don't know 18 what it looks like now. 19 Q And you recall it is a fairly 20 complicated structure with lots of different	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you 15 You have no knowledge that Mr.Seery was 16 ever made aware of this; correct? 17 A I I don't 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know if Mr. Seery 20 was made aware of it or not. 21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited? 16 A Yes, I believe that is the main entity, 17 but I'd have to see an org chart. I don't know 18 what it looks like now. 19 Q And you recall it is a fairly 20 complicated structure with lots of different 21 entities and subs and pass-through entities and so	
9 at Highland Capital Management knew that the ATE 10 policy had been put in place? 11 A That's my understanding. I'd be 12 shocked if they didn't. Because, again, we're 13 talking two dozen people involved in the process. 14 Q Right. But, as far as you 15 You have no knowledge that Mr. Seery was 16 ever made aware of this; correct? 17 A I I don't 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know if Mr. Seery 20 was made aware of it or not. 21 BY MR. CLUBOK: 22 Q You you certainly never told	5 record at 12:05 p.m. Eastern time. 6 (Recess taken 12:05 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 8 the record at 12:21 p.m. Eastern Time. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Okay, Mr. Ellington, we've been talking 11 about an entity that I referred to as Sentinel, 12 and I believe there's some different entities with 13 Sentinel in their name, but when we've been 14 talking about Sentinel, have you understood me to 15 be referring to Sentinel Reinsurance Limited? 16 A Yes, I believe that is the main entity, 17 but I'd have to see an org chart. I don't know 18 what it looks like now. 19 Q And you recall it is a fairly 20 complicated structure with lots of different 21 entities and subs and pass-through entities and so 22 forth?	

93   Q And and if I talk about it if I 2 exertally refer to it as Sentinel, will you 3 understand it to be the collective organization, 4 unless it's necessary to identify a specific sub 5 or a specific entity; is than okay? 6	Conducted	on July 29, 2021
2 concernative for to it as Sentinel, will you understand it to be the collective organization, andess it's necessary to identify a specific sub or a specific entity; is that okay?  6	72	
1		1 interest in Sentinel if it was established.
4 about the coonomics of Sentine? 5 or a specific entity; is that okay? 6 A Yesh, yesh, that's fine with me. And 7 if get into any specificity, I may need to see an org chart. 9 Q When was Sentinel formed, roughly? 10 A My best recollection is 2012. 11 Q What was the purpose of forming 12 Sentinel, as far as you understood? 13 A To create a reinsurer. 14 Q For whom? 15 A It was — the instruction of 16 Mr. Dondero was to make every attempt to originate 17 and structure a Cayman-based reinsurer. 18 Q So the idea for forming Sentinel, as 19 far as you know, was Jim Dondero's. 20 A Yes. 21 Q And is he — did he — is he the one 22 who explained to you the purpose behind forming 23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that 26 conversation when he initially told you about it? 2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 4 Q And what — what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. Q Q Wby? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a captive. Q Q Wby? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a captive. Q Q was the fields that — when you say — 15 when you say a full-service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics of Kenter in was of 10 at least of the submitted to 4 the submitted to 2 with a submitted to 2 w		2 A No.
5 or a specific entity; is that okay? 6 A Yeah, yeah, that's fine with me. And 7 I'll get into any specificity, I may need to see 8 an or g chart. 9 Q When was Sentinel formed, roughly? 10 A My best recollection is 2012. 11 Q What was the purpose of forming 12 Sentinel, as far as you understood? 13 A To create a reinsurer. 14 Q For whom? 15 A It was - the instruction of 16 Mr. Dondero was to make every attempt to originate 17 and structure a Cayman-based reinsurer. 18 Q So the idea for forming Sentinel, as 19 far as you know, was Jim Dondero's. 19 A Yes. 20 A Yes. 21 Q And is he id he is he the one 22 who explained to you the purpose behind forming 23 Sentinel? 23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that 26 Conversation when he initially told you about it? 27 A A Idon't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 4 Q And what what else did he tell you a about the kind of business that he expected Sentinel to en gange in? 7 A A He wanted Sentinel to be a full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 1 with Gow Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he though that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 15 when you say a full-service reinsurers serve a limited expected of captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 20 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this intial conversation? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this intial conversation? 24 A Correct. 25 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this intial conversation? 25 A Gand when you say a full-service reinsurer. 26 Q And what was the nature of that 1 the first year That's a 1 that's the percentage you would get? 27 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 10 feet of the partity of the	3 understand it to be the collective organization,	3 Q When did he did he ever speak to you
6 A Yesh, yeah, that's fine with me. And ifI get into any specificity, I may need to see 8 an org chart. 9 Q When was Sentinel formed, roughly? 10 A My best recollection is 2012. 11 Q What was the purpose of forming 12 Sentinel, as far as you understood? 13 A To create a reinsurer. 14 Q For whom? 15 A It was – the instruction of 16 Mr. Dondero was to make every attempt to originate 17 and structure a Cayman-based reinsurer. 18 Q So the idea for forming Sentinel, as 19 far as you know, was Jim Dondero's. 20 A Yes. 21 Q And is he — did he — is he the one 22 who explained to you the purpose behind forming 23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that 26 Q And what — what else did he tell you 27 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 4 Q And what — what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 M A A a much later date after it was 9 established? 10 Q Roughly when? 11 A I would say probably six to nine 12 months, if not a year, after it was established. 13 I really earl' remember. 14 Q And what was the nature of that 15 conversation, as best you can remember? 16 A That because me and my team had been 17 able to pull it off, that I would have some 18 beneficial ownership. 19 Q Because you were able to pull off 20 establishing this full-service reinsurer? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And what pous ay 'some beneficial 23 ownership' ultimately you obtained about 24 30 percent; correct? 25 A Again, or entities somehow related to 24 M Post recent ensurer, not just a captive. 29 Q Why? 21 A Because he had attempted to do that 21 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 21 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a full-service reinsurer, not just a captive. 29 Q Why? 20 A That captive reinsurer, not just a leading that the distinction that you that a staff ste percentage you would get? 21 A Yes would you about the 22 owner a full service, it serves any and all 1 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 21	4 unless it's necessary to identify a specific sub	4 about the economics of Sentinel?
Fit pet into any specificity, I may need to see a more chart.   8	or a specific entity; is that okay?	5 A When you mean the economics, who would
8 A AI a much later date after it was 9 Q When was Sentinel formed, roughly? 10 A My best recollection is 2012. 11 Q What was the purpose of forming 12 Sentinel, as far as you understood? 13 A To create a reinsurer. 14 Q For whom? 15 A It was - the instruction of 16 Mr. Dondero was to make every attempt to originate 17 and structure a Cayman-based reinsurer. 18 Q So the idea for forming Sentinel, as 19 far as you know, was Jim Dondero's. 10 Q Ares. 21 Q And is he did he is he the one 22 who explained to you the purpose behind forming 23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that 26 Q And what what else did he tell you and him. 27 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 28 and him. 29 Q Mny? 20 A Why? 21 Conversation when he initially told you about it? 21 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-service definitions that he was the Gesturer, not just a captive. 29 Q Why? 20 Q Why? 31 A Yes. 32 Gentinel to engage in? 32 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 33 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 44 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a captive. 45 Q Why? 46 Captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 47 A Ha captive reinsurer, not just a captive. 48 A Than tacptive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 20 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 conomics during this initial conversation? 21 A A Safar as 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 conomics during this initial conversation? 22 A Meaning what? 23 conomics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what? 25 Q And did he talk to you about the 24 A Meaning what? 26 A Meaning what?	A Yeah, yeah, that's fine with me. And	6 ultimately the potential beneficial owners?
9	7 if I get into any specificity, I may need to see	7 Q Yes.
9	8 an org chart.	8 A At a much later date after it was
10 Q Roughly when?		
11		10 Q Roughly when?
12 Sentinel, as far as you understood?   13 A To create a reinsurer.   13 I really can't remember.     14 Q For whom?   14 Q And what was the nature of that     15 Comersation, as best you can remember?     16 Mr. Dondero was to make every attempt to originate     17 and structure a Cayman-based reinsurer.     18 Q So the idea for forming Sentinel, as     19 far as you know, was Jim Dondero's.     10 Q And is he did he is he the one   22 Who explained to you the purpose behind forming     23 Sentinel?   24 A Yes.   25 Q Was there anyone else in that     2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me   3 and him.   3 and him.   4 Q And what what else did he tell you   5 about the kind of business that he expected   5 Sentine lot engage in?   7 A He wanted Sentine lot be a   6 Sentine lot engage in?   7 A He wanted Sentine lot be a   8 full-service derinsurer, not just a captive.   9 Q Why?   10 A Because he had attempted to do that   11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a   13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer.   14 Q Was the idea that when you say   15 when you say a full-service reinsurers, not just a captive.   9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the   10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years   11 later? Just your best estimate.   12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a   13 very long due diligence period from the regulator.   14 Q So within the first year. That's a   13 very long due diligence period from the regulator.   14 Q So within the first year. That's a   13 very long due diligence period from the regulator.   14 Q So within the first year. That's a   13 very long due diligence period from the regulator.   15 potential parties.   17 roughly 30 percent economic interest, as far as   18 correct?   19 A Correct.   19 A		
13   A To create a reinsurer.   14   Q For whom?   15   A It was — the instruction of   16 Mr. Dondero was to make every attempt to originate   17 and structure a Cayman-based reinsurer.   18   Q So the idea for forming Sentinel, as   19   far as you know, was Jim Dondero's.   18   Beneficial ownership.   19   Q Because you were able to pull off   20   establishing this full-service reinsurer?   21   A Yes.   22   Q And when you say some beneficial   23   ownership will timately you obtained about   24   A Yes.   24   A Yes.   25   Q Was there anyone else in that   25   A Again, or entities somehow related to   26   Yes   27   A I don't recall. I think it was just me   3 and him.   3 and him.   4   Q And what — what else did he tell you   5 about the kind of business that he expected   5 Sentinel to be gage in?   6 formed before —   7   A He wanted Sentinel to be a   8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive.   9   Q Why?   10   A Because he had attempted to do that   11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a   13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer.   14   Q Was the idea that — when you say —   15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a captive, what's the distinction that you   17 understood him to be making?   18   A That captive refinsurers serve a limited   19   A Correct.   19   A Corre		
14		
15 A It was – the instruction of 16 Mr. Dondero was to make every attempt to originate 17 and structure a Cayman-based reinsurer. 18 Q So the idea for forming Sentinel, as 19 far as you know, was Jim Dondero's. 20 A Yes. 21 Q And is he — did he — is he the one 22 who explained to you the purpose behind forming 23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that 26 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 3 and him. 4 Q And what — what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that — when you say— 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 0 Where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 conomics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what? 25 Conversation, as best you can remember? 26 A That because me and my team and to off the submits full-service reinsurer? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And when you say 'some beneficial 23 convership. 24 A Weaning that it with Gord Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 25 Correct? 26 A Again, or entities somehow related to 27 Me A that point did he tell you that 28 that percentage you would get? 4 A I don't know. I'm so		
16 Mr. Dondero was to make every attempt to originate 17 and structure a Cayman-based reinsurer. 18 Q So the idea for forming Sentinel, as 19 far as you know, was Jim Dondero's. 20 A Yes. 21 Q And is he did he is he the one 22 who explained to you the purpose behind forming 23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that  26 Conversation when he initially told you about it? 27 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 28 and him. 29 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 29 and him. 20 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 21 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 29 Q Why? 20 Why? 21 A Because he had attempted to do that 21 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 21 Q Was the idea that when you say 22 what she idistinction that you 23 full-serviced reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set owhere a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And with not pull it off, that I would have some 17 able to pull it off, that I would have some 18 beneficial ownership. 19 Q Because you were able to pull off 20 establishing this full-service reinsurer? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And when you say 'some beneficial 23 ownership," ultimately you obtained about 24 30 percent; correct? 25 A Again, or entities somehow related to 26 I me. 2 Q At what point did he tell you that 2 Mas' the percentage you would get? 3 that full strice somehow related to 4 I me. 2 Q At what point did he tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it frey years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q Sow within the first year Sentinel; 15 being formed, it w		
17 and structure a Cayman-based reinsurer.     18		
18 Q So the idea for forming Sentinel, as 19 far as you know, was Jim Dondero's. 20 A Yes. 21 Q And is he did he is he the one 22 who explained to you the purpose behind forming 23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that 26 A Idon't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 4 Q And when he initially told you about it? 2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 4 Q And what what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a captive. 10 the properties of the first year of Sentinel 10 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 conomics during this intil conversation? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And when you say "some beneficial 26 and when you say "some beneficial 27 A Again, or entities somehow related to 28 that she percentage you obtained about 29 A T don't recall. 20 Q At what point did he tell you that 21 that's the percentage you would get? 24 A I don't recall. 25 A Again, or entities somehow related to 26 C At what point did he tell you that 27 that's the percentage you would get? 28 A I don't recall. 29 Q At what point did he tell you that 29 A I don't recall. 20 Q At what you would get? 20 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 21 you finish your question. 22 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 23 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 29 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 20 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 21 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 22 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 23 correct? 24 A Meaning what? 25 A Masi		· ·
19 far as you know, was Jim Dondero's. 20		
20 establishing this full-service reinsurer? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And when you say 'some beneficial 23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that  24 30 percent; correct? 25 A Again, or entities somehow related to  1 conversation when he initially told you about it? 2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 3 and him. 4 Q And what what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 ownership" ultimately you obtained about 24 30 percent; correct? 25 A Again, or entities somehow related to 26 At what point did he tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood, correct? 23 A As far as 24 A Meaning what?		<del>-</del>
21		
22 who explained to you the purpose behind forming 23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that 25 A Again, or entities somehow related to 26 Conversation when he initially told you about it? 2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 4 Q And what what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 ownership" ultimately you obtained about 24 30 percent; correct? 25 A Again, or entities somehow related to  1 me. 2 Q At what point did he tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 28 correct? 29 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 M Meaning what?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
23 Sentinel? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that  94  1 conversation when he initially told you about it? 2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 aconomics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  23 ownership" ultimately you obtained about 24 30 percent; correct? 25 A Again, or entities somehow related to 96  1 me. 2 Q At what point did he tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A Sa far as 24 A Meaning what?		
24 A Yes. 25 Q Was there anyone else in that  94 1 conversation when he initially told you about it? 2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him.  4 Q And what what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  94  1 me. 2 Q At what point did he tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 potential parties. 22 you understood; correct? 23 a As far as 24 A Meaning what?  10 Me.  11 me. 2 2 A A gain, or entities of the tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q At what point did he tell you that 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm so		
25 A Again, or entities somehow related to  94  1 conversation when he initially told you about it? 2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 4 Q And what what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q Ad what point did he tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, in not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 potential parties. 22 you understood; correct? 23 conomics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  25 A Again, or entities of that 24 A I don't know. 26 Gorred before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 29 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligen		
1 conversation when he initially told you about it? 2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 4 Q And what what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  1 me. 2 Q At what point did he tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  1 me. 2 Q At what point did he tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year		
1 me. 2 A I don't recall. I think it was just me 3 and him. 4 Q And what what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  1 me. 2 Q At what point did he tell you that 3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  10 Mes.  11 dan't recall. 2 Q At what point did he tell you would, a later Just on the recall. 2 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 2 you understood; correct? 2 you understood; correct? 2 you understood; correct?	•	-
2		
3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 Q And what what else did he tell you 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurer a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  3 that's the percentage you would get? 4 A I don't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 A Meaning what?  3 that's the percentage vould best of powers in was 4 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year. That's a 15 very long due diligenc		
4 A Idon't recall. 5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  4 A Idon't recall. 5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 A Meaning what?  4 A Idon't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 16 taler? Just your best estimate. 11 ater? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 16 captive, what's the distinction that you would, at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic inter		
5 about the kind of business that he expected 6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 Q And did he talk to you about the 22 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 Correct? 19 A Correct. 20 And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  5 Q Well, roughly, how long after it was 6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year. 15 being formed be maching? 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 Correct. 19 A Correct.		
6 Sentinel to engage in? 7 A He wanted Sentinel to be a 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 Q And did he talk to you about the 22 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  6 formed before 7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question.  9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, at least indirectly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel: 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 potential parties. 22 A A		
7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 full-serviced reinsurer, not just a captive. 9 Q Why? 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  7 A I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let 8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 Correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 potential parties. 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  8 J I don't know. I'm sorry, I didn't let	<u> </u>	
8 you finish your question. 9 Q Why? 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 A Meaning what?  8 you finish your question. 9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 Meaning what?		
9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 A Meaning what?  9 Q Sure. Was it, you know, within the 10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel: 18 correct? 29 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
10 A Because he had attempted to do that 11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  10 first year that it was formed? Was it five years 11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
11 with Gov Re, and due to regulatory issues, again, 12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  11 later? Just your best estimate. 12 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 A Meaning what?  24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
12 beyond my knowledge, he thought that Cayman was a 13 better place to domicile a new reinsurer. 14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 A Oh, within the first year. That's a 13 very long due diligence period from the regulator. 14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 potential parties. 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 A Meaning what? 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	•	
13 very long due diligence period from the regulator.  14 Q Was the idea that when you say 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  13 very long due diligence period from the regulator.  14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		· ·
14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  14 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 Meaning what?  18 Q So within the first year of Sentinel 19 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 Meaning what?		-
15 when you say a full-service reinsurer, not just a 16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 A Meaning what?  15 being formed, it was established that you would, 16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 Meaning what?	_	
16 captive, what's the distinction that you 17 understood him to be making? 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a 17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct?  19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 Meaning what?  24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited 19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel; 18 correct?  20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
18 correct?  19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties.  22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation?  24 A Meaning what?  18 correct?  19 A Correct.  20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct?  23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	16 captive, what's the distinction that you	16 at least indirectly, if not directly, have a
19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set 20 where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  19 A Correct. 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	17 understood him to be making?	17 roughly 30 percent economic interest in Sentinel;
20 Where a full service, it serves any and all 21 potential parties. 22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what? 20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other 21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct? 23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	18 A That captive reinsurers serve a limited	18 correct?
21 potential parties.  22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation?  24 A Meaning what?  21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as 22 you understood; correct?  23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	19 set of counter-parties and ensure a limited set	19 A Correct.
22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  22 you understood; correct?  23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	20 where a full service, it serves any and all	20 Q And Mr. Dondero would retain the other
22 Q And did he talk to you about the 23 economics during this initial conversation? 24 A Meaning what?  22 you understood; correct?  23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	21 potential parties.	21 roughly 70 percent economic interest, as far as
23 economics during this initial conversation?  24 A Meaning what?  23 A As far as 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
24 A Meaning what? 24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
25 Q Meaning who would have the economic   25 THE WITNESS: As far as I understood,	25 Q Meaning who would have the economic	THE WITNESS: As far as I understood,

25 (97 to 100)

	11 341 7 2 2 2 2 1
97	99
again, or entities related to me or entities related to him. I don't know how he holds it, if	<ul><li>1 which I don't know if you'd call them employees, I</li><li>2 don't know that it ever had employees, but I would</li></ul>
1 ·	
*	
<ul><li>6 services agreement with Highland Capital</li><li>7 Management?</li></ul>	Q Okay. But other than the independent
	7 directors, you are not aware of any employees of 8 Sentinel Reinsurance; correct?
10 A Did it have an office? Yes.  11 Q Where was its office?	10 Q So it's correct that you are unaware of 11 any employees of Sentinel Reinsurance other than
	12 the independent directors?
12 A Grand Pavilion in the Cayman Islands. 13 Q Is that a mailbox or is it an actual	•
14 physical office?	13 MS. SMITH: Object to form. 14 THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, yes.
	15 BY MR. CLUBOK:
	16 Q Did SAS have any employees?
16 Q Was it dedicated just to Sentinel?  17 A Part of the office space was dedicated	17 A Other than the independent directors,
18 to Sentinel. Part of the office space was	18 again, unless they hired people as employees or
19 dedicated to SAS Management and its related	19 vendors, that's that's their decision. And to
20 entities.	20 my knowledge, I don't think they did.
21 Q And so SAS is another entity that you	21 Q Who was responsible for for example,
22 had, directly or indirectly, roughly 30 percent	22 let's say if Sentinel issued an insurance policy,
23 economic interest in; correct?	23 who was responsible for negotiating that?
24 A Or entities related to me and entities	24 A The independent directors and Beecher
25 related to SAS Management subs.	25 Carlson.
23 related to SAS Management subs.	100
1 Q And you established an office in the	1 Q What's Beecher Carlson?
2 Caymans for both both of these entities to	2 A They are a service provider that is the
3 share?	3 originator of the policies.
4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	4 Q Where are they based out of?
5 BY MR. CLUBOK:	5 A I believe they're based globally. I'm
6 Q Strike that.	6 not really certain.
7 You understood an office was	7 Q Was there a person at Beecher Carlson
8 established in the Caymans for Sentinel and SAS to	8 that you are familiar with who was responsible for
9 share?	9 negotiating the terms of any insurance policy that
10 A No, an office was established for SAS,	10 Sentinel issued?
11 and then in the inception of Sentinel, they leased	11 A I never dealt with them, so I don't
12 part of the space.	12 know their names.
13 Q When was SAS established?	13 Q Who did deal with them?
14 A I want to say 2009.	14 A The independent directors.
15 Q Got it. So SAS was already in	15 Q Anybody else other than independent
16 existence when you got the idea or when you	16 directors ever deal with them?
17 when you achieved the formation of Sentinel, it	17 A I'm sure other vendors, auditors,
18 was arranged that SAS would share some of its	18 counsel.
19 office space with Sentinel?	19 Q Is there anyone employed by Highland
20 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	20 Capital Management, to your knowledge, who ever
21 THE WITNESS: Correct.	21 dealt with Beecher Carlson other than the
22 BY MR. CLUBOK:	22 independent directors?
23 Q And how many employees did Sentinel	23 A Not to my knowledge.
24 have, if any?	24 Q Was there anyone at Highland strike
25 A Other than the independent directors,	25 that.

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

26 (101 to 104)

	Conducted on	Jυ	ily 29, 2021	
	101			103
1	Was there any Highland Capital	1	Highland with Highland Capital, when was the	
2	Management employee who ever performed any	2	last time you discussed the insurance policy with	
3	services on behalf of Sentinel Reinsurance?	3	anyone in the world?	
4	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	4	A Anyone in the world?	
5	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	5	Q Yes.	
6	BY MR. CLUBOK:	6	A Other than counsel?	
7	Q What about JP Sevilla?	7	Q No. Now I'm talking about while you	
8	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	8	were still and you've got Mr. Feinstein here	
9	THE WITNESS: My understanding, he did	9	who will object or tell you not to answer. But	
10	not provide services to Sentinel.	1	I'm including now	
	BY MR. CLUBOK:	11	_	
12		12	•	
	Highland who was a director for Sentinel?		anyone in the world, while you were still at	
14			Highland Capital Management. And so let me ask	
	Mr. DiOrio was.		the question again: What was the last time you	
16			spoke to anyone about the ATE policy that was	
	strike that.		taken out in connection with the UBS litigation in	
18			New York while you were still employed at Highland	
	his employment with Highland Capital Management?		Capital Management?	
20		20	· ·	
21		21		
	BY MR. CLUBOK:		discussed last fall with Mr. Leventon.	
23			BY MR. CLUBOK:	
	his employment with Highland Capital Management,	$\frac{23}{24}$		
	ill he resigned?		fall with Mr. Leventon?	
2.	102	23	Tail With 14th . De Venton .	104
1	A I don't know I don't know when that	1	A Yes.	104
2	ended.	2	Q And in great a detail as you can,	
3	Q You are aware that he's well, he was	3	describe that conversation.	
4	still a director of Sentinel Reinsurance at the	4	A I don't remember much about the	
5	time that you and he were terminated from Highland	5	conversation. I just remember discussing the	
6	Capital Management; correct?	6	existence of the policy with Mr. Leventon.	
7	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	7	Q What did what did you say to him?	
8	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	8	A I was discussing what the independent	
9	BY MR. CLUBOK:	9	directors may or may not do.	
10		10		
	had responsibility for day-to-day any any		as possible, describe exactly what you said to him	
	duties with respect to Sentinel, whether as		and what he said to you.	
	monitoring them or dealing with them or anything	13	•	
	like that?	-	independent directors are going to do relative to	
15			the policy"?	
	particular, no.	16		
17		17	•	
	discussed the insurance policy with anyone prior	18		
	to today, other than with your counsel?		to each other about the policy, nothing else in	
20			that conversation that you can remember?	
21	•	21	•	
	2 Highland Capital Management?		That was that was pretty much the limitation.	
23		23		
	after I was terminated, no.	24		
25		25	he start that conversation?	

27 (105 to 108)

Conducted on	July 27, 2021
105	107
1 A I did.	l light of the judgment?
2 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	A No.
3 BY MR. CLUBOK:	Q Did you ever discuss the policy ever
4 Q And why did you start a conversation	4 with any of the independent directors?
5 with Mr. Leventon about the ATE policy?	5 A Never.
6 A Because Mr. Leventon handled the matter	6 Q Do you know if anyone did on behalf of
7 for UBS for years, and I wanted to get his	7 Highland?
8 thoughts on it.	8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
9 Q And so you just said to him what	9 THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
10 how did you start the conversation, as best you	10 BY MR. CLUBOK:
11 can remember?	11 Q Do you know if anybody who worked at
12 A I said, "Hey, what do you think the	12 Highland Capital Management ever discussed the ATE
13 independent directors do relative to the ATE	13 policy with any of the independent directors of
14 policy?"	14 Sentinel?
15 Q And he said, "Your guess is as good as	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
16 mine," and that was the extent of your	16 THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of
17 conversation, the best you can recall?	17 that.
18 A Pretty much.	18 MR. CLUBOK: And what was the form
19 Q Did you discuss in any way in words or	19 objection for that question, Ms. Smith?
20 substance the question of whether or not the	20 MS. SMITH: Mr. Clubok, that calls for
21 directors even knew about the policy?	21 speculation. And I have been helping you out with
22 A The directors of Sentinel knew about	22 your questions, and it is not my job to help you
23 the policy? No, I wouldn't, because it's obvious	23 rephrase your questions.
24 they know about a policy they issued.	24 MR. CLUBOK: Yeah. My question was: Do
25 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Your I apologize. I	25 you know? So it doesn't call for speculation. It
106	108
1 misunderstood your answer.	1 very clearly does not. I'm just going to you
You asked Mr. Leventon what he thought	2 know, I sometimes ask you for your form objections
3 the independent directors at Sentinel would do	3 when I really don't understand them.
4 relative to the policy?	4 Sometimes your answers have helped me
5 A Yes.	5 and I understand. Other times like that, I remain
6 Q You I thought you meant the 7 directors who had been put in place at Highland.	6 perplexed how you could say it calls for
1	7 speculation. Maybe I maybe you didn't hear me
8 But that's	8 ask him starting that question with, "Do you 9 know". But I'm going to ask you, please if
9 A No.	
10 Q Okay. So let me let me just make 11 sure the record's clear so and I apologize if	10 it's a question like that going forward, please
11 sure the record's clear so and I apologize II 12 I if it was clear from your mind, but I didn't	<ul> <li>11 don't make an objection if it's not warranted.</li> <li>MS. SMITH: I'll make my objections</li> </ul>
13 understand.	13 when needed.
14 When you had this conversation with Mr.	14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, this is the
15 Leventon, you asked him what he thought the	15 videographer.
16 independent directors of Sentinel would do with	16 I think the witness is frozen.
17 respect to the ATE policy in light of the judgment	17 MR. CLUBOK: Oh, dear. Well, I guess
18 that had been entered into UBS's favor; correct?	18 it was a good time for Ms. Smith and I to have
19 A Correct.	19 that little colloquy.
	20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Should we go off the
20 Q And he said in words or substance "Your 21 guess is as good as mine"?	21 record?
21 guess is as good as mine?  22 A Yes.	22 MR. CLUBOK: Yeah.
23 Q Did you ask him whether or not he had	
24 communicated anything with the independent	24 record at 12:37 p.m.
25 directors about what to do with the policy in	25 (Recess taken from 12:37 p.m. to 1:11

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

28 (109 to 112)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
109	111
1 p.m.)	1 A No, I was not.
2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on	2 Q So why were you the one having that
3 the record at 1:11 p.m. eastern time.	3 meeting?
4 BY MR. CLUBOK:	4 A As a representative of the
5 Q So, Mr. Ellington, we were talking	5 shareholders. I wasn't having the meeting; it was
6 about the independent directors of Sentinel who	6 requested by CIMA.
7 you were discussing what they might do or not do	7 Q And, sorry, you represented the
8 with respect to the ATE policy last fall with Mr.	8 shareholders of Sentinel?
9 Leventon; do you remember that before the break?	9 MS. SMITH: Objection
10 A Yes.	THE WITNESS: No, as a shareholder of
11 Q And who were the independent directors	11 Sentinel or an entity relative to me as a
12 of Sentinel at the time?	12 shareholder.
13 A I don't know. I know one of them's	13 BY MR. CLUBOK:
14 name was Jan. I don't know their names.	14 Q So you were you were there as a
15 Q When was the last time you spoke to any	15 shareholder in Sentinel?
16 independent director at Sentinel?	16 A Correct.
17 A I believe it was August of '19.	17 Q And in that so you did have some
18 Q And why did you speak to them in August	18 direct economic interest in Sentinel at the time?
19 of 2019?	19 A No, not true.
20 A A required meeting with Cayman's	20 Q Okay. You had indirect interest in the
21 Cayman Islands Monetary Authority.	21 equity value of Sentinel.
22 Q Did you discuss the UBS litigation at	22 A Like I said, or entities related to me.
23 all during that conversation?	23 Q Were you the only person who was there
24 A Not with the independent directors, but	24 before CIMA representing the interest of the
25 with CIMA.	25 shareholders?
110	112
1 Q What's CIMA?	1 A Yes.
2 A Cayman Islands Monetary Authority.	2 Q Was anyone else involved in that
3 Q And this is after the trial in the UBS	3 meeting?
4 litigation?	4 A Yes.
5 A No, previous to the trial.	5 Q Who?
6 Q You said it was August of 2019.	6 A Mr. Sevilla was there. Mr. DiOrio was
7 A Oh, yeah, sorry. I'm sorry, Andy, I	7 there. The director's first name is Jan I
8 was I thought you meant the verdict. Sorry,	8 don't know his last name independent director
9 yes, after the trial.	9 of Sentinel, and Sentinel's counsel.
10 Q Okay. So after the trial, but prior to	10 Q Who was Sentinel's counsel?
11 the verdict, you spoke with Cayman Islands	11 A Her first name was Simone. I don't
12 Monetary Authority about the UBS litigation?	12 remember her last name.
13 A Correct.	13 Q From what firm?
14 Q And what was the nature of that	14 A I believe she was from Soloman Harris,
15 investigation?	15 but I don't know that for a fact.
16 A They wanted an update as to the status	16 Q Was she a Cayman attorney?
17 of the litigation.	17 A Yes.
18 Q Why?	18 Q And was this meeting in the Cayman
19 A Because they have minimum annual	19 Islands?
20 meetings with the, at least, a director and other	20 A Yes.
21 functions of the reinsurer to get a status on the	21 Q And about how long did it last?
22 portfolio.	22 A The portion I was involved in lasted
23 It is just an annual review that is	23 about half an hour.
24 required by CIMA.	24 Q Now, Katie Irving was also at that
25 Q Well, were you a director of CIMA?	25 meeting; correct.

	on July 29, 2021
113	115
1 A I believe so, but I'm not certain.	1 you?
2 I cant remember, but I believe se may have been.	2 A I did not speak at the meeting.
3 Q And why was she there?	3 Q Who did?
4 A She was along on the trip to do other	4 A Mostly Cayman counsel. I remember Jan
5 business with us, and she attended the meeting.	5 discussing the portfolio, and potentially
6 Q What business was Ms. Irving doing that	6 Mr. DiOrio. I don't recall if he spoke or not.
7 was unrelated to Sentinel?	7 Q Did anyone give any details about what
8 A Relative to SAS Management.	8 had happened at the trial?
9 Q So Ms. Irving was in the Caymans on	9 A No.
10 that trip only with respect to business on behalf	Q Did anyone talk about the merits of the
11 of SAS?	11 UBS litigation in any way?
12 A Yes.	12 A No.
13 Q But you brought her along to this	Q At the time now, you previously said
14 meeting with CIMA that was specifically focused on	14 a number of times that you believed that the
15 Sentinel?	15 defendants were going to lose that litigation even
16 A Yes.	16 before the verdict came out; right?
17 Q Why?	17 A Yes, I did.
18 A Because she asked if she should come	Q And in fact, you believed there was a
19 and listen, and I said sure.	19 decent chance that the defendants would get hit
20 Q Why?	20 with substantial portion, if not all, of the
21 A I guess she had a curiosity. I don't	21 billion dollars that was being sought; correct?
22 know.	A I didn't have an idea as to damages,
23 Q Did you report to anyone about this	23 but I thought the there was a likelihood that
24 meeting who was not at the meeting?	24 the defendants would lose.
25 A No.	Q And you thought there was a likelihood
114	116
1 Q So, no one other than you, Mr. Sevilla,	1 that the damages would be substantial, at least in
2 Mr. DiOrio, Jan, Sentinel's counsel and Ms. Irving	2 the several hundred million dollar range; correct?
3 were informed about this meeting to the best of	3 A Again, I had no insight into what
4 your knowledge?	4 damages or how they would be calculated, but I
5 A To my knowledge, that's the only people	5 thought the defendants would lose.
6 that were informed, yes.	6 Q And you said a number of times that it
7 Q And at this meeting, did you talk about	7 didn't surprise you at all about the size or the
8 the prospects this is after the trial had	8 magnitude of the damages verdict; correct?
9 already occurred; right?	9 A Correct.
10 A I believe so, yeah.	10 Q And you had warned Mr. Dondero, in
11 Q And so for example, at the trial, the	11 words or substance, that this was likely to occur
12 court ruled from the bench that in a way that	12 before the verdict came; correct?
13 disallowed one of the defendant's arguments	13 A Yes.
14 specifically with respect to offset for hedging.	14 Q Did you ever communicate those beliefs
15 Do you remember that?	15 about the likelihood of a large judgment being
16 A I do.	16 issued against the defendants to anybody
17 Q What's that?	17 affiliated with Sentinel?
18 A Yes, I do.	18 A Myself, no.
19 Q Did you tell CIMA about that	19 Q Did you are you aware of those
20 development at trial?	20 beliefs about the likelihood of a large judgment
21 A I did not tell CIMA anything about the	21 being issued against the defendants in the UBS
• •	
22 developments at trial.	22 litigation being communicated to anyone affiliated
23 Q Did they ask?	23 with Sentinel?
24 A No, they did not.	24 A Am I personally aware of it? No. 25 Q Were you made did you get any
25 Q Who spoke at the meeting, other than	

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

30 (117 to 120)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021	
117	1	119
1 strike that.	1 objection for that question?	
2 Did you ever come to believe that	2 MS. SMITH: I'm not going to explain	
anyone at Sentinel was being advised as to the	3 all of my objections.	
4 likelihood of a significant judgment coming out of	4 MR. CLUBOK: All right. Okay. I have	
5 the trial?	5 a right to ask, and if you don't have a okay.	
6 A Yes.	6 I have a right to correct the form objection. So	
7 Q Describe the nature of that belief and	7 if there is a form objection, I have the right to	
8 the circumstances.	8 ask you what the basis is, so I can correct it if	
9 A I believe, and I was told anecdotally,	9 it's if it's necessary.	
10 that Cayman counsel was following the matter very	10 Are you going to tell me anything more	
11 closely and updating the directors in their	11 than just objection to form?	
12 capacity.	12 MS. SMITH: Okay. Well, any other	
13 Q And Cayman counsel being Simone?	13 human in the world is fake.	
14 A Yes. And I believe there may have been	14 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
-		
15 other Cayman counsel that Sentinel directors	15 Q Okay. Mr. Ellington, when I say any	
16 retained, but I don't know that.	16 other human in the world, are you confused that I	
17 Q Who told you that Cayman counsel was	17 might be talking about animals or something;	
18 following it very closely?	18 nonhuman nonhumans?	
19 A Simone.	19 A Not confused. But it's certainly very	
20 Q And but you never saw her	20 difficult to remember what I said to every human	
21 communicate strike that.	21 in the world, well over a period of five years.	
You never were copied on any	22 Q Sure. But between August of 2019, when	
23 communication she had with the Sentinel directors	23 you met in the Caymans with CIMA and the	
24 about the merits of the litigation?	24 discussions you had with Mr. Leventon that you've	
25 A Never.	25 described in the fall of 2020, did you discuss the	
118		120
1 Q And you don't know anything more than	1 ATE policy with anybody else at all that you can	
2 she assured you that the Sentinel directors were	2 think of, sitting here today?	
3 being closely informed?	3 A Not that I recall.	
4 A A general statement as we were walking	4 Q Did Mr. Leventon ever seek out your	
5 from the car into CIMA.	5 advice as to whether or not to disclose the	
6 Q So she told you on the way in words to	6 existence of the policy to the independent	
7 the effect that the directors know all about the	7 directors that were appointed to manage Highland's	
8 events of the litigation and that prospects?	8 affairs in the bankruptcy?	
9 A Yes.	9 A Not that I specifically recall.	
10 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.	Q Did Mr. Leventon ever seek out your	
11 THE WITNESS: Yes.	11 advice as to whether or not to disclose the	
12 BY MR. CLUBOK:	12 existence of the ATE policy to the Pachulski firm	
13 Q Other than that, were you ever made	or any lawyer that was representing Highland in	
14 aware in any way of what extent to which the	14 connection with the bankruptcy?	
15 directors at Sentinel were being kept apprized of	15 A Not that I recall.	
16 the prospects for the New York litigation against	16 Q Do you recall ever discussing with	
17 UBS?	17 Mr. Leventon whether or not the ATE policy should	
18 A No.	18 be disclosed in connection with the bankruptcy?	
19 Q And in between that meeting in August	19 A No, not Mr. Leventon.	
20 of '19 and the meeting in the fall with Isaac	20 Q With anyone?	
21 Leventon where you described, did you ever discuss	21 A Maybe I didn't understand your	
22 the ATE policy with any other human in the world?	22 question. I'm sorry.	
-	1 .	
THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.	24 it again?	
MR. CLUBOK: And what's the form	25 Q Did you ever recall discussing with	

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

31 (121 to 124)

Conducted or	n July 29, 2021	
121	123	
1 anybody whether or not the ATE policy should be	1 with Mr. Dawson.	
2 disclosed to anybody in connection with the	2 Did you initiate that conversation?	
3 bankruptcy?	3 MS. SMITH: Objection objection.	
4 A I remember having a conversation with	4 I'm going to object to the extent that any of	
5 Cayman counsel in regards to that.	5 these answers require you to divulge privileged	
6 Q Who at Cayman counsel?	6 information.	
7 A Sam Dawson.	7 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
8 Q And when was that discussion?	8 Q Did you let's go back to this	
9 A Sometime post the filing. I don't	9 conversation with Mr. Dawson.	
10 remember when. Post the debtor filing bankruptcy.	10 Did you initiate that conversation?	
11 Q So at that time you were the general	11 A I did not.	
12 counsel for Highland; correct?	12 Q He called you.	
13 A Yes.	MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged.	
14 Q And Highland was in bankruptcy?	14 THE WITNESS: I was speaking to	
15 A Yes.	15 Mr. Dawson on an unrelated matter.	
16 Q And the Pachulski firm was representing	16 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
17 Highland in the bankruptcy?	17 Q Okay. And who brought up the issue of	
18 A The Pachulski firm notified us in	18 the ATE policy?	
19 writing that they weren't representing Highland,	19 A I don't recall if it was me or him.	
20 they were representing the independent board.	20 Q And describe what was said about it.	
21 Q Okay. Pachulski was representing the	21 MS. SMITH: Objection to the extent it	
22 independent board.	22 requires you to disclose privileged information.	
So the board had already been named in	23 THE WITNESS: I think I'm clearly	
24 the bankruptcy; correct?	24 describing privileged information.	
25 A Yes.	25 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
122	124	
1 Q In the discussion with Mr. Dawson?	1 Q Sorry, who was Mr. Dawson representing	
2 A Yes, that's my recollection.	2 at that point in the in connection with that	
Q And his name is Dawson, D-A-W-S-O-N?	3 discussion?	
4 A Yes.	4 A I believe he was Sentinel's counsel at	
5 Q And you were you say that at the	5 that point.	
6 time, Pachulski was not representing the debtor.	6 Q And you believed when you had this	
7 A Very very soon after the independent	7 discussion you were acting with your Sentinel hat	
8 award was put in place, Mr. Pomerantz maybe	8 on exclusively?	
9 others, wrote correspondence to a subset of us,	9 A I believe this discussion I was having	
10 I believe a legal group maybe I don't	10 a conversation on an unrelated matter and the	
11 remember who all was included that said that	11 issue arose.	
12 they did not represent Highland and certainly	Q Right. But I'm in this particular	
13 didn't represent the employees and could not	13 issue of whether or not to disclose the ATE policy	
14 provide us with legal advice. There was no	14 to the independent board, is it your testimony	
15 privilege that they they represented the	15 that when you spoke I want to understand. What	
16 independent board, which needless to say we were a	16 capacity were you speaking with Mr. Dawson about	
17 little shocked by since they are called debtor's	17 when you had the just that portion of the	
18 counsel.	18 discussion. I haven't asked you about the rest of	
19 Q And you understood them to say that	19 your discussion, but I'm asking about the question	
20 there would be no privy with any discussions that	20 about whether to disclose the ATE policy to the	
21 you had with the Pachulski firm from that point	21 independent board was and and actually let	
22 forward?	22 me take a step back.	
23 A That's my recollection of the	23 At that time, did you understand that	
24 correspondence.	24 you reported to the independent board?	
25 Q So let's get back to this conversation	25 A No, I didn't report to the independent	
	<u> </u>	

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

32 (125 to 128)

Conducted of	1 July 29, 2021
125	127
1 board. I believe I reported to Jim Seery at that	1 general counsel of Highland's hat on or some
2 point.	2 different hat on?
3 Q At that point, you reported to Mr.	3 A It was no hat. It was a social call.
4 Seery?	4 His wife had recently passed away from cancer, and
5 A I believe so, yes.	5 I was calling to give him my condolences.
6 Q And did you discuss with Mr. Dawson	6 Q So you are saying this is privileged
7 whether to disclose the ATE policy to Mr. Seery?	7 advice.
	8 Whose privilege is it?
9 reporting to Mr. Dondero at this time. I just	9 A Well, the other matters we talked about
10 don't remember the timeframe.	10 was an SAS matter.
11 Q Okay.	11 Q I'm not asking about any other matter.
12 A Because it's kind of fuzzy of when	12 I'm asking what's the portion of that conversation
13 Mr. Seery became the CEO and when Mr. Dondero was	13 about whether or not to disclose the ATE policy
14 the CEO.	14 that was taken out in connection with the UBS New
15 Q What did Mr what did Mr. Dawson	15 York litigation to the directors that were
16 tell you what to do in terms of whether or not to	16 appointed on the restructuring. That's the only
17 disclose the ATE policy to Mr. Seery?	17 part of this conversation I'm asking about.
18 A He was not	18 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.
19 MS. SMITH: Objection.	19 BY MR. CLUBOK:
20 THE WITNESS: not disclosing it to	20 Q Okay. With respect to the part of the
21 the board, he was discussing ATE policies in	21 conversation where Mr. Dawson gave you advice as
22 general.	22 to whether or not to disclose the ATE policy to
23 BY MR. CLUBOK:	23 the ORG members who had been appointed in the
24 Q In my opinion, he was just talking	24 restructuring case, whose privilege are you
25 about ATE policies in general, not this specific	25 asserting for not disclosing what he said? Who
126	128
1 ATE policy?	1 was the entity that had the privilege there?
2 A Correct.	2 A It was going to be Sentinel's privilege
3 Q And okay. This kind of this line	3 since he was, I believe, Sentinel's counsel at the
4 of questioning began when I asked you if you	4 time.
5 recall discussing with anybody whether or not the	5 Q So you were speaking to him in that
6 ATE policy should be disclosed to anybody in	6 with that respect to that part of the
7 connection with the bankruptcy, and you said you	7 conversation, just on behalf of Sentinel and not
8 had a conversation with Sam Dawson in regards to	8 on behalf of Highland Capital Management; is that
9 that.	9 what you're saying?
10 A About generally in a matter of practice	10 A I didn't sit there I didn't sit
11 under Cayman law if the ATE policy should be	11 there and parse through an extemporaneous
12 disclosed and when.	12 conversation of what hat I was wearing. But since
13 Q What did he tell you?	
1	13 he's Sentinel counsel, I think it's their
MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged.	14 privilege because since he was having legal
<ul> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly</li> </ul>	14 privilege because since he was having legal 15 advice.
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation.	<ul> <li>14 privilege because since he was having legal</li> <li>15 advice.</li> <li>16 Q Right. But at the time you were</li> </ul>
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation. 17 BY MR. CLUBOK:	<ul> <li>14 privilege because since he was having legal</li> <li>15 advice.</li> <li>16 Q Right. But at the time you were</li> <li>17 general counsel of Highland Capital Management.</li> </ul>
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation. 17 BY MR. CLUBOK: 18 Q Sorry, and you because you were at	<ul> <li>14 privilege because since he was having legal</li> <li>15 advice.</li> <li>16 Q Right. But at the time you were</li> <li>17 general counsel of Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>18 And I'm going to ask again and I believe</li> </ul>
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation. 17 BY MR. CLUBOK:	<ul> <li>14 privilege because since he was having legal</li> <li>15 advice.</li> <li>16 Q Right. But at the time you were</li> <li>17 general counsel of Highland Capital Management.</li> </ul>
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation. 17 BY MR. CLUBOK: 18 Q Sorry, and you because you were at	<ul> <li>14 privilege because since he was having legal</li> <li>15 advice.</li> <li>16 Q Right. But at the time you were</li> <li>17 general counsel of Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>18 And I'm going to ask again and I believe</li> </ul>
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation. 17 BY MR. CLUBOK: 18 Q Sorry, and you because you were at 19 the time not getting advice were you were	<ul> <li>14 privilege because since he was having legal</li> <li>15 advice.</li> <li>16 Q Right. But at the time you were</li> <li>17 general counsel of Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>18 And I'm going to ask again and I believe</li> <li>19 Mr. Feinstein clearly by his silence is not</li> </ul>
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation. 17 BY MR. CLUBOK: 18 Q Sorry, and you because you were at 19 the time not getting advice were you were 20 you at the time seeking advice on behalf well,	<ul> <li>14 privilege because since he was having legal</li> <li>15 advice.</li> <li>16 Q Right. But at the time you were</li> <li>17 general counsel of Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>18 And I'm going to ask again and I believe</li> <li>19 Mr. Feinstein clearly by his silence is not</li> <li>20 asserting any privilege that you obtained while</li> </ul>
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation. 17 BY MR. CLUBOK: 18 Q Sorry, and you because you were at 19 the time not getting advice were you were 20 you at the time seeking advice on behalf well, 21 at the time you were general counsel still of	14 privilege because since he was having legal 15 advice. 16 Q Right. But at the time you were 17 general counsel of Highland Capital Management. 18 And I'm going to ask again and I believe 19 Mr. Feinstein clearly by his silence is not 20 asserting any privilege that you obtained while 21 you were still being paid by Highland Capital
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation. 17 BY MR. CLUBOK: 18 Q Sorry, and you because you were at 19 the time not getting advice were you were 20 you at the time seeking advice on behalf well, 21 at the time you were general counsel still of 22 Highland; right? 23 A Correct.	14 privilege because since he was having legal 15 advice. 16 Q Right. But at the time you were 17 general counsel of Highland Capital Management. 18 And I'm going to ask again and I believe 19 Mr. Feinstein clearly by his silence is not 20 asserting any privilege that you obtained while 21 you were still being paid by Highland Capital 22 Management what was the advice Mr. Dawson gave 23 you as to whether or not you should disclose that
14 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I'm clearly 16 into privileged conversation. 17 BY MR. CLUBOK: 18 Q Sorry, and you because you were at 19 the time not getting advice were you were 20 you at the time seeking advice on behalf well, 21 at the time you were general counsel still of 22 Highland; right? 23 A Correct.	14 privilege because since he was having legal 15 advice. 16 Q Right. But at the time you were 17 general counsel of Highland Capital Management. 18 And I'm going to ask again and I believe 19 Mr. Feinstein clearly by his silence is not 20 asserting any privilege that you obtained while 21 you were still being paid by Highland Capital 22 Management what was the advice Mr. Dawson gave

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

Conducted of	n July 29, 2021
129	131
1 MS. SMITH: Objection, privileged. I'm	wonder if I have a fiduciary obligation to
2 going to instruct you not to answer.	2 disclose the existence of ATE policy to Mr. Seery?
3 MR. CLUBOK: And the and I want you	3 MS. SMITH: Objection.
4 to lay out exactly the basis of your instruction,	4 BY MR. CLUBOK:
5 Ms. Smith.	5 Q Did you consider that question in words
6 You are asserting purely on behalf of	
7 Sentinel; is that correct?	7 MS. SMITH: Objection, calls for a
8 MS. SMITH: I'm instructing	8 legal conclusion.
9 Mr. Ellington not to answer to the extent it	9 THE WITNESS: I generally considered
10 discloses privileged information of Sentinel.	10 it, yes.
11 BY MR. CLUBOK:	11 BY MR. CLUBOK:
12 Q Are you are you instructing him	12 Q And did you consult anyone for advice,
13 specifically not to so so the fact that	13 other than this whatever general discussion you
14 Mr. Ellington was general counsel of Highland at	14 had with Mr. Dawson in the context of the social
15 the time, you are saying that in this	15 call?
16 conversation, though, you did not you're	16 A No.
17 parsing the information in your head so that	MS. SMITH: Objection to the extent it
18 Highland doesn't didn't gain access to it?	18 calls for privileged information.
19 MS. SMITH: No, I'm listening to his	19 BY MR. CLUBOK:
20 testimony where he testified that it was a social	20 Q And did you independently conclude
21 call. He did not call him as general counsel of	21 whether or not as the general counsel of Highland
=	
22 Highland Capital Management LP.	22 Capital Management you had a duty to disclose the
He called as a social call, and he	23 existence of the ATE policy to Mr. Seery or the
24 asked a question generally I don't have the	24 Pachulski firm?
25 realtime up, but he asked a question generally	25 MS. SMITH: Objection, legal
130	132
1 about ATE's policies and Sentinel.	1 conclusion.
2 BY MR. CLUBOK:	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have generally
3 Q And did you ask him did you ever ask	3 concluded.
4 anybody, in your capacity as general counsel of	4 BY MR. CLUBOK:
5 Highland, for any advice as to whether or not you	What was your conclusion?
6 should disclose the existence of the ATE policy to	6 A That I was under no obligation to
7 the board that was appointed in the restructuring	7 disclose it.
8 case?	8 Q What was that based on?
9 A No.	9 A As I previously said, Pachulski had
10 Q Did you ever ask anybody, in your	10 said they had no representation of any Highland
11 capacity as general counsel for Highland, for any	11 employee, only an independent board. They didn't
12 advice as to whether or not you should disclose	12 represent the debtor. There was no privilege.
13 the existence of the ATE policy to Jim Seery?	13 I as you know, you had even asked to
14 A No.	14 speak to me because you and I had always had a
Q Did you ever consider the question of	15 good rapport of trying to solve things and had
16 whether or not you should disclose the existence	16 made numerous attempts to solve things. And I was
17 of the ATE policy to Jim Seery in the perspective	17 affirmatively told by Pachulski and the
18 of your role as general counsel of Highland	18 independent board not to be a part of any
19 Capital Management?	19 settlement discussions in any way and not to speak
<ul> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: What do you mean by</li> </ul>	20 to you in any way.
THE WITNESS: What do you mean by	21 Q Is there you knew that Mr. Seery and
22 consider?	22 the Pachulski firm was at some point making an
22 consider? 23 BY MR. CLUBOK:	22 the Pachulski firm was at some point making an 23 effort to identify all of the assets of CDO Fund,
22 consider?	22 the Pachulski firm was at some point making an

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

34 (133 to 136)

Conducted	on July 29, 2021
133	135
1 THE WITNESS: I generally knew that	1 would also trigger the policy; correct?
2 they were they were looking at the assets of	2 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
3 those entities, yes.	3 THE WITNESS: I don't have the
4 BY MR. CLUBOK:	4 expertise to say whether that triggers a policy or
5 Q And you knew that the trigger for the	5 not. That's not a determination I could make.
6 ATE policy had already occurred as of the date of	6 BY MR. CLUBOK:
7 the judgment?	7 Q Isn't it true that Mr. Leventon at some
8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	8 point consulted with you about whether or not he
9 BY MR. CLUBOK:	9 should disclose the policy to either Mr. Seery or
10 Q Correct?	10 the lawyers at the Pachulski firm?
11 A I disagree.	11 A I don't know.
12 Q Why do you disagree with that?	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
13 A It was intimated to me that the trigger	13 BY MR. CLUBOK:
14 would be a perfection of a judgment from New York	14 Q Sorry, can you answer the I think
15 to the Cayman Islands.	15 your answer came in over Ms. Smith's objection, so
16 Q Who intimated that to you?	16 I'll ask the question again.
17 A CIMA.	17 Is it true that Mr. Leventon at some
18 MS. SMITH: Objection.	18 point consulted with you about whether or not he
19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	19 should disclose the ATE policy to either Mr. Seery
	20 or the lawyers at the Pachulski firm?
1	
	22 Q Between other than this meeting with
23 A In the meeting aforementioned in August	23 CIMA and the discussion you had with Mr. Leventon,
24 of '19.	24 did you ever discuss the ATE policy with anyone
25 Q So it was intimated to you that until	25 else in the world since the bankruptcy that you
134	136 1 can recall other than
1 the judgment was perfected in the Cayman Islands,	
2 there was no trigger for paying ATE?	2 A Not that I recall.
A Yes.	3 Q other than Ms. Smith?
Q Who specifically intimated that to you?	4 A Not that I recall.
5 A CIMA.	5 Q Did you ever discuss when was the
6 Q Who, name of a human being.	6 last time you spoke to Mr. Dondero about the ATE
7 A I don't know the human being's name.	7 policy?
8 There was five people from CIMA in the room. It	8 A I would say at least two years.
9 was three years ago.	9 Q And what was the nature of that
10 Q When you say it was intimated, what do	10 conversation?
11 you mean?	11 A I believe that Mr. Dondero asked me if
12 A They said there is not a claim on the	12 it was still in place.
13 policy to perfect the judgment here.	13 Q Roughly when was that?
14 Q Was it a man or a woman who said that?	14 A I would say sometime in 2018.
15 A It was a man.	15 That's that's my best guess.
16 Q Was it the man who was the was there	16 Q Was it before or after the trial?
17 one person who was the principal spokesperson for	17 A Before.
18 CIMA at this meeting?	18 Q So before the trial, he asked you if
19 A Yes.	19 the ATE policy was still in place?
20 Q And you just don't remember his name?	20 A Yes.
21 A No.	21 Q And what did you say?
22 Q Did you have any documents, records of	22 A I said as far as I know, yes.
23 this meeting?	23 Q And after the trial, but before the
24 A No.	24 judgment, did you ever speak with Mr. Dondero
25 Q And now if there is a settlement, that	25 again about the ATE policy?

35 (137 to 140)

#### 137 139 A Not that I recall. A Absolutely. It is my fault, and there Q And after the judgment, did you ever is some slight delay on my end, so I think that's talk to Mr. Dondero about how the ATE policy could part of the problem. I apologize. somehow be used to satisfy the judgment or settle Q That's okay. I appreciate -- again, the case? like I said, I appreciate your effort to just answer these questions clearly, but you overshot 6 A No. MS. SMITH: Objection to form. that by a scooch. THE WITNESS: Not that I recall. 8 A I apologize to both you and Ms. Smith and the court reporter. I'm not trying to do that BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Right before the bankruptcy, you tried 11 to settle the claims against CDO Fund, SOHC and Q No, no, I understand. I understand. 11 12 HFP; correct? 12 A Yeah. 13 A Yes, I approached you to try to 13 Q So let me just ask it one more time. 14 structure a settlement. 14 You never disclosed in the course of Q And you claimed at the time that those 15 any settlement discussions with UBS's counsel that 16 funds were ghost funds, in your words; correct? 16 there was an ATE policy that could satisfy a 17 17 potential settlement of the claims against CDO 18 Q And you -- basically, you said, in 18 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 19 substance, though, they had no assets left, but if A The only person I discussed it with was 20 there was a settlement, that Mr. Dondero could 20 you, and, no, I did not disclose that. 21 come up with funds from some other source to 21 Q I apologize if I've asked this earlier: 22 satisfy a relatively small settlement on behalf of 22 Did Sentinel ever have a shared services agreement 23 those funds; is that true? 23 with Highland Capital Management? A On behalf of all defendants, yes. A Not that I'm aware of. 24 24 25 Q Well, you specifically talked about --25 Q Does it have one today with Skyview? 138 140 1 you specifically talked about settling the A Not that I'm aware of. 1 2 non-HCM-related claims for a relatively small Q Does it have a client relationship with 2 amount and then separately agreeing to an allowed 3 Skyview? claim for HCM; isn't that true? 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm --5 A That was one of the options you and I MS. SMITH: Object to the form. discussed. We discussed many options. THE WITNESS: Sorry, not that I'm aware 6 Q And you never disclosed the fact that 7 of. 8 there was an ATE policy that could satisfy a BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 potential settlement of the claims against CDO 9 O Let's turn to what's behind tab 2. 10 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 10 Exhibit 50. I think we do have here -- we've asked 11 A Correct. 12 you before about the ownership interest, and you 12 -- (overspeaking) --13 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. 13 said you had seen some documents. Hopefully this 14 BY MR. CLUBOK: 14 will help refresh your recollection. Q I want to make sure the court reporter 15 A You want me to go ahead and open the 16 got the -- okay. There was simultaneous speakers, 16 envelope, Mr. Clubok? 17 so I don't think she heard your answer even though Q Yeah, open Exhibit 50. Exhibit 50 --18 the audio will capture it. 18 while you're opening it, I'll just describe -- is And do me a favor, Mr. Ellington. 19 an email exchange that starts with an email from 20 Since Ms. Smith is objecting sometimes, if you 20 Mr. Sevilla to SEI-IS-Highland and that appears to 21 could -- I really appreciate you giving answers 21 be to someone named Daniel Bowen, and there is 22 quickly on the one hand. On the other hand, if 22 some back and forth that continues through the 23 you could just take one more beat so that you are 23 email chain between these two individuals. 24 not talking over her as she's making her 24 Do you have Exhibit 50 in front of you? 25 objections, that will be helpful. 25 A I do.

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

36 (141 to 144)

Conducted or	n July 29, 2021
141	143
1 Q Do you know who Mr. Bowen is?	1 to look at the whole exhibit.
2 A I do not.	2 THE WITNESS: No, I have not.
Q Do you know what SEIC is?	3 BY MR. CLUBOK:
4 A Say that again. I'm sorry.	4 Q I have a very specific question.
5 Q Do you know what SEIC is?	5 MR. CLUBOK: And I'd appreciate no
6 A I do not.	6 speaking objections or instructions like that when
7 Q Or SEI-IS?	7 they're not necessary.
8 A I do not.	8 BY MR. CLUBOK:
9 Q Okay. So, in this email Mr. Sevilla	9 Q Sometimes, Mr. Ellington, if it's
10 this is August 28th, 2017 and the subject is	10 necessary to read a whole document, that's fine,
11 "Highland Multi-track Transfer."	11 obviously. But I'm going to just ask and I'll
Do you see that?	12 ask my question more clearly: Just with respect to
13 A Yes.	13 this particular statement that Sentinel Re
14 Q And it says, "SEI. Please see transfer	14 Holdings is a limited partner in the fund and
15 documents attached from Multi Strat firm. Please	15 Sentinel Reinsurance Limited is 100 percent owned
16 let me know if you have any questions."	16 by Sentinel Re Holdings, do you have any basis to
Do you see that?	17 disagree with those statements?
18 A I do.	18 A I don't have any basis to agree or
19 Q And you don't know who SEI is in that	19 disagree. I don't have enough information to
20 context?	20 Q Is this the kind of yeah, is this
21 A I do not.	21 the kind of information you would trust JP Sevilla
22 Q And you see Mr. Bowman responds and	22 to report on accurately during this time period?
23 says, "We are in the process of reviewing the	23 A I don't have any reason to believe that
24 attached and still require additional	24 Mr. Sevilla would be inaccurate.
25 documentation. Can you please provide" and there	25 Q Why was Mr. Sevilla tasked with
142	144
1 are a number of things that they list.	1 dealing with the Highland Multi Strat transfer?
2 Do you see that?	2 A I don't know.
3 A Yes, I do.	3 Q August 28, 2017 is around the time
4 Q And one of them that's shaded out	4 shortly there shortly after the time that the
5 that's maybe hard for you to read. And it says,	5 ATE policy was purchased; correct?
6 "List of beneficial owners with 25 percent or more	6 A I don't know.
7 interest. Additional AML required."	7 Q Well, there was a highly Multi Strat
8 Do you see that?	8 transfer in connection with the purchase of the
9 A I do.	9 ATE policy; correct?
10 Q And Mr. Sevilla says, 'Please note that	10 A I really don't know.
11 you already have the items I've highlighted in	11 Q You do know that the intent of
12 yellow. Sentinel Re Holdings is a limited partner	12 purchasing the policy was to transfer all or
13 in the fund already and Sentinel Reinsurance	13 substantially all of the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC
14 Limited is 100 percent owned by Sentinel Re	14 and HFP; correct?
15 Holdings."	15 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
16 Do you see that?	16 THE WITNESS: The purpose of purchasing
17 A I do.	17 the policy was to transfer?
18 Q And do you have any reason to disagree	18 BY MR. CLUBOK:
19 with what Mr. Sevilla says here?	19 Q No, no, sorry, let me let me ask
20 A I don't have	20 again.
21 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.	You know, sir, that in the the part
22 And, Mr. Ellington, please review the	22 of the strike that.
23 whole exhibit before you answer specific	23 As part of the purchase of the ATE
24 questions.	24 policy, you understood that it was the intent of
25 I don't think he's had an opportunity	25 the purchasers of that policy to transfer all or
2 don't dimining a mad an opportunity	Posterimonia of vital poster, to transfer an of

### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

37 (145 to 148)

1 substantially all of the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC 2 and HIP to Sentincl as payment for that policy; 3 correct? 3 A Name with at A Linew that - 5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 6 HIE WITNESS: I knew that there was a 7 premium that was required and regulated by CIMA, 8 and I know that that had been contemptated as a 9 way to pay that premium: 10 BY MR. CLLIBOK: 11 Q And how was that premium set? 12 A I wasn't involved in those 13 conversations. 14 Q Who set that premium? 15 A From my understanding, the the level 16 of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 19 conversations, so I don't know be premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 26 to be set there was discussion involving 27 including you, that the premium would equal 28 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 29 Tud, SOHC and HEP; correct? 3 The WITNESS: I was involved in 4 time through a formal process ever 10 got to discussions at the genesis of the idea, and then 11 twent trough a formal process ever 10 got to discussions it with CIMA, there was a 11 communication that you were included on that 12 talked about using all of the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HEP; correct? 14 A I don't know. I'd have to see that 15 communication. Your question was: Was I involved 16 in discussions. Being copied on a communication 17 to me is not discussions. 19 priot to ever talking with CIMA, was to use all 20 C Yuy vou were aware that the intent, 19 priot to ever talking with CIMA, was to use all 21 would be, there was discussion involving 22 including you, that the premium would equal 23 doubtantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HEP; correct? 4 The WITNESS: I was involved in the 1 would be, there was discussion with CIMA about 2	Conducted or	n July 29, 2021
3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 A I knew that — 5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that there was a premium that was required and regulated by CIMA, 8 and I know that that had been contemplated as a 9 way to pay that premium. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And how was that premium? 12 A I wasn't involved in those 13 conversations. 14 Q Who set that premium? 15 A From my understanding, the—the level of of the premium was ultimated y set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 21 initially set? 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA. 11 would be, there was discussion involving—fineluding you, that the premium would equal substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HPP and make that be the amount 5 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter, fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: No and the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter, fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I knew that was inde at to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HPP and make that be the amount 5 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter, fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 10 get of discussions that 11 through a formular process is ever 10 get to discussions at the genesis of the idea, and then 6 it went through a fortune area of the debtor.  10 g well and there was a discussion with CIMA about 2 don't have to see that 12 talked about using all of its easets of SOHC, CDO Fund 13 through the waste of SOHC, CDO Fund 14 A I don't know. I that was to early 12 parchase the ATE policy; correct?  22 A No.		
3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 A I knew that — 5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that there was a premium that was required and regulated by CIMA, 8 and I know that that had been contemplated as a 9 way to pay that premium. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And how was that premium? 12 A I wasn't involved in those 13 conversations. 14 Q Who set that premium? 15 A From my understanding, the—the level of of the premium was ultimated y set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 21 initially set? 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA. 11 would be, there was discussion involving—fineluding you, that the premium would equal substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HPP and make that be the amount 5 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter, fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: No and the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter, fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I knew that was inde at to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HPP and make that be the amount 5 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter, fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 10 get of discussions that 11 through a formular process is ever 10 get to discussions at the genesis of the idea, and then 6 it went through a fortune area of the debtor.  10 g well and there was a discussion with CIMA about 2 don't have to see that 12 talked about using all of its easets of SOHC, CDO Fund 13 through the waste of SOHC, CDO Fund 14 A I don't know. I that was to early 12 parchase the ATE policy; correct?  22 A No.	2 and HFP to Sentinel as payment for that policy;	2 A I was involved
4 A Iknew that — 5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 6 THE WITNESS: Iknew that there was a 7 premium that was required and regulated by CIMA, and Iknow that that had been contemplated as a 9 way to pay that premium. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And how was that premium set? 12 A I wasn't involved in those 13 conversations. 14 Q Who set that premium? 15 A From my understanding, the —the level 16 of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium  would be, there was discussion involving— including you, that the premium would equal 30 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HPP, correct? 15 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 16 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the—I 17 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 18 the premium required by CIMA. 19 WHO SOL and HPP, correct? 10 Q So—but before talking to CIMA, there was a nidea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HPP, correct? 15 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 16 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the—I 17 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 18 the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculated the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HPP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 morbed at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would extually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund 25 dollar amount was settled on the there was a 26 minitially set? 27 The WITNESS: No, that's not ruc. I 28 prior to ever talk		3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
5 MS, SMITH: Objection to form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that there was a 7 premium that was required and regulated by CIMA, 8 and I know that that had been contemplated as a 9 way to pay that premium. 10 BY MR, CLUBOK: 11 Q And how was that premium set? 12 A I wasn't involved in those 13 conversations. 14 Q Who set that premium? 15 A From my understanding, the – the level 16 of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 21 A No. 22 Q You do know that before the actual dollar amount was settled on for what the premium dollar amount was settled on for what the premium dollar amount was settled on for what the premium was substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC and HFP, correct? 2  MS, SMITH: Object to the form. 2  The WITNESS: Ikne what was the – 1	4 A I knew that	· ·
6 THE WITNESS: Iknew that there was a premium that was required and regulated by CIMA, and I know that that had been contemplated as a 9 way to pay that premium.  10 BY MR. CLUBOK:  11 Q And how was that premium set?  12 A I wasn't involved in those 13 conversations.  14 Q Who set that premium?  15 A From my understanding, the—the level of of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA.  17 Q How was it initially set?  18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know.  20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set?  21 a No.  22 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium would be, there was discussion involving—including you, that the premium would equal 3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct?  5 MS. SMTH: Objection to form.  6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the -1 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach the premium required by CIMA.  9 BY MR. CLUBOK:  10 Q How was it initially set?  12 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know.  13 Fund and HFP to purchase the ATE policy; correct?  14 A I don't know. I'd have to see that 15 communication. Nor question was: Was I involved 16 in discussions. Being copied on a communication. 17 to me is not discussions.  18 Q Oay, You were aware that the intent, 19 prior to ever talking with CIMA, was to use all 20 the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund and SOHC (sic) to 21 purchase the ATE policy; correct?  22 A No.  23 M No.  24 Q You do know that before the actual 2 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO Fund 3 was not part of the process.  4 A From the very beginning — strike that.  5 Well, let's be clear. You had the initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct?  12 A That's my understanding that  13 involved at that fevel.  14 Pund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would sat	5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
7 manerous areas of the debtor. 8 mal I know that that had been contemplated as a 9 way to pay that premium. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And how was that premium set? 12 A I wasn't involved in those 13 conversations. 13 conversations. 14 Q Who set that premium? 15 A From my understanding, the — the level of of the premium was utilimately set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 26 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 27 including you, that the premium would equal 28 ubstantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I have what was the — 1 7 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So — but before talking to CIMA, 11 before ther was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 3 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I show that was going 16 to be set thereafter, fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 23 what the premium would equal 24 in the premium was ultimately and then it went 11 through a formal process was not involved in that fevel of discussion and was not part of the process.  4 A From the very beginning — strike that. 5 Well, let's be clear. You had the initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 23 what the premium would be t		
8 and I know that that had been contemplated as a 9 way to pay that premium. 9 way to pay that premium. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And how was that premium set? 12 A I wasn't involved in those 13 conversations. 14 Q Who set that premium? 15 A From my understanding, the — the level 16 of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 21 A No. 22 including you, that the premium would equal 3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the — I knew that was the idea and requirement to reach the premium required by CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was a indea to 23 alculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the — I knew that was the idea and requirement to reach the premium would be, there was a indea to 21 accludate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I knew that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 19 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 19 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 20 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in the tit went 15 microble and the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 20 MS. The WITNESS: I don't kn		
9 Q Right. But before that process ever 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q And how was that premium set? 12 A I wasn't involved in those 13 conversations. 14 Q Who set that premium? 15 A From my understanding, the – the level 16 of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium was estitled on for what the premium was settled on for what the premium was settled on for what the premium was settled and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA. 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 2 what the premium medule and saify the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 2 A From the very beginning — strike that. 2 Well, let's be clear. You had the 11 full disable the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP? correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding. I was 13 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 19 Q Will, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC. 20 Fund, and 17 you are saying as you sit here today,		
10 BY MR. CLUBOK:   10 got to discussing it with CIMA, there was a   11 communication that you were included on that   12 talked about using all of the assets of SOHC, CDO   13 conversations.   14 Q Who set that premium?   15 A From my understanding, the — the level   16 of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA.   Q How was it initially set?   14 A I don't know. I'd have to see that   15 communication. Your question was: Was I involved   16 in discussions. Being copied on a communication   17 to me is not discussions.   18 Q Okay. You were aware that the intent,   19 prior to ever talking with CIMA, was to use all   20 the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund and SOHC (sic) to   21 purchase the ATE policy; correct?   22 A No.   23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.   24 THE WITNESS: No, that's not true.   1   25 didn't — I believe that was in response to CIMA   146   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	<del>-</del>	
11 Communication that you were included on that     12 A I wasn't involved in those   13 Eunled about using all of the assets of SOHC, CDO     13 Conversations.   14 Q Who set that premium?   15 A From my understanding, the the level     16 of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA.   17 Q How was it initially set?   18 A I was not involved in any of those   19 conversations, so I don't know.   18 Q O kay. You were aware that the intent,   19 prior to ever talking with CIMA, was to use all   20 the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund and SOHC (sic) to   21 purchase the ATE policy; correct?   22 A No.   23 Ms. SMITH: Objection to form.   24 Q You do know that before the actual   25 diolar amount was settled on for what the premium   24 THE WITNESS: No, that the premium would equal   3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO   4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct?   4 A From the very beginning strike that.   5 Well, let's be clear. You had the   10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months,   11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about   24 Wast the premium required by CIMA.   10 Defore the rewast was the idea and requirement to reach   18 that that would satisfy the premium that was going   16 to be set thereafter; fair?   17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.   18 THE WITNESS: Idon't know. I wasn't   19 involved at that level.   20 BY MR. CLUBOK:   20 Well, you were involved in discussions   21 dat occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about   23 what the premium would actually be in which it was   24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC   27 you   29 You		
12 talked about using all of the assets of SOHC, CDO 13 conversations. 14 Q Who set that premium? 15 A From my understanding, the — the level 16 of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 26 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 27 including you, that the premium would equal 28 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: Iknew that was the —I 7 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So — but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP; and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 19 myor to ever talking with CIMA about 12 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium would equal 23 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: New that was the —I 7 knew that was the intent, 19 prior to ever talking with CIMA, was to use all 20 the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund all set as a still involved in that level of discussions. 18 Q Okay, You were aware that the intent, 19 prior to ever talking with CIMA, was to use all 20 the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund. 21 purchase the ATE policy; correct? 22 A No. 23 mks. SMITH: Objection to form. 24 THE WITNESS: No, that's not true. I 25 didn't — I believe that was in response to CIMA 25 was not part of the premium had to be. But I, again, 26 was not part of the		
13 Fund and HFP to purchase the ATE policy; correct?  14		
14		
15 of the premium was ultimately set by CIMA. 17 Q How was it initially set? 18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 26 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 27 including you, that the premium would equal 38 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 49 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 4		
16 in discussions. Being copied on a communication   17 to me is not discussions.   18 A I was not involved in any of those   19 conversations, so I don't know.   18 Q O kay. You were aware that the intent,   19 prior to ever talking with CIMA, was to use all   20 the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund and SOHC (sic) to   21 purchase the ATE policy; correct?   22 A No.   23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.   24 G You do know that before the actual   25 didn't I believe that was in response to CIMA   148   1 saying what the premium had to be. But I, again,   2 was not involved in that level of discussion and   3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO   4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct?   5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form.   6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the idea and requirement to reach   8 the premium required by CIMA.   9 BY MR. CLUBOK:   10 Q So - but before talking to CIMA,   10 that that would satisfy the premium that was going   16 to be set thereafter; fair;   17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.   18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't   19 involved at that level.   20 BY MR. CLUBOK:   21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions   22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA, about   23 what the premium would actually be in which it was   24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC   24 discussion with CIMA about   25 what the premium would actually be in which it was   24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC   24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,   25 there were a discussions   25 the match as a concept, so the promium that was going   25 the match as a concept, so the promium that was going   26 to be set thereafter; fair;   26 the match as a concept, so the promium that was going   26 to be set thereafter; fair;   27 the match as a concept, so the promium that was going   28 the promium that was going   29 the match as a concept, so the promium that was going   29 the match as a concept, so the promium that was going   29 the match as a concept, so the promium that was going	•	
17 to me is not discussions.  18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 26 including you, that the premium would equal 27 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 28 MS, SMITH: Object to the form. 29 Including you, that the premium would equal 29 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 30 MS, SMITH: Object to the form. 40 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 51 MS, SMITH: Object to the form. 52 Including you, that the premium to reach 53 the premium required by CIMA. 54 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 55 MS, SMITH: Object to the form. 66 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the -I to that laue of all the assets of CDO 87 So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 12 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium what was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS, SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 29 BY MR, CLUBOK: 20 Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC		
18 A I was not involved in any of those 19 conversations, so I don't know. 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 26 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 27 would be, there was discussion involving 28 including you, that the premium would equal 38 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 48 Fund, SOHC and HEP; correct? 59 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 60 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 80 the premium required by CIMA. 81 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 82 was the total value of all the assets of CDO 83 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 84 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 91 involved at that level. 95 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would equal 24 No. 25 didn't I believe that was in response to CIMA 26 I saying what the premium had to be. But I, again, 27 was not involved in that level of discussion and 28 was not involved in that level of discussion and 39 was not part of the precess. 4 A From the very beginning strike that. 5 Well, let's be clear. You had the 6 initial idea of the ATE policy; correct? 7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BYMR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; corre	*	
19 prior to ever talking with CIMA, was to use all 20 Q You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set?  23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium  146  1 would be, there was discussion involving including you, that the premium would equal 3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: Iknew that was the -1 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA, 10 Pay MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 25 doll'dr I believe that was in response to CIMA  1 saying what the premium had to be. But I, again, was not involved in that level of discussion and was not part of the process.  4 A From the very beginning strike that.  5 Well, let's be clear. You had the 6 initial idea of the ATE policy; correct?  7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy; be clear. You had the 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the premium would to be. But I, again, was not involved in that level of discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy; correct?  12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 dattention that t		1
20 ( You have no idea how the premium to pay 21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 26 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 27 including you, that the premium would equal 38 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: Iknew that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC 24 THE WITNESS: 1 don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 26 Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC		
21 for this ATE policy that was your idea was 22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 26 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium 27 dincluding you, that the premium would equal 3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC 24 No. 25 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 24 THE WITNESS: No, that's not true. I 25 didn't I believe that was in response to CIMA  1 saying what the premium had to be. But I, again, 2 was not involved in that level of discussion and 3 was not part of the process. 4 A From the very beginning strike that. 5 Well, let's be clear. You had the 6 initial idea of the ATE policy; correct? 7 THE WITNESS: No, that's not true. I 25 didn't I believe that was in response to CIMA  1 saying what the premium had to be. But I, again, 2 was not involved in that level of discussion and 3 was not part of the process. 4 A From the very beginning strike that. 5 Well, let's be clear. You had the 6 initial idea of the ATE policy; correct? 7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the		
22 initially set? 23 A No. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium  1 would be, there was discussion involving 2 including you, that the premium would equal 3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the1 8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  22 A I was not involved in to be. But I, again, 24 was not involved in that level of discussion and 3 was not part of the process. 4 A From the very beginning strike that. 5 Well, let's be clear. You had the 6 initial idea of the ATE policy; correct? 7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intituded in the count involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 discussed using al		
23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 24 Q You do know that before the actual 25 dollar amount was settled on for what the premium  146  1 would be, there was discussion involving 2 including you, that the premium would equal 3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was theI 7 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 24 THE WITNESS: No, that's not true. I 25 didn't I believe that was in response to CIMA  1 saying what the premium had to be. But I, again, 2 was not involved in that level of discussion and 3 was not part of the process.  4 A From the very beginning strike that. 5 Well, let's be clear. You had the 6 initial idea of the ATE policy; correct? 7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intinately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC	* *	
24	•	
25 didn't I believe that was in response to CIMA   148		•
would be, there was discussion involving including you, that the premium would equal substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct?  MS. SMITH: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I knew that was theI knew that was the idea and requirement to reach the premium required by CIMA.  BY MR. CLUBOK:  O Q So but before talking to CIMA, Il before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about what the premium would be, there was an idea to calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO HF rund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount the that that would satisfy the premium that was going for be set thereafter; fair?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussions and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussion and was not involved in that level of discussions that  HE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q And there were initial discussions involved in that		· ·
2 was not involved in that level of discussion and 3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was theI 8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  2 was not involved in that level of discussion and 3 was not prot of the process. 4 A From the very beginning strike that. Well, let's be clear. You had the initial idea of the ATE policy; correct? 7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning strike that. Well, let's be clear. You had the initial idea of the ATE policy; correct?  7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning strike that. Well, let's be clear. You had the initial idea of the ATE policy; correct?  7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding that 20 was not policy.		-
2 was not involved in that level of discussion and 3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was theI 8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  2 was not involved in that level of discussion and 3 was not prot of the process. 4 A From the very beginning strike that. Well, let's be clear. You had the initial idea of the ATE policy; correct? 7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning strike that. Well, let's be clear. You had the initial idea of the ATE policy; correct?  7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning strike that. Well, let's be clear. You had the initial idea of the ATE policy; correct?  7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding that 20 was not policy.	1 would be, there was discussion involving	1 saying what the premium had to be. But I, again,
3 substantially all, if not all of the assets of CDO 4 Fund, SOHC and HFP; correct? 5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the I 7 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  3 was not part of the process. 4 A From the very beginning strike that.  5 Well, let's be clear. You had the initial idea of the ATE policy; correct?  7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes, But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC		
4 A From the very beginning strike that.  5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the I 7 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  4 A From the very beginning strike that. 5 Well, let's be clear. You had the 6 initial idea of the ATE policy; correct? 7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC		
5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the I 7 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach 8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes. 8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,		
THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes.  Remew that was the idea and requirement to reach the premium required by CIMA.  BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q So but before talking to CIMA, before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about what the premium would be, there was an idea to calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO Herror MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes.  BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q And there were initial discussions that loultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, lutimately stretched over weeks. lutimately stretched over weeks. lutimately stretched over weeks. lutimately stretched over week	5 MS. SMITH: Object to the form.	
8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	6 THE WITNESS: I knew that was the I	6 initial idea of the ATE policy; correct?
8 the premium required by CIMA. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK: 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  8 BY MR. CLUBOK: 9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	7 knew that was the idea and requirement to reach	7 THE WITNESS: As a concept, yes.
9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  9 Q And there were initial discussions that 10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,		8 BY MR. CLUBOK:
10 Q So but before talking to CIMA, 11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  10 ultimately stretched over weeks, if not months, 11 before the policy was executed; correct? 12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,		
11 before there was ever a discussion with CIMA about 12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  11 before the policy was executed; correct?  12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC		
12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to 13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  12 A That's my understanding. I was 13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  20 You are saying as you sit here today,		•
13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO 14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  13 involved at the beginning, and then it went 14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	12 what the premium would be, there was an idea to	
14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount 15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  14 through a formal process I was not involved in. 15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct? 19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	13 calculate the total value of all the assets of CDO	
15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going 16 to be set thereafter; fair? 17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  15 Q But at some point, it came to your 16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be 17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct?  19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	14 Fund, SOHC and HFP and make that be the amount	
17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund 18 and HFP; correct?  19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	15 that that would satisfy the premium that was going	
18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't 19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  18 and HFP; correct?  19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	16 to be set thereafter; fair?	16 attention that the idea was for the policy to be
19 involved at that level. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that 20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	·	17 paid for with all of the assets of SOHC, CDO Fund
20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA. 21 Q You you 22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't	18 and HFP; correct?
21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions 22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  21 Q You you  22 A I was not intimately involved in any of  23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise.  24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,		19 A Yes. But it's my understanding that
22 that occurred prior to ever talking to CIMA about 23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC  22 A I was not intimately involved in any of 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	20 BY MR. CLUBOK:	20 was in response to the premium set by CIMA.
23 what the premium would actually be in which it was 24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC 23 those discussions with CIMA or otherwise. 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	21 Q Well, you were involved in discussions	21 Q You you
24 discussed using all the assets of CDO Fund, SOHC 24 Q You are saying as you sit here today,	•	
25 and HFP to satisfy whatever the premium turned out 25 that it is your understanding that CIMA first set	■	
	25 and HFP to satisfy whatever the premium turned out	25 that it is your understanding that CIMA first set

Conducted on July 29, 2021 149 151 1 the premium, and after that, it just so happened A I have no idea. 2 that that premium exactly equalled all of the MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 3 assets in HFP, CDO Fund and SOHC? BY MR. CLUBOK: A No, I'm telling you, you're asking if I Q What's that? 5 was aware of how it happened. My understanding is 5 A I have no --6 that was the amount required for the premium. I 6 MS. SMITH: Objection, form. wasn't involved in any of the discussions, none of THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I have no idea the mechanics of setting how much, none of the as to the order. I just simply wasn't involved. BY MR. CLUBOK: mechanics of valuation, none of the aspects of 10 transfer. Q And -- and -- but you were the owner at That's all a formal process that was 11 the time -- 30 percent beneficial owner of 11 12 directed by compliance, and it went through all 12 Sentinel; correct? 13 the proper channels. A No, entities related to me were. I was 13 Q Okay. Then break down -- and please 14 not. 15 answer my questions I'm asking you. Q Okay. But you -- right, entities 15 16 related to you had the 30 percent beneficial A I'm trying. Q And we'll do it in little pieces. 17 ownership of Sentinel at the time the ATE policy 17 18 Don't jump ahead, please. 18 was issued; correct? 19 19 A Correct. A Okav. 20 Q True that at some point, it came to 20 Q And going back to this Exhibit 50, 21 your attention that the idea --21 Mr. Bowman on the first page of Exhibit 50 at the 22 A Sorry. 22 bottom asked if Mr. Sevilla could confirm if he 23 Q Let me strike that. I'll start over. 23 would like to pass along the beneficial ownership 24 24 information they had on file for Sentinel Re A Okay. Sorry. 25 25 Holders. Q At some point, it came to your 150 152 1 attention that the idea was for the policy to be Do you see that? 1 2 paid for with all of the assets that remained at MS. SMITH: Objection to form. That SOHC, CDO Fund and HFP; correct? misread that quote. THE WITNESS: Yeah. And what I see is A Anecdotally --5 MS. SMITH: Objection, asked and "Hi, Big JP. Can you please confirm if you would like us to pass along the beneficial owner 6 answered. THE WITNESS: Anecdotally, yes. information that we have on file for Sentinel Re BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Limited for all the AO that we have on file for Q And you learned of that intention prior 9 that investor." 10 to the premium price being approved by CIMA; 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 correct? Q Right. And Mr. Sevilla then tells him A I have no idea because I don't know 12 "Just the beneficial owner, please." Correct? 13 when the premium price was set by CIMA because I 13 A And he says, "Thanks. Yes, correct." 14 wasn't involved. Q And then Mr. Bowen has an email at the Q Okay. So when you said earlier that it 15 top, with an attachment that says, "Hi, JP. 16 was your understanding that the amount paid for 16 Please see the attached beneficial ownership 17 the premium was -- okay. You don't -- so let me 17 information for Sentinel Re Holdings Limited." 18 ask it this way: You are saying, as you sit here 18 Do you see that? 19 today, you have no idea whether or not -- first, 19 A I do. 20 it was determined that all of the assets from HFP, 20 Q And I'm going to ask you to turn and 21 CDO Fund and SOHC would be used or first it was 21 look at Exhibit 26 --22 determined here's the premium price and now let's 22 A Okav. 23 see what we need to satisfy that premium price. Q -- which I will tell you is the 23 As you sit here today, you don't know 24 attachment --

25

A Okay. I don't have 26, I don't

25 the order of those two events?

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

39 (153 to 156)

Conducted of	ı July 29, 2021	
153		155
1 believe. Let me see.	1 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
2 Q You should.	2 Answered.	
3 A I think I do. Sorry. Yes, I have it.	3 THE WITNESS: I can't say whether it is	
4 May I open it now?	4 or isn't without the documents.	
5 Q Yeah, if you could open it, please.	5 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
6 And while you're opening it, I will represent that	6 Q My question is my simple question is	
7 Exhibit 26 was attached to Exhibit 50 in the	7 whether you can say it isn't.	
8 original email.	8 Do you as you sit here today,	
9 And Exhibit 26 shows at the top, "The	9 looking at this, do you do you know any reason	
10 fund, Multi Strat Credit Fund, with an investor	10 why this would be inaccurate?	
11 Sentinel Reinsurance."	11 A Without the documents, I cannot say	
	12 it's inaccurate.	
•		
13 A Investor Sentinel Reinsurance Limited,	Q What further documents would you need	
14 yes.	14 to know whether or not this is accurate?	
Q And it talks about the beneficial	15 A Well, I would have to see the documents	
16 owners of Sentinel Reinsurance being 70 percent	16 of all these entities.	
17 Patton Limited and 30 percent Minutes Limited;	17 Q Okay. Did you ever contribute capital	
18 correct?	18 to Sentinel?	
19 A Yes.	19 A Excuse me?	
20 Q And, in turn, under Patton, it has the	20 Q Did you ever contribute any capital to	
21 breakdown of various beneficial ownerships. But	21 Sentinel?	
22 the only individual identified is James Dondero.	22 A Did I ever contribute any capital to	
Do you see that?	23 Sentinel? No, I do not believe so.	
24 A I do.	24 Q Did you ever unless and when I	
25 Q And then with respect to the Mimic	25 say Sentinel, I mean Sentinel Reinsurance Limited.	
154		156
1 Holdings it says, 100 beneficial ownership,	1 Did you ever put any investment or	
2 Montage Holding Limited which, in turn,	2 funds into Sentinel Reinsurance Limited?	
3 100 percent beneficial ownership AHL Holdings LP,	3 A I don't know.	
4 which, in turn, has 99 percent beneficial	4 Q You don't know?	
5 ownership, Elderflower Limited, which, in turn, is	5 A I don't know. It could have been	
6 100 percent beneficial ownership, Scott Ellington,	6 capitalized in a way that I I personally did	
7 you. Correct?	7 not, no.	
8 A I see that, yes.	8 Q Let's look at what's been marked as	
9 Q And does that seeing this, does that	9 Exhibit 77.	
10 confirm that at the time of the ATE policy, you	10 MR. CLUBOK: And Nate, when I call	
11 had close to or approximately 30 percent	11 these out, I know Mr. Ellington's got a hard copy,	
12 beneficial ownership ultimately in Sentinel?	12 but can you also put it up on the screen to make	
13 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	13 it easy. We'll see it both ways.	
14 THE WITNESS: That's what this document	14 REMOTE TECHNICIAN: Yes, sir. That was	
15 seems to suggest. But I don't know where this	15 77?	
16 information came from to SEI. I don't know.	16 MR. CLUBOK: Yes, tab four.	
17 BY MR. CLUBOK:	17 BY MR. CLUBOK: 1es, tab four.	
l ·		
18 Q Do you have any reason to believe that	18 Q This is a document that says, "Scott	
19 it's inaccurate?	19 Ellington Schedule of Certain Cash and Investments	
20 A I'd have to see the org chart at the	20 and Accountant's Compilation Report, October 31st,	
21 time that this was generated. I just don't	21 2018." Do you see that?	
22 remember.	22 A I do.	
Q Do you as you sit here today, do you	23 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
24 have any reason to believe that this would be	Q And the second page references an	
25 inaccurate information?	25 entity called Seville Dodge and Company; do you	

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

40 (157 to 160)

	July 29, 2021
157	159
1 see that?	1 other professionals did the valuation.
2 A I do.	2 Q Well, you caused this to be prepared so
3 Q Who are they?	3 that you could submit it to CIMA to get a banking
4 A An accounting firm.	4 license; right?
5 Q And they're your accounting firm.	5 A At the request of CIMA, yes.
6 A They assist along with outside counsel	6 Q Understood. And did you are you
7 in tax preparation.	7 reasonably certain that it was accurate?
8 Q Okay. And in the end of 2018, they	8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
9 compiled a schedule of certain cash and	9 THE WITNESS: I I don't have
10 investments of you as of October 31st, 2018;	10 enough I don't have enough expertise to
11 correct?	11 understand these type of valuations. That's why I
12 A That seems to be what 7359 is.	12 hire professionals to do it.
13 Q Why did they do that?	13 BY MR. CLUBOK:
14 A I was applying for a Cayman banking	14 Q Yeah, but do you did you take care
15 license, and this was requested by CIMA.	15 to ensure that you hired a professional that you
16 Q Okay. And so you had to make sure you	16 can rely on and that you provided that
17 provided accurate information to CIMA?	17 professional with all the information reasonably
18 A Yes.	18 necessary to be accurate to the best of your
19 Q And did Sevilla do you trust that	19 ability?
20 Sevilla accurately reported your cash and	20 A Myself or other those are other
21 investments as of October 31st, 2018?	21 entities because I couldn't provide information on
22 A I trust that they	22 Sentinel. The independent directors would have to
23 MS. SMITH: Object to form.	23 do that.
24 THE WITNESS: did their best to	24 Q Did you take any affirmative obligation
25 accurately report, yes.	25 to do everything reasonably possible to ensure
158	160
1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	1 that the information that's set forth in Exhibit
2 Q And on the second page it says,	2 77 is as accurate as possible?
3 "Investment in Sentinel Reinsurance Limited	3 A Yes, I provided any information I had
4 11.8 million."	4 access to and requested that other entities that
5 Do you see that?	5 were controlled by directors or others would
6 A Yes, I do. And Sentinel Reinsurance	6 provide the information to Sevilla Dodge.
7 Limited, yes.	Q And to the best of your do you feel
8 Q Right. And does that refresh your	8 comfortable relying upon the work of Sevilla Dodge
9 recollection that you invested 11.8 million in	9 and Company as of the date of Exhibit 77 as
10 Sentinel Reinsurance Limited?	10 reflected here?
11 A No, it does not. I don't I don't	11 A They are a reputable accounting firm
12 know what that's referencing.	12 with highly trained professionals, so I relied on
13 I never invested personally \$11 million	13 their expertise.
14 in anything?	14 Q And why would Matt DiOrio have a copy
15 Q Was that was that a valuation of	15 of this?
16 your investment in Sentinel Reinsurance at the	16 A I don't know.
17 time?	17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
18 A That's what my belief is, yes.	18 BY MR. CLUBOK:
19 Q And what was where did you did	19 Q Did you share this information
20 you ensure that Sevilla Dodge and Company had	20 intentionally with Matt DiOrio?
21 accurate information so they could make an	21 A Not that I recall, no.
22 accurate representation of the value of your	22 Q Does as far as you know is Matt
23 investment in Sentinel Reinsurance at the time?	23 when Matt DiOrio was at Highland, did he have any
24 A Yes. But, I mean, I didn't provide any	24 need, as far as you know, to have access to this
25 valuation to them. They I presume them or	25 document based on what you understood his job to

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

41 (161 to 164)

Conducted on	1 July 29, 2021	
161 1 be?	1 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	163
2 A It may have been a function, but I	2 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
3 don't know, I don't know why he would have it.	3 Q Is it roughly half? Is it roughly a	
4 Q Matt DiOrio at the time was reporting	4 third?	
5 to you before you were terminated?	5 A I don't know.	
7 Q And you have no idea why this would	,	
8 have been found at his desk after he was	8 A It's okay. Sorry.	
9 terminated?	9 Q I think you can assume that Ms. Smith	
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	10 will say "objection to form" to many questions.	
11 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I mean,	11 A Okay.	
12 this was generated four years ago, so any number	Q So let her go ahead and say that if you	
13 of things could have happened over that period of	13 can before you jump in, though I do appreciate	
14 time that I wasn't aware of.	14 that you are just trying to answer the questions,	
15 BY MR. CLUBOK:	15 and I appreciate that.	
16 Q Did you ever suggest any business to	16 A Thank you. Sorry again to everyone.	
17 Sentinel strike that.	Q It's okay. Let's try one more time.	
Did you ever suggest to Sentinel that	As of 2018, you think it's reasonable	
19 they engage in any particular business or issue	19 to conclude that your net worth was approximately	
20 any particular policy?	20 two-thirds strike that.	
21 A No.	As of November 2018, it's true that	
22 Q Did you give did you have anything	22 approximately two-thirds of your total net worth	
23 whatsoever to do with Sentinel's decision-making	23 was your investment in Sentinel Reinsurance;	
24 on whether to or circumstances surrounding the	24 correct?	
25 issuance of any policy?	25 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
162 1 A No.	1 THE WITNESS: According to this	164
Q Did Sentinel issue any other ATE		
<ul><li>3 policies ever, other than the one that was that</li><li>4 was issued in connection with the UBS litigation?</li></ul>	3 interesting there is no liabilities reflected here	
	4 and then I don't know the valuation methodology used, but that's what this looks like.	
	- DVV CD CV V DCVV	
6 Q How much does Sentinel have in		
7 strike that.	Q And this is the document that you	
8 What's the value of your investment in	8 trusted Sevilla Dodge and Company to do an	
9 Sentinel today, roughly?	9 accurate job of reporting to the CIMA authority;	
10 A I have no idea.	10 correct?	
11 Q Do you have any idea if it's 1 million	11 A Yeah.	
12 or 100 million?	12 Q Okay.	
13 A I certainly don't think it's	13 A But, again, it's just its just a	
14 100 million, but I don't know.	14 schedule of certain cash investments, and it	
15 Q Well, in 2018, your investment in	15 doesn't reflect any liabilities.	
16 Sentinel was roughly two-thirds of your cash and	16 Q Yeah, it doesn't reflect liabilities.	
17 investments total; correct?	17 A I take net worth, I think the term	
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	18 "net" nets out liabilities.	
19 THE WITNESS: According to this	19 Q Ah, okay, fair enough. So as of	
20 accounts, roll up of assets, yes.	20 November 2018, approximately two-thirds of your	
21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	21 total assets were your investment in Sentinel	
Q And today is your investment in	22 Reinsurance; correct?	
23 Sentinel roughly two-thirds of your total net	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
24 worth?	24 THE WITNESS: According to this	
25 A I don't know.	25 document, yes.	

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

42 (165 to 168)

Conducted of	1 July 29, 2021
165	167
1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	1 Q When you had the idea to buy the ATE
2 Q Which you have no reason to dispute;	2 policy, did you ever discuss that purchase with
3 correct?	3 any insurer other than Sentinel?
4 THE WITNESS: I have no reason to	4 A Did I? No.
5 dispute.	Q Are you aware of anyone at Highland
6 MR. CLUBOK: Objection to form.	ever trying to obtain an ATE policy with respect
7 THE WITNESS: I have no reason to	7 to the UBS litigation from any entity other than
8 dispute, but I don't know the methodology used.	8 Sentinel?
9 BY MR. CLUBOK:	A No one at Highland that I'm aware of,
10 Q And as of today, do you have any idea	10 no.
11 whatsoever what the percentage of your total	11 Q Are you aware of anybody, a broker, a
12 assets your investment of Sentinel Reinsurance	12 third party, anybody at all ever
13 constitutes?	13 A I recall I recall Beecher Carlson
14 A No idea whatsoever.	14 going to the market to see if an ATE policy could
15 Q And when you say you have no idea	15 be achieved before it went to Sentinel.
16 whatsoever, you mean you don't know if it's one	16 Q Who at Beecher Carlson did that?
17 percent or 70 percent?	17 A I don't know their names. I've never
18 A I don't, because this is the only time	18 spoken to them.
19 I've ever seen a reflection of valuation as to,	19 Q And how did you learn about that?
20 quote, my portion of Sentinel.	20 A Someone at the time told me. I don't
21 Q Have you ever received any dividends	21 remember who. Someone internally at Highland.
22 from Sentinel?	22 Q And what did they tell you about those
23 A No.	23 efforts?
24 Q Do you know if Sentinel still has the	24 A That Beecher Carlson had put out, for
25 assets that were transferred to it in August of	25 lack of a better term, bids to other known
25 dissets that were transferred to it in August of	2.5 fack of a better term, brus to other known
1 2017 with respect to the ATE policy?	1 reinsurers about an ATE policy.
2 A To my knowledge, they still have	2 Q And
3 everything that was transferred to them.	3 A That there were no takers or that the
4 Q Do you believe that Sentinel could make	4 premium they wanted was even higher than what was
5 good on the on at least strike that.	5 being requested by CIMA.
6 Do you do you know whether do you	6 Q What was the ultimate premium requested
7 know how much of the policy limit remains	7 by CIMA for the ATE policy?
8 available on the ATE policy?	8 A I don't recall.
9 A No.	9 Q Roughly.
	10 A I really don't recall.
10 Q If something like 91 million remains 11 available, do you know whether or not Sentinel has	
1	
12 the financial ability to satisfy that?	12 ultimately matched exactly all of the assets that
13 A No.	13 were then remaining at CDO Fund, SOHC, HFP;
14 Q Do you know whether or not Sentinel has	14 correct?
15 the financial ability to satisfy \$50 million?	15 A No.
16 A No.	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
17 Q Do you know whether Sentinel has the	17 THE WITNESS: No. As I stated many
18 financial ability to satisfy \$10 million?	18 times before, I was not involved in the process at
19 A No.	19 that point. I didn't know what the final outcome
Q Do you know anything whatsoever about	20 was.
21 whether or not Sentinel has the financial ability	21 BY MR. CLUBOK:
22 to satisfy any amount of liability it may have	Q Okay. But you understood that the
23 with respect to the ATE policy?	23 ultimate premium was roughly equivalent to all of
24 A No, I don't have any transparency into	24 the then remaining assets of HFP, CDO Fund and
25 Sentinel's balance sheet.	25 SOHC; correct?

43 (169 to 172)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021	
1 A No. I was	1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	171
2 MS. SMITH: Object to form.	2 Q Did you have any role in deciding who	
THE WITNESS: I was aware it was a	3 the directors of Sentinel would be?	
4 substantial portion, but I didn't know the final	4 A None.	
5 outcome.	5 Q Are you talking about well, let's	
6 BY MR. CLUBOK:	6 start with the independent directors.	
Q Okay. You you came to be aware at	7 You say you had absolutely no role in	
8 some point that the ultimate premium for the ATE	8 the identifying any independent directors; is that	
9 policy equalled a substantial portion of all of	9 correct?	
10 the remaining assets SOHC, HFP and CDO Fund;	10 A That's correct.	
11 correct?	11 Q Who made the decision about who would	
12 A That was my general awareness, yes.	12 be the independent directors?	
13 Q And when you say "substantial portion"	13 A I don't know.	
14 you mean more than 90 percent; correct?	14 Q Okay. But you did tell Matt DiOrio	
	15 that he would be a nonindependent director for	
15 A I didn't know if it was 90 percent, but 16 I knew it was more than, say, 70 percent.	16 Sentinel; correct?	
17 Q In fact, you were specifically it	17 A Yes.	
18 was specifically communicated to you at some point		
	18 Q Why was he why did you make why 19 did you cause Matt DiOrio to be a director?	
19 that it would be all of the assets; isn't that		
20 true?	20 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
21 A I don't know	21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	22 Q Strike that. Why did you tell	
23 THE WITNESS: I don't know if I	23 Mr. DiOrio he had to be a director of Sentinel?	
<ul><li>24 specifically was communicated that to, no.</li><li>25 BY MR. CLUBOK:</li></ul>	24 A I didn't tell him he had to be	
	25 anything.	150
170 1 Q And do you know if well and do		172
<ul><li>2 you know if Beecher Carlson ever made an effort to</li><li>3 offer those same assets to another insurer to see</li></ul>		
	A It was an opportunity that I thought fit his skill set. It was something that he had	
<ul><li>4 what kind of ATE policy it could get?</li><li>5 A I don't know what</li></ul>		
3.50 03.50007 04.1 1	5 expressed an interest in learning. And my 6 recollection is that CIMA said we needed to add	
6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know what Beecher		
	7 more members to the board.	
8 Carlson did. 9 BY MR. CLUBOK:	8 Q Was Mr. DiOrio compensated for his role	
	9 as director?	
10 Q You said that Beecher Carlson put out	10 A No. 11 Q When did you learn that Matt DiOrio had	
11 bids and got no takers. And that was all before	11 Q When did you learn that Matt DiOrio had 12 resigned from the Sentinel board?	
12 you turned to Sentinel Reinsurance; is that 13 correct?		
	13 A I don't know that I had learned he had 14 resigned from the Sentinel board.	
14 A That's my understanding. But again, I 15 wasn't involved in the process at that point.	15 Q Oh, did you know he resigned on	
16 Q And who set the price with Sentinel	16 June 25th, this year?	
17 Reinsurance, as far as you know, for the policy?		
	17 A No. 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
18 A What do you mean set the price? 19 Q Fair enough. Who so well, we'll	19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
20 come back to that.	20 Q So as far as you knew until I just said	
21 MS. SMITH: Andy, it's about 1:15.	21 that, did you think he was still on the board?	
22 Are you close to break time?	22 A I didn't know if he was on the board or	
23 MR. CLUBOK: Yeah, give me another ten	23 not.	
24 minutes and we'll take a break.	24 Q Do you know Andrew Dean?	
25	25 A No.	J

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

44 (173 to 176)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
173	175
1 Q Do you know Christopher Watler?	1 A You broke
2 A No.	2 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.
3 Q Do you know Lesley Thompson?	3 THE WITNESS: You broke up, Andy. I'm
4 A No.	4 sorry.
5 Q Do you know Dilip Masand?	5 BY MR. CLUBOK:
6 A Yes.	6 Q Do you know who Jan Neveril is?
7 Q Who is Dilip Masand?	7 A No, I do not.
8 A He was a consultant that was retained	8 Q I don't know if Jan is a man or woman,
9 by, I believe, Highland Capital Management LP.	9 but or is that Jan?
10 Q To do what in connection with the	10 A That could possibly be Jan.
11 Sentinel?	11 Q Oh, someone named J-A-N Neveril, you
12 A I don't know that he was retained by	12 believe that may be Jan?
13 Highland Capital Management to do anything with	13 A Potentially. I never knew his surname
14 Sentinel.	14 or her surname.
15 Q He was, though, named as a director for	Q Was it was Jan a man or a woman?
16 Sentinel; correct?	16 A Jan was a man?
17 A I believe so, yes.	17 Q So and Jan was Jan Neveril still
18 Q And that was your decision; correct?	18 a director during that bankruptcy matter?
19 A I do not think that was my decision,	19 A As far as I know, yes.
20 no.	20 Q Do you know if Jan is still on the
21 Q You suggested him as a director.	21 board?
22 A I suggested him as a potential	22 A I do not.
23 director, yes.	23 Q Did you know that Matt DiOrio
24 Q To whom?	24 recommended Jan?
25 A To Mr. Di Orio and I believe to	25 A No, I have I have no idea who
174	176
1 Mr. Dondero, but I don't recall doing that.	1 recommended Jan.
2 Q You told	2 Q What about Damien Austin?
3 A But I could have, possibly.	3 A I don't know who that is.
4 Q You told Mr. Dondero about your	4 Q Casey McDonald?
5 recommendations to make Mr. Masand and Mr. DiOrio	5 A Don't know who that is?
6 directors of Sentinel; correct?	6 Q Wade Kenny?
7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	7 A Don't know who that is.
8 BY MR. CLUBOK:	8 Q Okay.
9 Q And he he could have said no if he	9 MR. CLUBOK: Why don't we take a break.
10 had wanted to; right?	10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going we're
11 A Yeah, he could have said no if he	11 going off the record at 2:18 2:19 p.m. eastern
12 wanted to, but it's not his decision of who became	12 time.
13 the directors; it's the other it's the	13 MR. CLUBOK: Okay.
14 independent directors that approved. And CIMA has	14 (Lunch Recess taken 2:19 p.m. to 3:16
15 to approve each individual director. So	15 p.m.)
16 Mr. Dondero's vote of "yes" or "no" is not the	16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Am I going on the
17 ultimate arbiter.	17 record, counsel, or waiting
18 Q You you asked DiOrio and Sevilla to	18 MR. CLUBOK: We don't need it
19 identify new board members; is that correct?	19 videotaped. We'll just the court reporter, you
20 A At what time period?	20 can take this following thing down and we are not
21 Q Some point prior to the bankruptcy.	21 going to count it on the videotape so go ahead
22 A No.	22 and court reporter, if you don't mind, you can go
	23 on the record. Ms. Smith will make her statement
23 Q Well, then, you asked DiOrio and	
<ul><li>24 Sevilla to work together to identify directors</li><li>25 like Mr. Neveril?</li></ul>	24 and I will respond, and then we'll resume the
L/3 like Mr Neveril/	25 deposition.

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

45 (177 to 180)

	Conducted on	JU	119 29, 2021	
	Is that alray Lice? Tall we when		one elaimine is summered by highly a suffident of	179
	Is that okay, Lisa? Tell us when	1	are claiming is supposedly highly confidential,	
12	you're ready.	2	which by the way had never been designated highly	
3	Go ahead, Ms. Smith. Make your	3	confidential at all, so that's also false, there	
4	statement.	4	was a portion a very small portion of this	
5	MS. SMITH: I understand that I	5	deposition that was designated highly	
6	learned on the break that during the course of	6	confidential, that was, as you know, in connection	
7	this deposition, which is supposed to be a highly	7	with information that was supposedly subject to	
8	confidential deposition, I learned on the break	8	some confidentiality agreement.	
9	that information that Mr. Ellington had disclosed	9	MS. SMITH: Are you saying, Mr. Clubok,	
	during his deposition in response to direct		that the depositions that have been held in this	
	questions from Mr. Clubok has been leaked to a		case are not confidential?	
	third party, who is not subject to a	12	•	
	s confidentiality order or confidentiality		interrupt me anymore than I refrained from	
	agreement.		interrupting you, because the court reporter can	
15			only get us one at a time.	
	6 record that his it appears that his	16	So this deposition is going forward.	
	girlfriend's address or his father's address was		There is a protective order that governs the	
	B leaked to a third party when it is supposed to be		treatment. There is certain aspects that can be	
	highly confidential.		designated as "highly confidential." You made a	
20	,		point of designating a particular portion as	
	you know that that so-called recitation of the		highly confidential.	
	2 facts leaves out some very important information	22	_	
	and paints a very distorted picture because you've		or currently is living is certainly not something	
	left out material information. Specifically		that we are not permitted to use if we need to	
25		25	serve him in this matter or if we need to serve	
	178		1	180
	here, Mr. Clubok.	1	him in connection with other matters. You moved	
2	MR. CLUBOK: Right. So specifically in	2	the court to limit the use of this deposition to	
3	response to that, the issue here that we're	3	just this matter, and that was denied.	
4	talking about is that over a month ago, we talked	4	So we can you know, we have no	
5	about we are trying to serve Mr. Ellington, as	5	interest in doing what we had to do before. As	
6	you know, in connection with proceedings that are	6	you know, Mr. Ellington dodged service for a long	
7	going on in New York.	7	period of time right up until the point where it	
8	Over a month ago, I asked you to tell	8	finally was accepted in connection with this	
	us to communicate with us whether or not		matter. That seems to be a pattern or practice	
	Mr. Ellington would accept service or not.		that Mr. Ellington apparently he's sitting	
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		right here.	
	that information for me. We asked you repeatedly	12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	s since then, you never did. Mr. Ellington came		accept service. You just disclosed to me after a	
	back to the States.		month of me asking that he apparently will not.	
15			And so if knowing the address where he lives	
	6 deposition, you still had not asked him.		allows us to serve him potentially I don't how	
17	•		many efforts it will take or how much he'll dodge	
	3 this. You you continued to refuse until just		it. I hope he does not. I hope you'll advise him	
	moments ago, you finally told me, even though I'd		of his obligation.	
	asked, frankly, that you tell me at the beginning	20	By the way, Baker MacKenzie is on this	
	of the lunch break rather than waiting till 15		call, too. I hope everyone has advised their	
	2 minutes past the lunch break, that you are not		clients about the obligations to not intentionally	
	going to accept service and you are going to make		dodge service. And, you know, but we are going to	
24	us try to serve Mr. Ellington with process.  Now, the specific information that you		have to, we have no choice that, and I told you this a month ago, if he didn't voluntarily agree	
25				

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

46 (181 to 184)

Conducted or	1 July 25, 2021
181	183
1 to accept service, we have no choice but to try to	1 MR. CLUBOK: Well, in any event, I
2 affect service through proper means. And then we	2 think we have sufficiently covered the waterfront
3 will certainly pursue our rights for the cost and	3 on this issue. And let's conclude this portion of
4 expense of repeated efforts to dodge service, if	4 the transcript. And we'll take a we'll take a
5 that's what happens again in this case or in any	5 one-minute break and I'll resume.
1	(0.00
==	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7 Anyway, we've made our comments. You	7 (Recess taken 3:23 p.m. to 3:25 p.m.
8 are welcome to make as many comments as you want	8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
9 to or I told you you could use this deposition or	9 record at 3:25 p.m.
10 this court reporter's time, if you need to make	10 BY MR. CLUBOK:
11 it. But I also, by the way, said I couldn't stop	Q Mr. Ellington, what was so, I want
12 you, but I think this is wholly inappropriate to	12 to talk about another entity that we briefly
13 be using a court reporter to try to make some	13 mentioned, that's SAS. That's another entity that
14 record, particularly given the recitation that you	14 I believe that you directly or indirectly have the
15 made at the beginning to try to paint an I	15 ultimate beneficial economic interest of roughly
16 think, misleadingly incomplete light.	16 30 percent in; correct?
Go ahead, Ms. Smith.	17 A As long as we're talking about your
MS. SMITH: Well, in response,	18 characterization of SAS and related entities,
19 Mr. Ellington has testified in unrelated to this	19 subs, affiliates as the SAS umbrella, directly
20 matter but in today's deposition, that he had no	20 or actually indirectly with entities associated
21 email service while he was in Africa and that he	21 with SAS.
22 has been back in the country approximately 36 23 30 to 36 hours.	22 Q And ultimately all the economics of
	23 those SAS entities flow up to some either you
So as for repeatedly dodging service,	24 directly or some trust or other entity that you've
25 he has not done that.	25 set up for your family's benefit; is that correct?
100	
182	184
1 This is a New York State matter. I am	A I don't really know how they flow, but
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told	
1 This is a New York State matter. I am	A I don't really know how they flow, but
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail	A I don't really know how they flow, but it would be something similar to that or generally like that, yes.
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this.	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for?
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way,	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name?
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me.
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do?
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing.
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail,	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct?
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a 17 word back from you on this. We've asked you	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any 17 of those equitable positions.
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a 17 word back from you on this. We've asked you 18 multiple times and you kept refusing to tell us	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any 17 of those equitable positions. 18 Q Right. But Mr. Dondero had the right
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a 17 word back from you on this. We've asked you 18 multiple times and you kept refusing to tell us 19 about it until literally 15 minutes past our lunch	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any 17 of those equitable positions. 18 Q Right. But Mr. Dondero had the right 19 to control 70 percent of the beneficial interest
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a 17 word back from you on this. We've asked you 18 multiple times and you kept refusing to tell us 19 about it until literally 15 minutes past our lunch 20 break even at the very end here. And so those are	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any 17 of those equitable positions. 18 Q Right. But Mr. Dondero had the right 19 to control 70 percent of the beneficial interest 20 in SAS in however he decided to allocate it or
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a 17 word back from you on this. We've asked you 18 multiple times and you kept refusing to tell us 19 about it until literally 15 minutes past our lunch 20 break even at the very end here. And so those are 21 the	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any 17 of those equitable positions. 18 Q Right. But Mr. Dondero had the right 19 to control 70 percent of the beneficial interest 20 in SAS in however he decided to allocate it or 21 hold it, etcetera; correct?
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a 17 word back from you on this. We've asked you 18 multiple times and you kept refusing to tell us 19 about it until literally 15 minutes past our lunch 20 break even at the very end here. And so those are 21 the 22 MS. SMITH: I did not refuse,	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any 17 of those equitable positions. 18 Q Right. But Mr. Dondero had the right 19 to control 70 percent of the beneficial interest 20 in SAS in however he decided to allocate it or 21 hold it, etcetera; correct? 22 A Yes, he could have done with those
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a 17 word back from you on this. We've asked you 18 multiple times and you kept refusing to tell us 19 about it until literally 15 minutes past our lunch 20 break even at the very end here. And so those are 21 the 22 MS. SMITH: I did not refuse, 23 Mr. Clubok, and you know that.	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any 17 of those equitable positions. 18 Q Right. But Mr. Dondero had the right 19 to control 70 percent of the beneficial interest 20 in SAS in however he decided to allocate it or 21 hold it, etcetera; correct? 22 A Yes, he could have done with those 23 shares as he chose. I don't know if he donated
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a 17 word back from you on this. We've asked you 18 multiple times and you kept refusing to tell us 19 about it until literally 15 minutes past our lunch 20 break even at the very end here. And so those are 21 the 22 MS. SMITH: I did not refuse,	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any 17 of those equitable positions. 18 Q Right. But Mr. Dondero had the right 19 to control 70 percent of the beneficial interest 20 in SAS in however he decided to allocate it or 21 hold it, etcetera; correct? 22 A Yes, he could have done with those
1 This is a New York State matter. I am 2 not his counsel of record in New York, like I told 3 you at very beginning when you served me by snail 4 mail on this. 5 So I am fine with continuing with the 6 deposition. 7 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. And by the way, 8 when I did serve you and you had not opened your 9 mail, I told you at the time, of course I 10 understood, we were very professional and 11 courteous because you didn't and I understand 12 it's Covid and so you may not have seen your mail, 13 which we bent over backwards. You said you hadn't 14 opened your mail. I said, okay, professional 15 courtesy, so we will accept that representation 16 and just pick up from here. And I never got a 17 word back from you on this. We've asked you 18 multiple times and you kept refusing to tell us 19 about it until literally 15 minutes past our lunch 20 break even at the very end here. And so those are 21 the 22 MS. SMITH: I did not refuse, 23 Mr. Clubok, and you know that.	1 A I don't really know how they flow, but 2 it would be something similar to that or generally 3 like that, yes. 4 Q Okay. And SAS, what does that stand 5 for? 6 A It stands for sword and shield. 7 Q And who came up with that name? 8 A Me. 9 Q What does SAS do? 10 A It provided litigation, financing 11 and/or claim purchasing. 12 Q And whose and by the way, it's also 13 the case that Mr. Dondero, through entities that 14 he controls, has a 70 percent beneficial economic 15 interest in SAS; correct? 16 A I don't know how Mr. Dondero holds any 17 of those equitable positions. 18 Q Right. But Mr. Dondero had the right 19 to control 70 percent of the beneficial interest 20 in SAS in however he decided to allocate it or 21 hold it, etcetera; correct? 22 A Yes, he could have done with those 23 shares as he chose. I don't know if he donated

47 (185 to 188)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
185	187
1 A I was one of the other than being an	1 A Yes, I know Summit Management.
2 indirect owner, for lack of a better term, with	2 Q What's Summit Management?
3 more affiliated entities related to me, I was the	3 A I believe they are a Cayman-based
4 person that came up with the idea to form it and	4 fiduciary services company.
5 provided services through related entities to it.	5 Q And they provide directors for the
6 Q Well, you were the CEO of SAS; right?	6 entities through which you own SAS or portions of
7 A I don't know that that was ever a	7 SAS and Sentinel; is that right?
8 title. I just I don't know.	8 A I know they had in the past. I don't
9 Q If somebody called SAS, that call would	9 know if they are still the directors or not.
10 be routed to Highland Capital Management's phone	10 Q Is SAS a client of Skyview?
11 system and it would go to you, isn't that true?	11 A No, not to my knowledge.
12 A Not that I'm aware of, no. It may have	12 Q Why would HCM employees ever work for
13 been that at some point, but certainly not in	13 SAS?
14 years.	14 A There was
15 Q Well, isn't it true that in the past,	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
16 that somebody called SAS, it would be routed to	THE WITNESS: There was a culture that
17 HCM's office, and they could would press 1 and	17 existed before my tenure with Highland where
18 speak directly to you?	18 business ideas would be incubated and/or services
19 A Potentially. I just don't remember	19 provided by Highland employees, and if those
20 that being the case.	20 various businesses ever got to a point of
21 Q And SAS used to share a phone number	21 substance and/or stability to stand on other own,
22 with HCM?	22 they would be spun out and the employees of
23 A No.	23 Highland would usually go and work for that new
24 Q Did SAS have its own bank accounts?	24 entity, and there are several examples of that.
25 A Yes.	25 BY MR. CLUBOK:
186	188
1 Q And your sister Marcia Maslow worked	1 Q Did you ever get any distribution from
2 for SAS?	2 SAS?
3 A No.	3 A No.
4 Q Isn't it true she provided project	4 Q Have you ever gotten any payments from
5 management consulting services?	5 SAS at all?
6 A Yes. She provided some IT setup of	6 A No, other than expense reimbursement,
7 of case management tracking devices.	7 no.
8 Q So she was paid by SAS for that work?	8 Q Expense reimbursement?
9 A Yes.	9 A Yes.
10 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.	10 Q For what?
11 THE WITNESS: The consulting firm she	11 A Where there would be marketing costs
12 works for was paid, yes.	12 and otherwise. I mean, somebody has to be an
13 BY MR. CLUBOK:	13 individual that pays, and very often that would be
14 Q You still have access to your SAS	14 me.
15 management.com email?	15 Q What's the rough magnitude of that
16 A No.	16 expense or reimbursement?
17 Q If we emailed to sellington at	17 A I have no idea. You're talking about
18 sasmgt.com today, would it go through?	18 12 12 plus years.
19 A I have no idea.	19 Q You're saying you haven't gotten any
20 Q Do you know the directors of SAS?	20 expense reimbursement for SAS in 12 plus years?
21 A No, I don't.	21 A You're asking for
22 Q Do you know who David Eggleshaw is or	22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
23 John Collier (?)	23 THE WITNESS: Sorry, Frances. My
24 A No.	24 apologies.
25 Q Do you know Summit Management Limited?	25 MS. SMITH: Object to form.

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

48 (189 to 192)

Conducted of	1 July 29, 2021	
189		191
1 THE WITNESS: You are asking me the	1 A Do I see where it says what? I'm	
2 magnitude of that. I wouldn't know how to gauge	2 sorry.	
3 that over an aggregate of 12 plus years.	3 Q See there is a circle at the top on the	
4 BY MR. CLUBOK:	4 left that says USP1, and a circle on the top on	
5 Q How about in the last three years?	5 the right that says USP2.	
6 A No idea.	6 A Yeah.	
7 Q Is it tens of thousands, ones of	7 MS. SMITH: Can we have the exhibit on	
8 thousands, hundreds of thousands, millions?	8 the screen, please?	
9 A I would say you are probably in tens of	9 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
10 thousands to low hundreds of thousands.	10 Q Yeah, we do have the exhibit.	
11 Q For what?	Let's turn to oh, I'm sorry.	
12 A Travel. Hotel rooms. I would pay for	12 It's	
13 any and every one professional and otherwise that	MR. CLUBOK: Do I have control here,	
14 were conducting business on behalf of SAS.	14 Nate?	
15 Q What's the relationship between SAS	15 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
16 management and SAS asset recovery.	16 Q There is a org chart that I have up	
17 A I'd have to look at an org chart.	17 now. The Bates label ends in 85.	
18 Q What's the relationship between SAS and	18 On the top left, you can see USP1 and	
19 Sentinel?	19 at the top right, you see USP2 in circles?	
20 A There is no direct relationship.	20 A Yes.	
_		
	21 Q You recall that USP1 refers to you and 22 USP2 refers to Mr. Dondero?	
■		
23 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know how	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
24 Mr. Dondero owns them, either one of those	24 THE WITNESS: I don't know I don't	
25 businesses, so I don't know about the commonality.	25 know what they refer to.	
190	There is also a similar side from LICDs	192
1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	There is also a circle with four USPs,	
2 Q I'm going to hand you what's been	2 so I don't know what refers to each.	
3 marked as	3 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
4 Hold on a second.	4 Q Do you see where it says Sebastian	
5 What's Sebastian Clarke?	5 Clarke at the bottom right?	
6 A I'd have to look at a org chart. I	6 A Bottom right? Yes, I do.	
7 don't know.	7 Q Does that ring a bell for you what	
8 Q You have no idea what Sebastian Clarke	8 Sebastian Clarke is?	
9 is as you sit here today?	9 A No idea what it is.	
10 A No, I know I remember the entity	10 Q There's a if you turn to the next	
11 name, but I don't know what it's relative to.	11 page, there's some notes. Those are the do you	
12 Q You owned Sebastian Clarke, didn't you?	12 see where it says on the on the page that ends	
13 A I have no idea.	13 in 85, AD1, AD2, AD3, AD4, 5?	
14 Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 39, which	And then the next page, those are the,	
15 is tab 8. You should have it in front of you.	15 you know, footnotes basically that correspond to	
16 A I do. Can I go ahead and open it,	16 those; do you see that?	
17 Mr. Clubok?	17 A I do.	
18 Q Please do.	18 It says it corresponds to slide 1, but	
19 And I'm going to ask you to turn to	19 I don't see what's labeled as slide 1.	
20 page 3 of Exhibit 39, which is the offshore fund	20 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
21 structure of SAS as of December 31st, 2017.	21 Q So if you look back at the slide that	
Do you see that?	22 ends with 85, for example, you see where it says	
23 A I see an org chart. I do.	23 AD4 at the bottom left, next to Gray Royal	
Q And do you see where it talks about USP	24 Limited?	
25 (inaudible)?	25 A Yes.	
· /	1	

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

49 (193 to 196)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
193	195
1 Q And if you go to the next page, you see	1 for preliminary discussion purposes only."
2 84, it says, "Sold from Sentinel structure in '17.	2 And it references Nimitz with a
3 Details needed."	3 30 percent value and with 9 percent vote, and
4 These notes appear to refer to this first	4 Patton with 70 percent value, 91 percent vote
5 slide?	5 above Sentinel Holdings Limited.
6 A Yes.	6 Do you see that?
7 Q Okay?	7 A I see that line.
8 A That seems to be that's the	8 Q And we showed you earlier the document
9 assumption, yes.	9 that showed that Nimitz referred to your
10 Q Yep. So then I'm going to turn to the	10 beneficial owner of about 30 percent, whereas
11 next page, the next slide, slide 2. And this is	11 Patton referred to Mr. Dondero's ownership of
12 the CF it's entitled "CFC Restructure." And	12 about 70 percent?
13 it says, "Sentinel structure as of December 31st,	13 A I don't remember the document that you
14 2017."	14 are referring to. But if you go further in the
Do you see that?	15 chart, it shows that there is foreign individuals
16 A I do.	16 who have specific values. And like I said, this
17 Q And it and it, again, has a	17 is for a draft for preliminary discussions only.
18 reference to USP1, and USP2.	18 This looks to be a proposed restructuring. And
19 Do you see that?	19 furthermore, it's Mr. Dondero's international tax
20 A On the CFC restructure?	20 questions from Deloitte, so I don't know that this
21 Q Yes.	21 is relevant to me at all. And I don't know if
22 A Yes.	22 this was something that was put together for
23 Q When you see how USP1 goes down to an	23 discussion or actually got put in place. I have
24 entity called Nimitz?	24 no idea.
25 A Yes, I do.	25 Q Okay. So listen to my question.
194	25 Q Okay. So listen to my question.
1 Q At a 30 percent value?	1 A Okay.
2 A Yes.	2 Q Earlier today, I showed you a document
3 Q And USP goes down ultimately to an	3 that was passed around that showed you it
4 entity called Patton, which has a 70 percent	4 showed Sentinel being held roughly 30 percent by
5 value?	5 Nimitz and 70 percent by Patton with the
	6 beneficial owners of each of those being
6 A I do. 7 Q Although a 91	7 respectively you and Mr. Dondero.
8 MS. SMITH: Excuse me, Mr. Clubok. I	8 Do you remember
9 don't want to interrupt you. But can you hold	9 A Can you refer to me what document that
10 this up so that the whole thing shows. It looks	10 was, Mr. Clubok, because we've looked at, as far
11 like some of its cut off.	11 as I know, three exhibits.
	12 If you are referring to the chart
13 off except the Bates number.	13 prepared by SCI IC, again, I don't know where they 14 got that information. And it may be a general
14 A I can see I can see the whole thing 15 on the hard copy.	
16 BY MR. CLUBOK:	15 summary, but it's not further reflected in this
	16 chart. Because if you get behind Nimitz, it is
17 Q Yeah, you have a hard copy. And,	17 not 30 percent because there is a value that goes
18 Ms. Smith, you should have a hard copy, too, or	18 to each individual on both sides of the chart.
19 you certainly have these exhibits. We've used	19 And again, this is a proposed restructure, so I
20 them in past depositions.	20 don't know if this was put in place or how it was
So with respect to getting back to this	21 handled because it is a conversation with Deloitte
22 exhibit, which, again, is Exhibit 39. And we are	22 about Mr. Dondero, Dondero TY17 international
23 on the page that ends in Bates number 37. There	23 questions.
24 is a chart that says, "CFC restructure, Sentinel	Q Yeah, you you are the hundred
25 structure as of 12/31/17." It is marked "Draft	25 percent beneficial owner of Nimitz, which has a

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
197	199
1 roughly 30 percent value in Sentinel Holdings;	1 A No, I did not. Again, I don't know
2 isn't that true.	2 this was ever implemented. This, again, seems to
3 A I have no idea what the structure	3 be something they're contemplating, especially
4 looked like as of 12/31/17 or now.	4 with the Sentinel slide 2, as you refer to it,
5 Q Yeah. You you say you have no idea	5 that's called restructure, meaning I think they're
6 under a and I showed you previously Exhibit 26.	6 proposing this as a structure. I have no idea if
7 A Which again, is a summary from an	7 it was put in place or not.
8 outside third party of what their records are.	8 Q Did did Katie Irving ever work on
9 It doesn't tell me that I'm the	9 SAS matters?
10 30 percent owner of that.	10 A Yes.
11 Q Okay. And so you are claiming, as you	11 Q Did Katie Irving ever work on Sentinel
12 sit here today, you have no idea you have no	12 matters?
13 idea whether or not you're a 30 percent owner of	
14 Sentinel through an entity called Nimitz?	14 matters at all.
15 A I have no idea as of the structure of	15 Q I'm going to ask you to look at Exhibit
16 today, no.	16 28.
17 Q In any event but you do know that	MR. CLUBOK: Which is tab 9, Nate.
18 you're roughly a 30 percent beneficial owner of	18 BY MR. CLUBOK:
19 Nimitz through whatever organizations have been	19 Q Exhibit 28 is an email with an
20 set up of in the Sentinel chain and in whatever	20 attachment that's entitled "SAS and Sentinel Final
21 organizations, tax planning or otherwise, have	21 Structure as of 9 April 2019".
22 been set up in your personal life; correct?	22 And the email
23 A I think normally, that's my	23 A Can I open it, Mr. Clubok?
24 understanding, yes.	24 Q Yeah, please. When I call it out, if I
25 Q And when you look at this chart, you	25 don't say it, that
198	200
1 say that you don't know whether or not the owners	1 A Okay. Okay. Sorry I just wanted to
2 of Sentinel that are reflectively identified as	2 make sure.
3 30 percent and 70 percent refer ultimately to you	3 Q That's okay. I appreciate it.
4 and Mr. Dondero. You have no idea?	4 So we will put up Exhibit 28, the first
5 A I don't know what I don't know what	5 page, please.
6 this is other than a preliminary discussion	6 A Okay.
7 purposes, and I just happened to glance at the	7 MR. CLUBOK: Which is behind tab 9,
8 parties involved in it, Paul Broadest and the rest	8 Nate.
9 seem to all be Deloitte employees, and it's about	9 BY MR. CLUBOK:
10 Mr. Dondero. I am not included in this	10 Q And Exhibit 28 on the first page has an
11 discussion, not CC'd on this discussion. Until	11 email from Katie Irving to Stephen Beck, JP
12 you showed this to me, I had never seen this, as	12 Sevilla and Matt DiOrio, with subject "AB
13 far as I know, in my life.	13 Restructure Sentinel."
14 Q Yeah. And you've never seen in your	14 Do you see that?
15 life anything that says that you ultimately own	15 A Invested do.
16 30 percent of Sentinel through an entity called	16 Q And Katie Irving writes to Steve Beck.
17 Nimitz?	Do you know who Steve Beck is?
18 A Not that I recall.	18 A I do know who Steve Beck is.
19 MS. SMITH: Objection. Objection to	
20 form.	20 A Steve Beck is a tax attorney.
21 THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.	Q Who worked at Highland at the time?
22 BY MR. CLUBOK:	A No, he's outside counsel.
23 Q Did you know that you had roughly a	23 Q Okay. In what firm?
	<ul> <li>Q Okay. In what firm?</li> <li>A Nettles Collier. (?)</li> <li>Q And Ms. Irving was writing to him about</li> </ul>

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

51 (201 to 204)

Conducted on	1 July 29, 2021	
201		203
1 the entity restructure and just saying that,	1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
2 further to any liquidation discussion, the	2 Q Yeah. My question is much simpler.	
3 Sentinel Reinsurance Limited regulator CIMA was	3 A Okay.	
4 asking that the Sentinel structure be simplified.	4 Q He is said that she had discussed	
5 And they specifically called out	5 liquidations that CIMA specifically called out	
6 various entities, including Nimitz and Patton.	6 with respect to Sentinel Reinsurance; isn't that	
7 Do you see that?	7 true?	
8 A Let's let me read. Yes, I see that,	8 "Hi Steve. Further to entity liquidation	
9 along with other entities.	9 discussions last year, the Sentinel Reinsurance	
10 Q Yeah. And she says, "I believe we	10 Limited regulator Cayman Islands Monetary	
11 discussed some of these liquidations last year."	11 Authority, so they regulate that entity because it	
12 A Yes. And from the two charts there's a	12 is a regulated entity, which SAS is not, is asking	
13 mixture of SAS-related entities and	13 that the Sentinel structure be simplified. CIMA	
14 Sentinel-related entities, too.	14 specifically called out" [As read.] And then	
15 Q Right. From the charts, it's clear	15 she lists the specific entities.	
16 that Sentinel and SAS are connected in some way;	16 Q Right. And that's all	
17 correct?	17 A "Upon further discussion with Montage	
18 A No, I think Ms. Irving is talking about	18 Anthem Management."	
19 overall what has CIMA's asked for and her primary	19 Q Sorry, Mr. Ellington. I'm asking you	
20 responsibilities on the SAS platform.	20 about the second paragraph.	
21 Q Okay. She specifically talks about	21 And as you noted, CIMA regulates	
22 responding to requests by the Sentinel Reinsurance	22 Sentinel and not SAS; right?	
23 Limited regular in her email to Stephen Beck;	23 A Correct.	
24 correct?	24 Q And so this email is in furtherance of	
25 A Yes, I see that. But again, I don't	25 responding to Sentinel's regulator about the	
202		204
1 know what that org chart looked like. There's	1 Sentinel Reinsurance restructuring; correct?	
2 doesn't seem to be anything attached, other than	2 A It is also in regards to Montage,	
3 another attachment that says, "For Preliminary	3 Elderflower, Brave	
4 Discussion Purposes Only," draft.	4 Q Yep.	
5 Q Right. And then she she towards	5 A Anthem and Main Spring, which are on	
6 try to follow my questions, just answer my	6 the SAS structure, so it looks like CIMA had	
7 question.	7 had instructions to those.	
8 A Sure.	8 Q I'm going to ask you, Mr. Ellington,	
9 Q You said before that Ms. Irving had not	9 going forward, not to volunteer things like that	
10 did not do work on Sentinel, as far as you 11 know?	10 if I ask you a specific question. I may do 11 follow-up. And your lawyer may ask you about what	
12 A As far as I know, I don't know that she	12 else this email covers. But my specific question	
13 did work on Sentinel.	13 to you is this email is specifically begins with a	
14 Q And yet here is writing to Stephen Beck	14 furtherance of liquidation discussions about	
15 specifically about Sentinel Reinsurance Limited in	15 Sentinel that were apparently initiated by	
16 the bottom email on page 28; correct?	16 Sentinel's regulator in the Caymans; isn't that	
17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	17 true.	
18 THE WITNESS: I think she's discussing	18 A That's true. Maybe I'm mistaken. I	
19 all of CIMA's instructions with Steve Beck. And	19 thought your question was the email's in regards	
20 then talks; about Montage Anthem and Mainstream	20 to, and that's not all it's in regards to.	
21 used to be CFC's Elderflower and Brave Holdings	21 Q I didn't say that's all it's in regards	
22 which give me a second seemed to be related	22 to. I said	
23 to the SAS side, possibly describing to Steve Beck	23 (overspeaking)	
24 part of the CFC restructuring, again, at CIMA's	24 A Okay. Maybe I made a mistake.	
25 request.	25 Q And then Katie passes this e-mail on to	
	E DEBOG	

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

52 (205 to 208)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
205	207
1 Sam Dawson. Sam Dawson was the person that you	1 30 percent for Nimitz above Sentinel Re and
2 previously said was the lawyer who gave advice on	2 70 percent for Patton above Sentinel Re; correct?
3 Sentinel?	3 A Yes, it says 30 percent value, it says.
4 A He gave advice on ATE policies on	4 I don't know if that means shares or something
5 Sentinel, yes.	5 else.
6 Q And did he also give legal advice on	6 Q Right. And does this refresh and by
7 SAS?	7 who tasked Katie to do this work on behalf of
8 A Yes, he did.	8 Sentinel and SAS?
9 Q So and who is Dylan? Someone who	9 A Again, I don't know whether she was t;
10 works with him?	10 tasked to do anything on behalf of Sentinel. But
11 A Yeah, I don't know who Dylan is, but it	11 my assumption would be that the directors asked
12 seems from the e-mail address he works with Sam.	12 her to do this.
13 Q Right. And Katie is asking to discuss,	13 Q The directors of what?
14 according to the attachments, SAS and Sentinel	14 A Probably the directors of both since,
15 final structure as of 9 April 2019. Do you see	15 at least according to Katie, CIMA called out
16 that?	16 entities that are related to both.
17 A Discussion from legal perspective,	17 Q You think the independent directors
18 I believe the impact of the GP structure, the	18 asked Katie to do this for Sentinel and SAS?
19 (indiscernible) previously. I don't know what her	19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
20 nomenclature is there. "Understand option to move	20 THE WITNESS: I said I don't know. I
21 away from existing trust structure." Again, I	21 don't have any idea.
22 don't know what that is, so I don't know what	22 BY MR. CLUBOK:
23 she's really asking here.	
, ,	23 Q Did you expect Katie to respond to 24 requests related to Sentinel?
24 Q Right. But her attachment that she 25 sends is entitled "SAS and Sentinel Final	25 A No.
206 1 Structure as of 9 April, 2019 PowerPoint;"	1 Q Was that she worked for you at the
	The state of the s
	2 time; right? 3 A Yes.
	,
5 also SAS structure as of 9th April, 2019. And I	5 authority? 6 THE WITNESS: No.
6 find it interesting that if it's a final	
7 structure, both are marked "draft."	7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
8 Q Yeah. As I said, she sends on two	8 BY MR. CLUBOK:
9 slides now with what looks to be compared to what	9 Q Was she authorized to do work on behalf
10 we previously saw simplified structures for SAS	10 of Sentinel while she worked for you at Highland
11 and Sentinel.	11 Capital Management?
12 MS. SMITH: Objection	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
13 BY MR. CLUBOK:	13 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't authorize her
Q And it says as of 9 April, 2019, at the	14 to do work for Sentinel or not. That would be up
15 bottom, it still says, "Draft for preliminary	15 to the directors.
16 discussion purposes only;"correct?	16 BY MR. CLUBOK:
17 A On both slide 1 and 2 as you referred	17 Q That's up to Sentinel but she her
18 to them as, and they do seem in terms of a number	18 pay cheque at the time was coming from Highland
19 of boxes more simplified.	19 Capital Management; right?
20 Q Right. And on slide 2, which says the	20 A As far as I know, yes.
21 Sentinel structure as at 9 April 2019, we now have	21 Q And you were her supervisor; correct?
22 USP1 having 99 percent of value, 9 percent of	22 A Correct.
23 votes down through Nimitz simplified, these	23 Q Did you authorize her during and
24 foreigners and other US partners are all out.	24 this is during the work hour this is during the
25 We've now got a simplified structure that shows	25 work day, 2:59 p.m. on a Wednesday, that she's

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

53 (209 to 212)

Conducted or	July 29, 2021
1 doing this work on restructuring Sentinel and SAS.	1 A I don't remember working oh, yes, I
Do you see that?	2 did I did work on some matters relative to that
3 A I do see that.	3 entity.
4 Q Is that something she was authorized to	4 Q What do you mean?
	5 A Pardon me?
6 A To work on SAS, absolutely. To work on 7 Sentinel, I don't know that she is, because she	
	-
8 asked Sam and Dylan here about the GP structure	8 A Meaning that I worked on matters
9 for these top coast previously.	9 relative to that entity.
10 Q Okay.	10 Q Were you paid for that work?
11 A It doesn't say Sentinel, it doesn't say	11 A No, I was not paid for that work.
12 SAS. You need to also understand the accounts	12 Q Why would you do work for SAS Loan
13 structure. I don't know what she's referring to.	13 Service Limited for no compensation?
14 Q My question my question is: Was she	14 A Because Mr. Dondero instructed me as
15 authorized to do work on company time for Sentinel	15 the person paying my pay check to continue
16 during April of 2019 while she was getting a pay	16 incubating this business and see if I could get it
17 cheque from HCM and under your supervision?	17 to a specific gravity and robust enough that it
18 A I didn't	18 could stand on its own.
19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	19 Q So Mr. Dondero specifically directed
THE WITNESS: I didn't structure Ms.	20 your work on SAS Loan Services Limited?
21 Irving on what she worked on.	21 A No, on SAS structure
22 BY MR. CLUBOK:	22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
23 Q If you go down to the to SAS, to the	23 THE WITNESS: Sorry. On SAS structure
24 SAS slide on the page that has the Bates number	24 in general.
25 125, you see in the bottom right, Sebastian Clarke	25 BY MR. CLUBOK:
210 1 Limited is still there?	1 Q Oh, I see. Mr. Dondero specifically
2 A Yes. Actually, it doesn't have a line	2 told you to work on the SAS structure to get it to
3 to it. So it's on the chart, there is no line	3 be profitable or in the money?
4 connecting it to Flagstone Management Limited.	4 A See if it could be a standalone
5 Q Right. And that it just shows up there	5 business.
6 in the bottom right in its own little box; right?	6 Q Did you achieve that?
7 A Yes, it's in its own little box.	7 A For a period of time, yes.
0 0 0 1 11 1101 1	8 Q And what was that period of time?
9 Clarke is based on this chart?	9 A I would say from inception for about
10 A No idea, no idea.	10 the first four to five years.
11 Q Do you know SAS R SAS AR Limited in	11 Q Until when?
12 the left-most box or	12 A Call it '14, '15.
13 A No.	13 Q And since then, it has not been a
14 Q central box?	14 successful standalone business?
15 A No, I don't.	15 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
	16 THE WITNESS: Since then, there has
16 Q Do you know SAS Loan Services limited, 17 what that is?	17 been very little, if any, effort put onto this
	18 platform, but-for managing legacy matters, which
	19 I believe now there is only one.
19 as a loan servicing entity for an engagement that 20 SAS had.	20 BY MR. CLUBOK:
21 Q Is that something you established?	21 Q Did you ever recover any value from
22 A I don't believe I established it. That	22 SAS?
23 would have been done by Maples and Collier or	23 A I don't understand your question.
24 whoever counsel was at the time.	Q Did you ever make any money on SAS?
25 Q Did you have any role with that entity?	25 A Me personally?

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

54 (213 to 216)

	1 July 29, 2021
213	215
1 Q Yeah.	1 Q Did you ever were you ever involved,
2 A No.	2 ever, in any discussion about whether an entity
Q Did you lose any money on SAS?	3 was or was not properly characterized as an
4 A Me personally?	4 affiliate in connection with any Highland entity?
5 Q Yeah.	5 A Compliance, Mr. Surgent and his staff
6 A No.	6 and Mr. Surgent's predecessors would have asked
7 Q Did Mr. Dondero make any money on SAS?	7 questions when having ownership and other relevant
8 A Not that I'm aware of.	8 information that they demanded and asked for.
9 Q Did anybody make any money from SAS, as	9 They may ask questions. Sometimes they didn't.
10 far as you're aware?	10 And the determination was made.
11 A Outside counsel on a bunch of matters.	11 Q Other than compliance asking you
12 Q Anybody else?	12 questions, did you ever have any role whatsoever
13 A Not that I'm aware of, no.	13 in assessing whether any entity was or was not an
14 Q Do you know if Patton and Nimitz still	14 affiliate of another entity?
15 exist today?	15 A No and, again, my understanding is SEC
16 A I don't know.	16 regs and the RAA, I have no right to do so.
17 Q Is Sentinel would Sentinel be	MR. CLUBOK: I'm sorry, Nate, could we
18 considered a affiliate of Highland Capital	18 take this down? I'm not sure how to do that
19 Management?	19 easily. Ah, thank you.
20 A No. An affiliate or non-affiliate is	20 BY MR. CLUBOK:
21 the sole decision of the Chief Compliance Officer	21 Q So did you ever take a position during
22 Thomas Surgent, who, through date of inception of	22 your tenure as general counsel of Highland as to
23 Sentinel, through my date of termination, formally	23 whether or not any entity was or was not an
24 declared is not an affiliate.	24 affiliate of any other entity?
25 Q What is the sorry, when was	25 A No, other than repeating what
•	1 2
214	216
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?	1 compliance's determination had been made when we
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right? 2 A Correct.	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon.
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right? 2 A Correct. 3 Q And who was in charge of making a	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right? 2 A Correct. 3 Q And who was in charge of making a 4 decision at that point as to whether or not	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right? 2 A Correct. 3 Q And who was in charge of making a 4 decision at that point as to whether or not 5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your understanding of the relationship between Sentinel and HCM is that it's not an affiliate?
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right? 2 A Correct. 3 Q And who was in charge of making a 4 decision at that point as to whether or not 5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate? 6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right? 2 A Correct. 3 Q And who was in charge of making a 4 decision at that point as to whether or not 5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate? 6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent 7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon. 2 Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of
Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  A Correct.  Q And who was in charge of making a decision at that point as to whether or not Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon.  2 Did you and sorry, your  4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel  5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate?  6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm  7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of  8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I
Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  A Correct.  Q And who was in charge of making a  decision at that point as to whether or not  Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  the date.	compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon.  Q Did you and sorry, your understanding of the relationship between Sentinel and HCM is that it's not an affiliate?  MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question.
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right? 2 A Correct. 3 Q And who was in charge of making a 4 decision at that point as to whether or not 5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate? 6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent 7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may 8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on 9 the date. 10 Q And you're saying that the decision to	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of 8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I 9 didn't hear the question. 10 MR. CLUBOK: That's okay.
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of 8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I 9 didn't hear the question. 10 MR. CLUBOK: That's okay. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK:
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  12 As an affiliate or not an affiliate was	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of 8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I 9 didn't hear the question. 10 MR. CLUBOK: That's okay. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  12 As an affiliate or not an affiliate was  13 solely the decision of the head of compliance?	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of 8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I 9 didn't hear the question. 10 MR. CLUBOK: That's okay. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any 13 understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  12 As an affiliate or not an affiliate was  13 solely the decision of the head of compliance?  14 A Yes, that's the only person that makes	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of 8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I 9 didn't hear the question. 10 MR. CLUBOK: That's okay. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any 13 understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could 14 be considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  12 As an affiliate or not an affiliate was  13 solely the decision of the head of compliance?  14 A Yes, that's the only person that makes  15 that determination, and they don't have to answer	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of 8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I 9 didn't hear the question. 10 MR. CLUBOK: That's okay. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any 13 understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could 14 be considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim 15 Dondero?
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  12 As an affiliate or not an affiliate was  13 solely the decision of the head of compliance?  14 A Yes, that's the only person that makes  15 that determination, and they don't have to answer  16 to anyone in that determination, other than	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon.  Q Did you and sorry, your understanding of the relationship between Sentinel and HCM is that it's not an affiliate?  MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question.  MR. CLUBOK: That's okay.  BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could understanding as to whether or hear made when we are a sentinel could he considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim Dondero?  MR. Yes.
Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  A Correct.  Q And who was in charge of making a decision at that point as to whether or not Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on the date.  Q And you're saying that the decision to lidentify well, let's start with Sentinel.  As an affiliate or not an affiliate was solely the decision of the head of compliance?  A Yes, that's the only person that makes that determination, and they don't have to answer to anyone in that determination, other than themselves.	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of 8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I 9 didn't hear the question. 10 MR. CLUBOK: That's okay. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any 13 understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could 14 be considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim 15 Dondero? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And what was your understanding?
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  12 As an affiliate or not an affiliate was  13 solely the decision of the head of compliance?  14 A Yes, that's the only person that makes  15 that determination, and they don't have to answer  16 to anyone in that determination, other than  17 themselves.  18 Q And does the general counsel have any	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of 8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I 9 didn't hear the question. 10 MR. CLUBOK: That's okay. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any 13 understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could 14 be considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim 15 Dondero? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And what was your understanding? 18 A My understanding is it was not an
Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  A Correct.  Q And who was in charge of making a decision at that point as to whether or not Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate? A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on the date.  Q And you're saying that the decision to lidentify well, let's start with Sentinel.  As an affiliate or not an affiliate was solely the decision of the head of compliance?  A Yes, that's the only person that makes that determination, and they don't have to answer to anyone in that determination, other than themselves.  Q And does the general counsel have any note whatsoever in assessing whether an entity	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon.  Q Did you and sorry, your understanding of the relationship between Sentinel and HCM is that it's not an affiliate?  MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question.  MR. CLUBOK: That's okay.  BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could the considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim Dondero?  A Yes.  Q And what was your understanding?  A My understanding is it was not an affiliate of HCM.
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  12 As an affiliate or not an affiliate was  13 solely the decision of the head of compliance?  14 A Yes, that's the only person that makes  15 that determination, and they don't have to answer  16 to anyone in that determination, other than  17 themselves.  18 Q And does the general counsel have any  19 role whatsoever in assessing whether an entity  20 would be considered an affiliate for any purpose?	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon.  Q Did you and sorry, your  understanding of the relationship between Sentinel  and HCM is that it's not an affiliate?  MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm  sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of  that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I  didn't hear the question.  MR. CLUBOK: That's okay.  BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any  understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could  be considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim  Dondero?  A Yes.  Q And what was your understanding?  A My understanding is it was not an  affiliate of HCM.  I don't know if a determination was
Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  A Correct.  Q And who was in charge of making a  decision at that point as to whether or not  Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  the date.  Q And you're saying that the decision to  identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  As an affiliate or not an affiliate was  solely the decision of the head of compliance?  A Yes, that's the only person that makes  that determination, and they don't have to answer  to anyone in that determination, other than  themselves.  Q And does the general counsel have any  role whatsoever in assessing whether an entity  would be considered an affiliate for any purpose?  A Zero.	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon.  Q Did you and sorry, your  understanding of the relationship between Sentinel  and HCM is that it's not an affiliate?  MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm  sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of  that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I  didn't hear the question.  MR. CLUBOK: That's okay.  BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any  understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could  be considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim  Dondero?  A Yes.  Q And what was your understanding?  A My understanding is it was not an  affiliate of HCM.  I don't know if a determination was  made it was affiliate of Jim Dondero. And that
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  12 As an affiliate or not an affiliate was  13 solely the decision of the head of compliance?  14 A Yes, that's the only person that makes  15 that determination, and they don't have to answer  16 to anyone in that determination, other than  17 themselves.  18 Q And does the general counsel have any  19 role whatsoever in assessing whether an entity  20 would be considered an affiliate for any purpose?  21 A Zero.  22 Q Did you ever weigh in in any way on	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon.  Q Did you and sorry, your understanding of the relationship between Sentinel and HCM is that it's not an affiliate?  MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question.  MR. CLUBOK: That's okay.  BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could be considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim Dondero?  A Yes.  Q And what was your understanding?  A My understanding is it was not an affiliate of HCM.  I don't know if a determination was made it was affiliate of Jim Dondero. And that determination was made by the chief compliance
1 Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  2 A Correct.  3 Q And who was in charge of making a  4 decision at that point as to whether or not  5 Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  6 A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent  7 as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may  8 have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on  9 the date.  10 Q And you're saying that the decision to  11 identify well, let's start with Sentinel.  12 As an affiliate or not an affiliate was  13 solely the decision of the head of compliance?  14 A Yes, that's the only person that makes  15 that determination, and they don't have to answer  16 to anyone in that determination, other than  17 themselves.  18 Q And does the general counsel have any  19 role whatsoever in assessing whether an entity  20 would be considered an affiliate for any purpose?  21 A Zero.  22 Q Did you ever weigh in in any way on  23 whether any entity was or was not an affiliate	1 compliance's determination had been made when we 2 inquired upon. 3 Q Did you and sorry, your 4 understanding of the relationship between Sentinel 5 and HCM is that it's not an affiliate? 6 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm 7 sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of 8 that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I 9 didn't hear the question. 10 MR. CLUBOK: That's okay. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any 13 understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could 14 be considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim 15 Dondero? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And what was your understanding? 18 A My understanding is it was not an 19 affiliate of HCM. 20 I don't know if a determination was 21 made it was affiliate of Jim Dondero. And that 22 determination was made by the chief compliance 23 officer and continued to be the same determination
Sentinel was created in 2012; right?  A Correct.  Q And who was in charge of making a decision at that point as to whether or not Sentinel was an affiliate or not an affiliate?  A I don't remember if it was Mr. Surgent as chief compliance officer yet or not. It may have been his predecessor, but I'm not certain on the date.  Q And you're saying that the decision to lidentify well, let's start with Sentinel.  As an affiliate or not an affiliate was solely the decision of the head of compliance?  A Yes, that's the only person that makes that determination, and they don't have to answer to anyone in that determination, other than themselves.  Q And does the general counsel have any prole whatsoever in assessing whether an entity would be considered an affiliate for any purpose?  A Zero.  Did you ever weigh in in any way on	1 compliance's determination had been made when we inquired upon.  Q Did you and sorry, your  understanding of the relationship between Sentinel  and HCM is that it's not an affiliate?  MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy. I'm  sorry, you went out. I missed like three words of  that when you turned your head. I'm sorry, I  didn't hear the question.  MR. CLUBOK: That's okay.  BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q Mr. Ellington, did you have any  understanding as to whether or not Sentinel could  be considered an affiliate of either HCM or Jim  Dondero?  A Yes.  Q And what was your understanding?  A My understanding is it was not an  affiliate of HCM.  I don't know if a determination was  made it was affiliate of Jim Dondero. And that  determination was made by the chief compliance

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

55 (217 to 220)

	1 July 29, 2021	
217		219
1 whether or not Sentinel would be considered an	1 the assessment, and then we can talk about what	
2 affiliate of you ever made?	2 you did or didn't do when you made your	
3 A I don't know. I don't know if that	3 assessment. And I'm not asking you whether it was	
4 announcement was done or if it was required to be	4 relevant right just now. So please answer the	
5 done or not.	5 question that I ask.	
6 Q As general counsel, did you ever weigh	6 So in the entire history of the time	
7 in on whether or not a transaction should be	7 you worked at Highland Capital, was there ever a	
8 considered a related party transaction?	8 transaction that prior to its occurrence, you, as	
9 A No, that's solely a compliance	9 general counsel, made an assessment as to whether	
10 function.	10 or not that transaction could constitute a	
11 Q As general counsel, did you ever	11 fraudulent transfer?	
12 analyze for any transaction whether it constituted	12 A Yes.	
13 a fraudulent transfer?	13 Q And did you make such an assessment in	
14 MS. SMITH: Objection.	14 connection with the purchase of the ATE policy?	
15 THE WITNESS: For any transaction in	15 A No.	
16 what context?	16 Q Did you endeavor to analyze the	
17 BY MR. CLUBOK:	17 transaction that resulted in the purchase of the	
18 Q In the context of a transaction. Prior	18 ATE policy under a sorry, strike. Let me start	
19 to let's say, prior to it being made, did you	19 again.	
20 ever do an analysis to determine or try to	20 Did you ever endeavor to analyze in any	
21 determine whether or not a proposed transaction	21 way the transaction that resulted in the purchase	
22 would constitute a fraudulent transfer?	22 of the ATE policy that we've been discussing to	
23 A No. Again, that would be a compliance	23 determine whether or not that transaction could be	
24 function.	24 characterized as a fraudulent transfer?	
25 Q Okay. So in the entire history of the	25 MS. SMITH: I'm going to caution you	
218		220
1 time that you worked at Highland Capital did you	1 not to respond to the extent it requires you to	
2 ever, prior to a any transaction occurring,	2 disclose privileged information.	
3 make an assessment as to whether or not that	3 THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
4 transaction could be characterized as a fraudulent	4 No, I did not, as it was a compliance	
5 transfer?	5 function in regards to this transaction with a	
6 A Yeah. But my assessment is irrelevant	6 fulsome process that is run and overseen by	
7 because it is compliance's role and compliance's	7 compliance. And ultimately the sole	
8 sole purvey to make that determination, not mine.	8 decision-maker on that aspect and many others of	
9 Q Okay. So let's break that down.	9 this transaction was Thomas Surgent, the chief	
You are saying you did make an	10 compliance officer.	
11 assessment during the time that you were at	11 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
12 Highland as to whether or not a particular	12 Q Okay. Did you specifically ask Mr.	
13 transaction was or was not a fraudulent transfer	13 Surgent to analyze whether the transaction that	
14 prior to it being executed?	14 resulted in the purchase of the ATE policy could	
15 A Then I misunderstood your question. I	15 be considered a fraudulent transfer, given all the	
16 thought you said did I ever consider if something	16 facts and circumstances?	
17 was a fraudulent transfer. Again, if I was to	17 A I didn't feel the need to because Mr.	
18 make a determination, my determination is not	18 Surgent is more than capable of identifying that	
19 relevant.	19 issue and analyzing.	
Q Right. So let's let me let me	20 Q Did you specifically ask anyone at	
21 say my question. I'll say it more slowly.	21 Highland to analyze whether or not the transaction	
22 A Okay.	22 that could strike that.	
23 Q And let's break it down in different	Did you ask anyone at Highland to	
24 pieces.	24 analyze whether the transaction that results in	
First, I'm going to ask you if you made	25 the purchase of an ATE policy could be considered	

56 (221 to 224)

221	223
1 a fraudulent transfer given the facts and	1 BY MR. CLUBOK:
2 circumstances?	2 Q You never asked when you were
3 A No, because there is a process put in	3 general counsel for Highland, you never asked
4 place from SEC guidance and all codified in other	4 anybody inside the organization or outside the
5 laws that it is a process that is run by	5 organization to conduct a analysis of whether or
6 compliance.	6 not the ATE transaction could be considered a
7 Q Did you ask any outside counsel to make	7 fraudulent transfer on behalf of Highland Capital
	8 Management; correct?
9 results in the purchase of the ATE policy could be	9 A That is correct. Due to the process
10 considered a fraudulent transfer given the facts	10 put in place, that was not my right or place to do
11 and circumstances at the time?	11 so.
MS. SMITH: I'm going to caution you	Q So let's talk about the process that
13 not to respond, to the extent it requires	13 was put in place, because earlier you said that it
14 disclosure of privileged information.	14 was a very fulsome process.
15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. No, because	15 A Yes.
16 that is, again, the process run by compliance who	16 Q And there was a very fulsome process
17 chooses to go to outside counsel or not for that	17 specifically with respect to the purchase of the
18 analysis and other analyses.	18 ATE moil?
19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	19 A Yes, that's correct.
20 Q And I appreciate that answer.	20 Q And you understood that that what you
21 MR. CLUBOK: By the way, I will say,	21 call a fulsome process involved a compliance
22 Ms. Smith, that the privilege that Highland had	22 review and a review of whether or not that
23 for this which Mr. Ellington was may have had	23 transaction could constitute a fraudulent
24 while he was GC is now controlled by the current	24 transfer?
25 board, represented by Mr. Feinstein is my my	25 A I'm not saying I knew that for a fact.
222	224
1 understanding. And your cautioning Mr. Ellington	1 I'm saying I did not need to tell Mr. Surgent to
2 on privilege related to Highland Capital	2 review that because he obviously, in my opinion,
3 Management's privilege I think is inappropriate.	3 would have spotted that issue and done a review as
4 Mr. Feinstein, if I'm wrong about that,	4 part of his overall review of approving the
5 you can correct me.	5 transaction.
6 MR. FEINSTEIN: Well, I was actually	6 Q Well, did you spot did you believe
7 going to jump in and say the same thing.	7 that the transaction was a fraudulent transfer or
8 To the extent that the instruction is	8 did you think about it?
9 don't reveal privileges of Sentinel's, that would	9 A Yes, I thought about it.
10 be one matter. But to the extent that it is a	10 I I didn't know.
11 privilege of Highland, that is another. And that	11 Q Did you ask anybody for their advice?
12 is our bailiwick and I have asserted privilege, so	12 A No, because again, I'm not supposed to
12 is our bailiwick and I have asserted privilege, so 13 maybe the question was just ambiguous.	12 A No, because again, I'm not supposed to 13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.
13 maybe the question was just ambiguous.	13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.
<ul><li>13 maybe the question was just ambiguous.</li><li>14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.</li> <li>14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 maybe the question was just ambiguous.</li> <li>14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay.</li> <li>15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.</li> <li>14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the</li> <li>15 chief of compliance to specifically look into</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 maybe the question was just ambiguous.</li> <li>14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay.</li> <li>15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the</li> <li>16 question, Mr. Clubok, referred to outside counsel</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.</li> <li>14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the</li> <li>15 chief of compliance to specifically look into</li> <li>16 whether a proposed transaction could be considered</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 maybe the question was just ambiguous.</li> <li>14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay.</li> <li>15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the</li> <li>16 question, Mr. Clubok, referred to outside counsel</li> <li>17 without specifying if it was Highland's outside</li> </ul>	13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.  14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the 15 chief of compliance to specifically look into 16 whether a proposed transaction could be considered 17 fraudulent transfer if you have questions about
13 maybe the question was just ambiguous. 14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. 15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the 16 question, Mr. Clubok, referred to outside counsel 17 without specifying if it was Highland's outside 18 counsel or Sentinel's.	13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.  14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the 15 chief of compliance to specifically look into 16 whether a proposed transaction could be considered 17 fraudulent transfer if you have questions about 18 that?
13 maybe the question was just ambiguous. 14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. 15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the 16 question, Mr. Clubok, referred to outside counsel 17 without specifying if it was Highland's outside 18 counsel or Sentinel's. 19 That was the purpose of my privilege	<ul> <li>13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.</li> <li>14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the</li> <li>15 chief of compliance to specifically look into</li> <li>16 whether a proposed transaction could be considered</li> <li>17 fraudulent transfer if you have questions about</li> <li>18 that?</li> <li>19 A Oh, I'm not prohibited from asking him.</li> </ul>
13 maybe the question was just ambiguous. 14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. 15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the 16 question, Mr. Clubok, referred to outside counsel 17 without specifying if it was Highland's outside 18 counsel or Sentinel's. 19 That was the purpose of my privilege 20 objection, if it was Sentinel's outside counsel.	13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.  14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the 15 chief of compliance to specifically look into 16 whether a proposed transaction could be considered 17 fraudulent transfer if you have questions about 18 that?  19 A Oh, I'm not prohibited from asking him. 20 It's just I'm not supposed to be involved in the
13 maybe the question was just ambiguous. 14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. 15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the 16 question, Mr. Clubok, referred to outside counsel 17 without specifying if it was Highland's outside 18 counsel or Sentinel's. 19 That was the purpose of my privilege 20 objection, if it was Sentinel's outside counsel. 21 MR. CLUBOK: I appreciate that. Well,	13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.  14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the 15 chief of compliance to specifically look into 16 whether a proposed transaction could be considered 17 fraudulent transfer if you have questions about 18 that?  19 A Oh, I'm not prohibited from asking him. 20 It's just I'm not supposed to be involved in the 21 process and providing influence or input unless he
13 maybe the question was just ambiguous. 14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. 15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the 16 question, Mr. Clubok, referred to outside counsel 17 without specifying if it was Highland's outside 18 counsel or Sentinel's. 19 That was the purpose of my privilege 20 objection, if it was Sentinel's outside counsel. 21 MR. CLUBOK: I appreciate that. Well, 22 Mr I believe Mr. Ellington answered no anyway.	13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.  14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the 15 chief of compliance to specifically look into 16 whether a proposed transaction could be considered 17 fraudulent transfer if you have questions about 18 that?  19 A Oh, I'm not prohibited from asking him. 20 It's just I'm not supposed to be involved in the 21 process and providing influence or input unless he 22 approaches me.
13 maybe the question was just ambiguous. 14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. 15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the 16 question, Mr. Clubok, referred to outside counsel 17 without specifying if it was Highland's outside 18 counsel or Sentinel's. 19 That was the purpose of my privilege 20 objection, if it was Sentinel's outside counsel. 21 MR. CLUBOK: I appreciate that. Well, 22 Mr I believe Mr. Ellington answered no anyway. 23 But just to clear up the record, I'm going to just	13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.  14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the 15 chief of compliance to specifically look into 16 whether a proposed transaction could be considered 17 fraudulent transfer if you have questions about 18 that? 19 A Oh, I'm not prohibited from asking him. 20 It's just I'm not supposed to be involved in the 21 process and providing influence or input unless he 22 approaches me. 23 I could certainly go up and ask his
13 maybe the question was just ambiguous. 14 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. 15 MS. SMITH: Well, I believe the 16 question, Mr. Clubok, referred to outside counsel 17 without specifying if it was Highland's outside 18 counsel or Sentinel's. 19 That was the purpose of my privilege 20 objection, if it was Sentinel's outside counsel. 21 MR. CLUBOK: I appreciate that. Well, 22 Mr I believe Mr. Ellington answered no anyway.	13 by design stick my nose into a compliance process.  14 Q And you are prohibited from asking the 15 chief of compliance to specifically look into 16 whether a proposed transaction could be considered 17 fraudulent transfer if you have questions about 18 that?  19 A Oh, I'm not prohibited from asking him. 20 It's just I'm not supposed to be involved in the 21 process and providing influence or input unless he 22 approaches me.

3

10

months.

20 meeting.

227

understanding of how these things are analyzed by compliance.

Q Yeah. But you had questions in your mind at the time as to whether or not the ATE transaction could be considered a fraudulent transfer; correct?

A I considered it might be, yes.

Q And you never specifically asked Anyone 9 like Mr. Surgent to specifically look into that 10 issue; correct?

A No, Mr. Surgent had plenty of his plate 12 to handle running through this process. He didn't 13 need me.

Q Did you ever -- did you ever discuss 15 with Mr. Dondero, in any way, shape or form, your 16 concerns that perhaps this transaction could be 17 considered a fraudulent transfer?

18 A Not that I recall. Again, that's Mr. 19 Surgent's role in this set of circumstances.

Q So you never raised any kind of yellow 21 flag or red flag or, hey, just FYI we should 22 wonder whether this transaction could be 23 considered fraudulent transfer with Mr. Dondero?

A No. Again, that's Mr. Surgent's role 25 in this set of circumstances.

23 Clubok, because you asked me how many meetings 24 of -- with the whole large group did Isaac 25 Leventon attend, and I said after the first one or

226

21

22

two, he never attended again and was not part of the process, to my knowledge. So, you asked me

about meetings that either you knew existed or you

extrapolated from me, and that's what I thought. Q So let's talk about what you say are

1 is one guy in an organization, like an RAA, that

The machinations of that are a

every little twist and turn, but to my

not doing this, the idea is dead.

13 know happened with this transaction.

makes that determination, and his word is final.

If Mr. Surgent at any point says we are

compliance function. And I don't necessarily know

understanding, this is went on for weeks if not

Q Okay. So let's talk very specifically

11 not what you understand is supposed to happen or

First, you said there were numerous

19 five-minute discussion you had and maybe one other

12 could happen with the transaction, but what you

16 something like as we've discussed before. But I

15 meetings with a large group. And you said

17 think the only -- I don't know if you've talked

But when you say that you --

A Let me -- let me stop there, Mr.

18 about any meeting before other than the

there were numerous meetings with a large group. How many?

A I would say that I was personally 9 involved in? Six. And then I dropped out of the 10 process when it started going down the compliance 11 route, and the machinations of how this would take 12 place if it was approved by compliance.

Q So let's start with, first, there were 14 six large group meetings that you were involved in 15 about the purchase of the ATE policy, or roughly 16 six; correct?

A I'd say a minimum of four that I 18 personally attended at the genesis of the idea 19 until it started this compliance analysis.

20 Q There was a minimum of four large group 21 meetings that you were personally involved with 22 about the purchase of the ATE policy?

A Over a period of weeks, I would say 24 four is my recollection. It could have been as 25 many as six, but more like four.

Q Describe -- you've testified there was a fulsome process that Mr. Surgent specifically went through with respect to the ATE policy.

A That's my understanding and my recollection, yes.

Q And I'd like the basis for that understanding or recollection by you describing in as much detail as you can remember what you claim 9 was the, quote, Fulsome process with respect to 10 the ATE policy transaction.

A The -- there was numerous meetings, as 12 we've discussed before, with a large group 13 consisting of people from finance, tax, fund 14 accounting, compliance, legal, trading and 15 settlement.

There were concerns raised by different 17 factions within those various groups.

Then compliance, Mr. Surgent and his 19 staff go through a process that, as far as I 20 understand is reviewed by a conflicts committee;

21 is reviewed generally for the kind of issues

22 you've discussed, is this a fraudulent transfer, 23 is this in some way within violation of the

24 codified law, is this in some way provide a risk.

25 And when it comes down to the determination, there

PLANET DEPOS

228

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

58 (229 to 232)

	15417 25, 2021
1 Q And who specifically were in these four	1 here at least a majority, if not all the ones
	2 that I attended.
1	
A I may not remember every	Q Was Carter Chism in one meeting or a
4 Q Excuse me. Name every human being that	4 majority of the meetings?
5 you can remember who were in these national	5 A I don't recall. I just remember either
6 compliance meetings.	6 him or other members of his team having a role
7 A I honestly cannot remember everyone 8 because we're talking about five years ago and	because they were would be, you know, mechanically
	8 moving assets if they were inside of my compliance
9 there were a lot of people on it, in my opinion.	9 where they were (inaudible).
10 Mr. Waterhouse, Mr. Stoops, representatives from	10 Q And you remember him or his team being
11 training I mean, from settlement, which would 12 have been Carter Chism, potentially other people	<ul><li>11 in four to six meetings?</li><li>12 A I'm telling you I was in four to six.</li></ul>
	12 A I'm telling you I was in four to six. 13 You are asking me that sorry,
13 from his group. I remember Hunter cavities being 14 in some, Mark Patrick, Mr. Surgent, Mr. Post,	14 Mr. Clubok, I just can't recall all the members of
15 maybe 16 Q Wait, wait, wait. Hold on. Slow down.	15 the meetings five years ago.  16 Q Well, that's why I don't want you to
	17 make up things. I asked you
	18 A So you asked who attended any or all
18 Q Mr. Patrick?  19 A Mr. Patrick.	19 the meetings. And you said every human being that
20 Q Yep. Mr. Surgent?	20 I could possibly recall which I tried to do that
21 A Mr. Surgent. Mr. Post.	21 for you. I'm just telling you, I can't remember
22 Q Yep.	22 if we had a 15-minute meeting and an update if
23 A And I'm talking about the initial	23 everyone showed up. I don't I just don't know.
24 meetings I attended. After that, I don't know who	24 Q How many total of these four to six
25 attended.	25 meetings you talked about Mr. Surgent being at
230 1 Q I understand. You are talking about	1 a five-minute meeting when you first came up with
2 the	2 the idea and you threw the idea out there. After
3 A Mr. Surgent, Mr. Post, potentially	that, how many meetings was Mr. Surgent in out of
4 Ms. Thedford, Mr. Sevilla, myself. That's all I	4 these four to six that you that you
5 can recall with a decent degree of specificity.	5 participated in?
6 Q And Mr. Waterhouse was in all four or	6 A I don't remember Mr. Surgent being in
7 six of those meetings with you?	7 any that I not being in every one that I in
8 A I don't know if he was at all of them.	8 other words, if I was in there, he was in there,
9 I remember him being in those initial meetings,	9 is my memory.
10 but there were members of his team that were	10 Q So you remember Mr. Surgent also being
11 that were there.	11 in four to six large group meetings during the
12 Q Was Mr. Waterhouse in all those	12 initial phases of this project after that
13 meetings?	13 five-minute initial discussion; right?
14 A I don't I can't tell you if he was	14 A The five-minute initial discussion was
15 in all of them.	15 an idea. It was literally throwing out an idea
16 Q Was he in more than one?	16 and there was very little banter about it at all.
17 A Again, you are asking me to recall	17 Q And then you remember Mr. Surgent being
18 something from five years ago. I would assume he	18 at four to six of the large group meetings that
19 would have been in more than one.	19 then discussed this idea?
20 Q But you don't remember him being in	20 A If there was four to six, it included
21 A I do not remember.	21 the entire group. There may have been meetings
22 Q Do you remember Mr. Stoops being in	22 that were a subset. I was personally in my skill
23 more than one such meeting?	23 set.
24 A I think I remember Mr. Stoops being	24 Q Right. But in the four to six that you
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25 in again, you are really testing my memory	25 were in, you remember Mr. Surgent being in every

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

59 (233 to 236)

Conducted or	n July 29, 2021
233	235
1 one?	1 When this transaction was being by
2 A That's to the best of my recollection.	2 the way, let me take a step back, at what point
3 It could have been a subset meeting that I was in	3 was it at some point during these four to six
4 and he wasn't, but since it was a compliance	4 meetings that it was decided that the seller of
5 issue, I would (inaudible) he was there.	5 the policy would be Sentinel?
6 Q And then you said and then how many	6 A No, this was the the meetings I was
7 other additional meetings were there after that	7 involved in were based around could this be done,
8 you know about? Not that you speculate or	8 period, as an idea.
9 guessing, but that you know occurred after that?	9 Q Oh, okay, so during all the four to six
10 A I don't know. Unless I was there, I	10 meetings you were on, it was never mentioned that
11 wouldn't know they occurred.	11 Sentinel would be the counter-party to sell the
12 Q So you don't know if there were any	12 ATE policy; is that correct?
13 other large group meetings after the four to six	13 A Oh, I don't know if it was never
14 that you attended; correct?	14 mentioned.
	15 MS. SMITH: Object.
15 A No, I don't, because I didn't attend 16 them. Therefore I don't know for a fact that	*
	16 THE WITNESS: It's just that wasn't the
17 (inaudible).	17 crux of the meetings. The meetings were can this
18 Q Okay, so then you said, the next step	18 be done as a concept, and people airing their
19 was concerns raised by and I'm having a hard	19 various concerns, issues, positives, negatives.
20 time reading my handwriting, so maybe you can help	20 It was general discussion of can this
21 me.	21 idea come to fruition, period.
Do you know what the next step was in	22 BY MR. CLUBOK:
23 these so-called fulsome project after these	23 Q And in this time, in those big group
24 initial four to six meetings?	24 meetings, was it was the concept being
25 A I believe the next step and I'm not	25 discussed that Sentinel would sell the policy or
234	236
1 certain of this would have been running it	1 were you still waiting to hear from Beecher
2 through conflicts committee.	2 Carlson as to whether or not they could get some
3 Q And what is a conflict committee?	3 other insurer?
4 A A committee of people that review	4 A At the time I was involved, I don't
5 potential conflicts and make a decision based on	5 even think anyone had discussed anything with
6 vote if they believe there's a conflict or not.	6 Beecher Carlson.
7 Q And here, because you and Mr. Dondero	7 Q So, if that's the case, because what I
8 owned Sentinel, and you were taking assets that	8 think you testified earlier that Beecher
9 were owned by other funds that Highland was	9 Carlson first Beecher Carlson tried to find a
10 responsible for, there is an obvious potential	10 true independent third-party insurer. Only after
11 conflict; correct?	11 they couldn't, that's when you moved on to
12 A It's not my	12 Sentinel. Isn't that what your testimony was?
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	13 A That's
14 THE WITNESS: It's not my job to	MS. SMITH: Objection, form.
15 analyze the conflicts. That's compliance. I	THE WITNESS: That's my recollection.
16 don't know what conflicts they saw and I don't	16 But it doesn't mean that this process wasn't
17 know what the conflicts committee fell on the	17 occurring before that.
18 conflicts committee.	You were asking me more specifically,
19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	19 did somebody go out and try to get another
20 Q Well, as general counsel, did you	20 reinsurer or insurer to (inaudible) policy.
21 believe that you people all the time make a	21 BY MR. CLUBOK:
22 decision where they recuse themselves from full	22 Q No. So during the
23 conversations because they see there's a conflict.	23 A You didn't ask me about this process
24 Lawyers make that determination all the time for 25 themselves and for clients.	24 at all. 25 Q I understand. But you are saying

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

60 (237 to 240)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021	
237		239
1 during these the four to six meetings that you	1 Carlson, I don't know the answer to that.	
2 were on, the big group meetings when this was	2 As far as I know from what	
3 first being discussed, at that point no one had	3 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
4 even talked to Beecher Carlson about trying to	4 Q No, no, no, that's not what I asked	
5 identify a seller of the ATE policy; correct?	5 you. That's not what I asked you at all.	
6 A I don't know because I'm not everybody	6 MR. CLUBOK: I will move to strike.	
7 else. I never talked to Beecher Carlson about it.	7 THE WITNESS: Okay.	
8 Q Right.	8 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
9 A Do I know if every Highland employee	9 Q That's definitely not what I asked you	
10 asked Beecher Carlson a question at what point? I	10 about everybody in the firm did. So listen to my	
11 don't see how I could possibly opine on that.	11 question	
12 Q As far as you're aware so you never	1	
13 heard during the four to six meetings at	13 Carlson.	
14 some point you were told that Beecher Carlson	14 (overspeaking)	
15 was had tried but it failed to find a true	15 Q I didn't. I did not. Okay.	
16 independent third-party insurer to sell this ATE	16 Just listen to my question. And I'm going to	
17 policy; correct?	17 repeat it again. I specifically, I want a	
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	18 straight answer on this.	
19 THE WITNESS: At some point, I was	During the four to six large group	
20 informed of that generally, yes.	20 meetings that you claim Mr. Surgent was with you,	
21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	21 in any of those meetings, was it discussed that	
22 Q And were you informed of that before,	22 Sentinel would be the one issuing the policy or	
23 during or after these four to six large group	23 did all of these meetings take place before you	
24 meetings that you've described?	24 were informed that Beecher Carlson could not find	
25 A I don't recall. But I would my	25 an outside third party to sell the insurance?	
238		240
1 recollection is it was after this because I was	1 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
2 already out of the process is my memory. But you	2 THE WITNESS: Those are two questions.	
3 are asking me to remember week by week, day by day	3 Do you want me to answer both of them	
4 five years ago.	4 or?	
5 Q No, I'm asking very specifically	5 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
6 because the real issue here is whether there was a	6 Q You said okay. I'll break it into	
7 problem with Sentinel selling its policy. And	7 two questions.	
8 what I want a straight answer from you is there	8 Number one: During the four to six	
9 were these four to six large group meetings you	9 large group meetings that you claim Mr. Surgent	
10 say where you claim that Mr. Surgent was with you	10 was with you, do you specifically remember it ever	
11 in all them. And my simple question is: During	11 being discussed that Sentinel would be the one	
12 any of those meetings, was it discussed that	12 issuing the policy?	
13 Sentinel would be the one issuing the policy, or	13 A No, I do not recall anyone raising	
14 did these all take place before Beecher Carlson	14 that.	
15 informed you in words or substance that you	15 Q Okay. And is it the case that it was	
16 couldn't get an outside third-party insurance	16 only after these four to six meetings that you	
17 seller?	17 learned that Beecher Carlson had been unable to	
18 A I don't know	18 identify a third-party insurer to sell the policy?	
	19 A That is my recollection, yes.	
IIU MS SMITH: Ubjection to form	17 A That is my icconfection, yes.	
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't know because I	20 Co Were you ever in any meeting with	
20 THE WITNESS: I don't know, because I	20 Q So, were you ever in any meeting with	
20 THE WITNESS: I don't know, because I 21 can't remember if when raised, can Sentinel do	21 Thomas Surgent in which it was specifically asked	
20 THE WITNESS: I don't know, because I 21 can't remember if when raised, can Sentinel do 22 this. But certainly, the meetings that I was	21 Thomas Surgent in which it was specifically asked 22 whether there would be an issue if it was Sentinel	
20 THE WITNESS: I don't know, because I 21 can't remember if when raised, can Sentinel do 22 this. But certainly, the meetings that I was 23 involved with had concluded as far as I know, what	21 Thomas Surgent in which it was specifically asked 22 whether there would be an issue if it was Sentinel 23 issuing the policy as opposed to just any	
20 THE WITNESS: I don't know, because I 21 can't remember if when raised, can Sentinel do 22 this. But certainly, the meetings that I was	21 Thomas Surgent in which it was specifically asked 22 whether there would be an issue if it was Sentinel	

	10th Oil July 29, 2021
1 mostings by design	241
1 meetings by design.	1 process.
2 Q And are you aware can you testify	2 Q So you have no idea if Mr. Surgent even
3 here under oath, that a meeting took place in	3 got one document related to this transaction;
4 which Mr. Surgent was specifically asked whether	4 correct?
5 or not there was a problem with Sentinel being the	5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
6 one to issue the ATE policy?	6 THE WITNESS: Me personally?
7 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.	7 BY MR. CLUBOK:
8 THE WITNESS: Whether a meeting took	8 Q You personally.
9 place whether a meeting took place or not, Mr.	9 A Me personally, no.
10 Surgent made the decision knowing that Sentinel	10 Q So just again, just as the double
11 was the was the issuer of the policy. So	11 negative thing, so let's just be clear.
12 whether it was a meeting, that he decided by	As you sit here today, you have no
13 himself in the shower, he got a message from God,	13 personal knowledge of Mr. Surgent receiving even
14 I don't know what, but he decided it.	14 one document relating to the ATE transaction;
He's the only person that could decide.	15 correct?
16 BY MR. CLUBOK:	16 A No, I do not have any personal
17 Q Again, I'd like you to answer my	17 knowledge of what Mr. Surgent received, document
18 questions and not statements that you want to	18 or otherwise, in his analysis.
19 make.	19 Q And I appreciate it.
20 I'm doing this in pieces, okay?	The only problem is you started that
21 A Okay.	21 with a "No". And I think the way I answered the
22 Q And you objected to compound questions	22 question, I think you meant yes with that no.
23 and made me break it into pieces, so I'm going to	23 A I'll try my best.
24 ask you to answer my pieces going forward and not	24 Q It's okay. If you mean no I'm not
25 give me other answers to other things that I	25 trying to get you to change my answer. I just
	242 244
1 haven't asked yet. I will either ask you compound	1 want to make sure that I think that was one of
2 questions and you can answer all at once or since	2 those where you
3 you objected, I'll ask in pieces. I'm going to	3 A I'll restate my answer. I'll restate
4 A No, I have no objection to them. I	4 my answer to make the record clean.
5 just want to make sure I answer the question.	5 Q Yeah, let me just ask that question
6 Q Answer my piece, please.	6 A I do not know of anything Mr. Surgent
7 A Okay.	7 received, document or otherwise, to perform his
8 Q Are you aware strike that.	8 analysis. I don't know.
9 Can you testify here under oath that	9 Q And the conflicts committee that you
10 any meeting took place that you are aware of, even	10 say that you assume weighed in, who was on the
11 if you weren't there, in which Mr. Surgent was	11 conflicts committee at the time or was it an ad
12 specifically asked whether or not there was any	12 hoc committee for each transaction?
13 potential problems given that Sentinel was the one	13 A No, it was the set group of people that
14 who was going to be issuing the ATE policy?	14 served on it from time to time.
15 A I don't know if any	15 I don't know who they were at that
16 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	16 time.
17 THE WITNESS: I don't know if any	17 Q And so like at anyone time, there would
18 meeting like that took place.	18 be a conflicts committee, and so anything that
19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	19 came up that month, it would go to that group. Is
20 Q Are you aware of any written	20 that how it worked or do they just convene for
21 documentation about the ATE policy transaction	21 every individual transaction, a different
22 that was supplied to Mr. Surgent in connection	22 committee?
23 with what you call the fulsome process?	23 A They convened on for as far as
24 A I do not have an awareness of what was	24 I know, on every individual transaction or if
25 provided to Mr. Surgent as I am not part of the	25 there was a set of things that weren't urgent,

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

62 (245 to 248)

they would convene and decide if there was a  conflict and then compliance dealt with investigating those conflicts and ultimately the chief compliance officer made a determination. 5 Q And who was on the conflicts committee 6 at the time? 7 A I don't know. 8 Q How many members were there in the 9 conflicts committee? 10 A I don't know what approval process he 11 Q All right. Can you identify a single 12 member of the conflicts committee who was apprized 13 of the transaction with Sentinel? 14 A No. But it would be — it would be in 15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction, correct? 20 A So it's correct, it's that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct.  1 Q Is — so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge is you 3 an testify to wift respect to the ATF transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you known that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct?  1 Q P And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct?  9 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct?  9 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct?  1 Q P And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction, correct?  1 A Mpersonal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received.  14 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 16 transaction, correct?  17 A Mep personal knowledge, I have no idea 17 of what he received.  18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. S	Conducted of	• /
2 conflict and then compliance dealt with 3 investigating those conflicts and ultimately the 4 chief compliance officer made a determination. 5 Q And who was on the conflicts committee 6 at the time? 7 A I don't know. 8 Q How many members were there in the 9 conflicts committee? 10 A I don't know. 11 Q All right. Can you identify a single 12 member of the conflicts committee who was apprized 13 of the transaction with Sentinel? 14 A No. But it would be — it would be in 15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction right? 25 A Correct. 26 Q And what were the — was this a later 27 meetings. And I — maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 29 meetings, did you ever discuss the transaction? 21 Q Is — so, let me see if I have this 22 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 by ou were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't next the fact that Sentinel would a 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 (A Correct. 19 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction in you had in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction in you had in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approve it. The chief compliance officer 18 A Yeah. 19 A Yeah, he approved did he say	245	247
3		
transaction, the - you mean a written approval?  A I don't know.  A I don't know.  O And who was on the conflicts committee  a the time?  A I don't know.  O And who many members were there in the  conflicts committee?  O And it have many members were there in the  conflicts committee?  O And it have many members were there in the  conflicts committee?  O And you don't know.  I Q All right. Can you identify a single  I member of the conflicts committee who was apprized in 3 of the transaction with Sentine?  A No, But it would be - it would be in  I the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set in 6 committee that they set over a period of time.  If Q But you have no personal knowledge of its the conflicts committee  If Y and what were the was this a later  I Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no  I will Mr. Surgent again?  O So it's correct, sir, that you have no  I will Mr. Surgent again?  O So it's correct, sir, that you have no  I will Mr. Surgent again?  O So it's correct, sir, that you have no  I will Mr. Surgent again?  O So it's correct, sir, that you have no  I will Mr. Surgent again?  O So it's correct, sir, that you have no  I will Mr. Surgent again?  O So it's correct, sir, that you have no  I will Mr. Surgent again?  O So it's correct, sir, that you have no  I will Mr. Surgent again?  O So it's correct any documentation about the items of the sentinel would assume the proves the transaction.  I will Mr. Surgent again?  O So it's correct on the ATE transaction and the process he has. Again, be's the self. I mot involved in it compliance of the design, it got us to the SEC. I'm not involved in it compliance of the design, it got us to the SEC. I'm not involved in it compliance of the design, it got us to the SEC. I'm not involved in it compliance of the design, it got us to the SEC. I'm not involved in it compliance of the design, it got us to the SEC. I'm not involved in it compliance of the design, it got us to the SEC. I'm not involved in it compliance of the design, it got us to the SEC. I'm not i	-	
5 A I don't know. 8 Q How many members were there in the conflicts committee of the conflicts committee? 10 A I don't know. 11 Q All right. Can you identify a single 12 member of the conflicts committee who was apprized 13 of the transaction with Sentine!? 14 A No. But it would be in 15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No. I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So Si's correct, is, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct. 26 D So So, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 5 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 27 Q And you don't know if any member of the 11 transaction; correct? 8 A Correct. 28 A Correct. 29 Q And you don't know if any member of the 11 transaction; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you don't know if any member of the 11 transaction; correct? 10 A Yes, that's my recollection. 11 Communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described that the transaction was 8 going forward, did he say compliance runs 14 its own process. 14 A Yesh. 15 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
a the time?  7	4 chief compliance officer made a determination.	4 transaction, the you mean a written approval?
7 A Idon't know. 8 Q How many members were there in the comflicts committee? 9 comflicts committee? 10 A Idon't know. 11 Q All right. Can you identify a single 12 member of the conflicts committee who was apprized 13 of the transaction with Sentinel? 14 A No. But it would be — it would be in 15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 10 A No. I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 21 Q So it's correct, is, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 22 A No from the ATE transaction; right? 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; or an antestify to with respect to the ATE transaction and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 6 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 24 A Correct. 25 A Correct. 26 Correct. 27 Correct? 28 A Correct. 28 A Correct. 29 O Sin sorry, he told you if — so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 6 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 29 A Nonlyou have no idea if Mr. Surgent, of the surgent and you don't know if any member of the 11 transaction; correct? 30 A Vex. has apprized of the Sentinel would 6 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 31 A Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 32 A A Correct. 31 Correct? 32 A A Correct. 32 Correct? 33 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 34 A Yesh. 35 Q And when Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 36 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 110 ever received any documentation about the 111 transaction; correct? 34 Q And y	5 Q And who was on the conflicts committee	5 A I don't know what approval process he
8 Q How many members were there in the 9 conflicts committee? 10 A I don't know. 11 Q All right. Can you identify a single 12 member of the conflicts committee who was apprized 13 of the transaction with Sentinel? 14 A No. But it would be — it would be in 15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No. I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct. 26 Q Is — so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 5 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 3 A Correct. 4 A Yes, 1 can approve the transaction. 5 Yes a Correct. 5 Q Is — so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 5 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 5 A Correct. 6 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 over received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 7 A Mepersonal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 8 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 10 over received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 10 Q And did — is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance 17 meeting, it gout to to six meetings. 14 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sen	6 at the time?	6 has.
9 know what process he has. Again, because of the 10 do sign, it go us to the SEC. I'm not involved in 11 compliance. 12 member of the conflicts committee who was apprized 13 of the transaction with Sentine!? 14 A No. But it would be it would be in 15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction, correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee weighing in on the ATE 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 23 personal knowledge of the conflict would and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, and they set that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up, correct? 8 A Correct. 246 1 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up, correct? 8 A Correct. 246 1 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 2 to write the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up, correct?  8 A Correct. 25 A Correct. 26 P So are so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction, correct?  8 A Correct? 1 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 10 quarter and 10 quarter an	7 A I don't know.	7 I would assume it's a written approval.
10 design, it got us to the SEC. I'm not involved in 11 compliance.   12 Q Mell, wait a second. When you say you   13 know that he approved the transaction, did he tell   14 you personally he was approving the transaction?   15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set   16 committee that they set over a period of time.   17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of   18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE   19 transaction; correct?   20 A No, Idon't. But it's standard   21 practice for them just to be involved.   22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no   23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee   24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right?   22 A Not that I recall until he said the ATE   23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the   24 hallway.   25 And again, he's the only person that   248   1 can approve that type of transaction.   248   1 can approve that type of transaction was   2 q Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had   3 those initial four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent   4 A Yeah.   5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr.   5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr.   5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr.   5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr.   5 Q And you don't know than he approved the transaction was   8 going forward?   9 A Yes, that's my recollection.   10 Q And did is that the only other   11 compliance.   12 Q And when Mr. Surgent, other than   12 what you've described thus far?   13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs   14 its own process.   15 Q And when Mr. Surgent, other than   12 what you've described thus far?   13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs   14 its own process.   15 Q And when Mr. Surgent, other than   12 what you've described thus far?   13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs   14 its own process.   15 Q And when Mr. Surgent, other than   15 Q And when Mr. Surgent   15 A Yes, because by design compliance   15 Q And when Mr. Surgent   15 A Yes, because by design compliance   15 Q And when Mr. Surgent   15 A Y	8 Q How many members were there in the	8 Maybe reflected in a compliance memo. I don't
11 compliance. 12 member of the conflicts committee who was apprized 13 of the transaction with Sentinel? 14 A No. But it would be — it would be in 15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct. 26 1 Q Is — so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 29 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 fowhat he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he — 23 don't know that he — 24 don't know that he — 25 in the hallway. 24 but you've described thus far? 25 and adaily? No. 26 in the provestion. 27 and when Mr. Surgent in the provestion of the transaction? 28 going forward? 29 And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent in the provestion of the transaction? 29 And when Mr. Surgent in the provestion of the transaction? 20 Provestion. 21 Correct? 22 A I don't know that he — 23 port was did you was approved the transaction? 24 the long was approved it. Compliance 25 A Correct. 26 A Correct. 27 A my personal knowledge. 28 A Correct. 2	9 conflicts committee?	9 know what process he has. Again, because of the
11 compliance. 12 member of the conflicts committee who was apprized 13 of the transaction with Sentinel? 14 A No. But it would be it would be in 15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct. 26 1 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 26 1 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 norwhath a received. 24 weighing in on the ATE 25 A Correct. 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 21 21 22 21 23 23 24 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	10 A I don't know.	10 design, it got us to the SEC. I'm not involved in
12 member of the conflicts committee who was apprized 13 of the transaction with Sentine!? 14 A No. But it would be — it would be in 15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct. 26 Q Is — so, let me see if I have this 27 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 38 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 49 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would be be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct?  8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	11 Q All right. Can you identify a single	11 compliance.
13 know that he approved the transaction, did he tell   14 A No. But it would be — it would be in   15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set   16 committee that they set over a period of time.   17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of   18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE   19 transaction; correct?   20 A No, I don't. But it's standard   21 practice for them just to be involved.   22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no   23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee   24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right?   25 A Correct.   26   27 Q Is — so, let me see if I have this   28 right. What you have personal knowledge as you   29 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction   29 and they now have personal knowledge as you   20 acan testify to with respect to the ATE transaction   21 don't recall the fact that Sentinel would   22 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct?   29 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent   20 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea   21 for ever received any documentation about the   21 transaction; correct?   22 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea   23 of what he approved the transaction of the Sentinel   24 hallway.   25		12 Q Well, wait a second. When you say you
14 you personally he was approving the transaction?  15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time.  17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct?  20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct.  246 1 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 2 an testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 4 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct?  8 A Correct.  9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct?  12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received.  14 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 15 A Yes. 16 Q And what were the was this a later 17 meeting. Because you mentioned the four to six 18 meetings. And I maybe I should have was keld this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings, did you ever discuss the transaction 21 with Mr. Surgent again? 22 A Not that I recall until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that 24 hallway. 26 And again, he's the only person that 27 you never had another meeting with Mr. Surgent in the said the ATE to only recover the face that Sentinel would 20 going forward? 21 or meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 22 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that 26 Use of the cent was entire the weighting in the hallway. 26 Use of the only transaction. 27 Q Tm sorry, he told you it so you had to see in land the process that the four to six 28 g		
15 the debtor's records. I mean, it's a set 16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct. 26 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 27 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 4 you were in four to six you were in passing in the 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that 26   C an approve that type of transaction. 27   C an approve that type of transaction. 28   C an approve that type of transaction. 29   C an approve that type of transaction. 29   C an approve that type of transaction. 29   C and you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 30   C and you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would be intransaction; correct? 40   A Mye personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   C and you don't know if any member of the it transaction; correct? 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   C and you don't know if any member of the it transaction; correct? 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 41   A My personal knowledge		
16 committee that they set over a period of time. 17 Q But you have no personal knowledge of 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct. 26 A Correct. 27 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you and testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 10 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personal knowledge, I have no idea 16 transaction; correct? 18 meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings, did you ever discuss the transaction 21 with Mr. Surgent again? 22 A Not that I recall until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 24 hallway. 25 A Correct. 26 La A Not that I recall until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 24 A Yeah. 25 And again, he's the only person that 26 La A Not that I recall until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 26 La A Not that I recall until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 27 La A My you have re had another meeting with Mr. Surgent passing in the 14 hallway. 28 La Yeah. 29 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 was very specifically told that Sentinel would be 11 transaction; correct? 18 Q And yo		
17 meeting. Because you mentioned the four to six 18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct.  1 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct.  9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee weighing in on the ATE 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings, And I maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 21 meeting. And I maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings. And I maybe I should have asked this 12 with Mr. Surgent to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that 24 hallway. 26 I m		
18 the conflicts committee weighing in on the ATE 19 transaction; correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct.  246  Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 question. After those initial four to six 20 meetings, did you ever discuss the transaction 21 with Mr. Surgent again? 22 A Not that I recall until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that  1 can approve that type of transaction. 2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings with Mr. 5 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, tat's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	·	
19 transaction; correct? 20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct.  24 l Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would be is suing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A Not that I recall until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that 24 can approve that type of transaction. 2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six 20 meetings, did you ever discuss the transaction 21 with Mr. Surgent to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 26 And again, he's the only person that 2 (an approve that type of transaction. 2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six 4 A Yeah. 5 you were in four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never hal another meeting with Mr. 5 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 1		
20 A No, I don't. But it's standard 21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct.  246  1 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct.  9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  20 meetings, did you ever discuss the transaction 21 with Mr. Surgent again? 22 A Not that I recall until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that  246  1 can approve that type of transaction. 2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in passing in the hallway that the transaction was going forward?  9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Complia		
21 practice for them just to be involved. 22 Q So it's correct, sir, that you have no 23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right?  25 A Correct.  26 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A Not that I recal until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that  248  1 can approve that type of transaction. 2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent again? 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that  4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent again? 24 A Yeah. 6 Surgent again? 24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that  4 A Yeah. 6 Surgent again? 26 hallway. 27 And again, he's the only person that 28 hallway. 29 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings with Mr. 6 Surgent again? 20 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent again? 20 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent again? 21 Q And with Mr. Surgent again? 21 Q And	1	<del>-</del>
22 A Not that I recall until he said the ATE 23 policy is going forward to me in passing in the 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct.  246  1 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 9 Q And you don't know ledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee 24 hallway.  246  1 can approve that type of transaction. 2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward 10 Q And did is that type of transaction. 11 transaction; correct? 12 A Yeah. 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 transaction; correct? 11 a A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 12 approves it. 13 A Yeah, he approved it. The chief compliance officer 14 Q And on't know that he 15 collies committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I Idon't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.		
23 personal knowledge of the conflict committee 24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct.  246  1 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  246  24 hallway.  25 And again, he's the only person that  248  1 can approve that type of transaction.  2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, 16 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction, 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway.	·	
24 weighing in on the ATE transaction; right? 25 A Correct.  24 hallway. 25 And again, he's the only person that  248  1 Q Is so, let me see if I have this 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 C orrect? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  24 Can approve that type of transaction. 25 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Van he approve that type of transaction. 26 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Van he apolicy. But he told you in passing told those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Van he approve it the only you had with Mr. 5 urgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in passing told those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Van he approve it thought in passing told those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Van he approve it. Compliance 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes		
25 A Correct.  26	-	
248  1		
1 Can approve that type of transaction. 2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  1 can approve that type of transaction. 2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		, VI
2 right. What you have personal knowledge as you 3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  2 Q I'm sorry, he told you it so you had 3 those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
3 can testify to with respect to the ATE transaction 4 and the process that was followed is you know that 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  3 those initial four to six meetings. 4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
4 A Yeah. 5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  4 A Yeah. 5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
5 you were in four to six meetings with Mr. Surgent, 6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  5 Q You never had another meeting with Mr. 6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
6 but you don't recall the fact that Sentinel would 7 be issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 21 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  6 Surgent about the ATE policy. But he told you in 7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 A Correct. 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy ever coming up; correct? 21 Correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  7 passing in the hallway that the transaction was 8 going forward? 9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
8 going forward? 9 Q And you have no idea if Mr. Surgent 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  8 going forward?  9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?	· ·	
9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  9 A Yes, that's my recollection. 10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
10 ever received any documentation about the 11 transaction; correct? 12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  10 Q And did is that the only other 11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far? 13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
11 transaction; correct?  12 A My personal knowledge, I have no idea 13 of what he received. 14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 21 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  11 communication you had with Mr. Surgent, other than 12 what you've described thus far?  13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
12 what you've described thus far?  13 of what he received.  14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct?  17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 21 Correct? 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  12 what you've described thus far?  13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs  14 its own process.  15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction?  18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		- T
13 of what he received.  14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct?  17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  13 A Yes, because by design compliance runs 14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		_
14 Q And you don't know if any member of the 15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  14 its own process. 15 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction? 18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
15 conflict committee was apprized of the Sentinel 16 transaction; correct? 17 A Me personally? No. 18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 25 Q And when Mr. Surgent in passing told 26 to you it was going forward, did he say compliance 27 approved the transaction? 28 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 29 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
16 transaction; correct?  17 A Me personally? No.  18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct?  16 you it was going forward, did he say compliance 17 approved the transaction?  18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
17 approved the transaction?  18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct?  21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  17 approved the transaction?  18 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 19 doesn't approve it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
18 Q And you don't know whether Mr. Surgent 19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct? 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 21 A Yeah, he approved it. Compliance 29 doesn't approve it. 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
19 was ever specifically told that Sentinel would be 20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct?  21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  19 doesn't approve it. The chief compliance officer 20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
20 the one issuing the policy prior to its issuance; 21 correct?  22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  20 approves it. 21 Q And he specifically told you and how 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
21 correct?  21 Q And he specifically told you and how  22 A I don't know that he  23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  21 Q And he specifically told you and how  22 long was this conversation that you had in passing  23 in the hallway?	_ ·	
22 A I don't know that he 23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 long was this conversation that you had in passing 23 in the hallway?		
23 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 23 in the hallway?		
24 THE WITNESS: I don't know he <b>24 A Ten seconds.</b>	=	· ·
	24 THE WITNESS: I don't know he	
25 specifically told me he approved the transaction 25 Q And what specific what were the	25 specifically told me be approved the transaction	25 Q And what specific what were the

	1 July 29, 2021
249	251
1 exact words that Mr. Surgent used, to the best of	1 he took over the chief compliance role officer
2 your recollection?	2 role and had to declare over and over what was
3 A To the best of my recollection from	3 affiliates and what wasn't, the ownership of
4 five years ago, he said the ATE thing is going	4 Sentinel when he declared it a non-affiliate.
5 forward.	5 So, he was infinitely aware for years
6 Q That's it?	6 before this transaction, the ownership with
7 A That's it.	7 Sentinel. And I'm sure, again, because Mr.
8 Q And other than that, you have no	8 Surgent did his job very well, I'm sure he
9 personal knowledge of how any approval that Mr.	9 confirmed the ownership with Sentinel as part of
10 Surgent may have given would have been	10 his process.
11 memorialized anywhere at Highland?	11 Q No, no, no, my question is slightly
12 A No. But Mr. Surgent is a very careful,	12 different.
13 diligent guy, performed his duties at the highest	Do you have any specific personal
14 level. I'm sure he did everything that was	, , , , ,
15 required by law and by his approval.	14 knowledge of information that was specifically
7 7 7	15 brought to Mr. Surgent's intent attention that
16 Q And so it is true that you have no	16 identified the ownership interest in Sentinel?
17 personal knowledge of how any supposed approval	17 A Me personally, no, because I was by
18 that Mr. Surgent may have given with respect to	18 design, not part of the process.
19 the ATE transaction would have been memorialized	19 Q How did Mr. Surgent supposedly formally
20 anywhere at Highland; correct?	20 declare Sentinel to be a non-affiliate?
21 MS. SMITH: Objection, asked and	21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
22 answered multiple times.	THE WITNESS: You'd have to ask Mr.
23 THE WITNESS: You're correct.	23 Surgent.
24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	24 BY MR. CLUBOK:
25 Q Did anybody else in the firm ever tell	25 Q When did he do this?
250	252
250	LSL
1 you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he
<ul> <li>you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?</li> <li>A Umm I don't recall if anybody else</li> </ul>	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he
<ul> <li>you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?</li> <li>A Umm I don't recall if anybody else</li> <li>ever told me. But it took numerous people to</li> </ul>	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking
<ul> <li>you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?</li> <li>A Umm I don't recall if anybody else</li> <li>ever told me. But it took numerous people to</li> <li>carry out the traction, to my understanding, so</li> </ul>	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  obviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not.
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  obviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else ever told me. But it took numerous people to carry out the traction, to my understanding, so obviously they wouldn't do it without the chief compliance officer's approval, written or otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  obviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct?
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  obviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon?
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  Underwise of transaction implicate the	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah?
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  therwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  U Did you sorry. You don't know  whether or not I believe you said this, and I	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  obviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  Q Did you sorry. You don't know  whether or not I believe you said this, and I  apologize. I think this might be the last	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  obviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  U Did you sorry. You don't know  whether or not I believe you said this, and I  apologize. I think this might be the last  question on this subject, but I just want to make	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance.
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  Q Did you sorry. You don't know  whether or not I believe you said this, and I  apologize. I think this might be the last  question on this subject, but I just want to make  sure I ask it.	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  Q Did you sorry. You don't know  whether or not I believe you said this, and I  apologize. I think this might be the last  question on this subject, but I just want to make  sure I ask it.  So I apologize if I asked it before,	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  boviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  Q Did you sorry. You don't know  whether or not I believe you said this, and I  apologize. I think this might be the last  question on this subject, but I just want to make  sure I ask it.  So I apologize if I asked it before,  but if you could just answer, bear with me, I	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate 17 of any other entity?
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  Q Did you sorry. You don't know  whether or not I believe you said this, and I  apologize. I think this might be the last  question on this subject, but I just want to make  sure I ask it.  So I apologize if I asked it before,  but if you could just answer, bear with me, I  appreciate it.	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate 17 of any other entity? 18 A Not that I recall. Again, that
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  Q Did you sorry. You don't know  whether or not I believe you said this, and I  apologize. I think this might be the last  question on this subject, but I just want to make  sure I ask it.  So I apologize if I asked it before,  but if you could just answer, bear with me, I  appreciate it.  You don't know whether anyone	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate 17 of any other entity? 18 A Not that I recall. Again, that 19 determination would only be me repeating what is
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else ever told me. But it took numerous people to carry out the traction, to my understanding, so bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief compliance officer's approval, written or otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  Q Did you sorry. You don't know whether or not I believe you said this, and I apologize. I think this might be the last question on this subject, but I just want to make sure I ask it. So I apologize if I asked it before, but if you could just answer, bear with me, I appreciate it. You don't know whether anyone specifically brought to Mr. Surgent's attention	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate 17 of any other entity? 18 A Not that I recall. Again, that 19 determination would only be me repeating what is 20 determined by compliance.
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else ever told me. But it took numerous people to carry out the traction, to my understanding, so bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief compliance officer's approval, written or otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the Adviser's Act?  M I don't know.  Q Did you sorry. You don't know whether or not I believe you said this, and I apologize. I think this might be the last question on this subject, but I just want to make sure I ask it. So I apologize if I asked it before, to but if you could just answer, bear with me, I appreciate it. You don't know whether anyone specifically brought to Mr. Surgent's attention the ownership interest in Sentinel prior to the	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate 17 of any other entity? 18 A Not that I recall. Again, that 19 determination would only be me repeating what is 20 determined by compliance. 21 Q Did you ever have any conversation with
A Umm I don't recall if anybody else  ever told me. But it took numerous people to  carry out the traction, to my understanding, so  boviously they wouldn't do it without the chief  compliance officer's approval, written or  otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the  Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  I Q Did you sorry. You don't know  whether or not I believe you said this, and I  apologize. I think this might be the last  question on this subject, but I just want to make  sure I ask it.  So I apologize if I asked it before,  but if you could just answer, bear with me, I  appreciate it.  You don't know whether anyone  specifically brought to Mr. Surgent's attention  the ownership interest in Sentinel prior to the  transaction going forward; correct?	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate 17 of any other entity? 18 A Not that I recall. Again, that 19 determination would only be me repeating what is 20 determined by compliance. 21 Q Did you ever have any conversation with 22 Mr. Sevilla about whether or not Sentinel should
you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction?  A Umm I don't recall if anybody else ever told me. But it took numerous people to carry out the traction, to my understanding, so bviously they wouldn't do it without the chief compliance officer's approval, written or otherwise.  Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the Adviser's Act?  A I don't know.  Did you sorry. You don't know whether or not I believe you said this, and I apologize. I think this might be the last question on this subject, but I just want to make sure I ask it. So I apologize if I asked it before, but if you could just answer, bear with me, I appreciate it. You don't know whether anyone specifically brought to Mr. Surgent's attention the ownership interest in Sentinel prior to the transaction going forward; correct?  A Untrue.	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate 17 of any other entity? 18 A Not that I recall. Again, that 19 determination would only be me repeating what is 20 determined by compliance. 21 Q Did you ever have any conversation with 22 Mr. Sevilla about whether or not Sentinel should 23 be considered an affiliate of any other entity?
1 you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction? 2 A Umm I don't recall if anybody else 3 ever told me. But it took numerous people to 4 carry out the traction, to my understanding, so 5 obviously they wouldn't do it without the chief 6 compliance officer's approval, written or 7 otherwise. 8 Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the 9 Adviser's Act? 10 A I don't know. 11 Q Did you sorry. You don't know 12 whether or not I believe you said this, and I 13 apologize. I think this might be the last 14 question on this subject, but I just want to make 15 sure I ask it. 16 So I apologize if I asked it before, 17 but if you could just answer, bear with me, I 18 appreciate it. 19 You don't know whether anyone 20 specifically brought to Mr. Surgent's attention 21 the ownership interest in Sentinel prior to the 22 transaction going forward; correct? 23 A Untrue. 24 Q Ah, okay. So then please explain.	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate 17 of any other entity? 18 A Not that I recall. Again, that 19 determination would only be me repeating what is 20 determined by compliance. 21 Q Did you ever have any conversation with 22 Mr. Sevilla about whether or not Sentinel should
1 you that Mr. Surgent had approved the transaction? 2 A Umm I don't recall if anybody else 3 ever told me. But it took numerous people to 4 carry out the traction, to my understanding, so 5 obviously they wouldn't do it without the chief 6 compliance officer's approval, written or 7 otherwise. 8 Q Did the ATE transaction implicate the 9 Adviser's Act? 10 A I don't know. 11 Q Did you sorry. You don't know 12 whether or not I believe you said this, and I 13 apologize. I think this might be the last 14 question on this subject, but I just want to make 15 sure I ask it. 16 So I apologize if I asked it before, 17 but if you could just answer, bear with me, I 18 appreciate it. 19 You don't know whether anyone 20 specifically brought to Mr. Surgent's attention 21 the ownership interest in Sentinel prior to the 22 transaction going forward; correct? 23 A Untrue.	1 A Either at the I can't remember if he 2 was chief compliance officer in 2012 when it was 3 formed or not. But either he did so as taking 4 over from his predecessor or he did so when it was 5 formed. I just don't recall if he was the CCO or 6 not. 7 Q You talked you talked with 8 Mr. Leventon about whether or not Sentinel should 9 be considered a affiliate investor; correct? 10 A Mr. Leventon? 11 Q Yeah? 12 A Not that I recall having Mr. Leventon's 13 opinion about that. It's not really relevant. It 14 is solely compliance. 15 Q Did you ever talk to Mr. DiOrio about 16 whether Sentinel would be considered an affiliate 17 of any other entity? 18 A Not that I recall. Again, that 19 determination would only be me repeating what is 20 determined by compliance. 21 Q Did you ever have any conversation with 22 Mr. Sevilla about whether or not Sentinel should 23 be considered an affiliate of any other entity?

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

64 (253 to 256)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
253	255
1 was an affiliate of Multi Strat?	1 A Yes.
2 A Did I? No.	2 MS. SMITH: Objection.
Q Did you ever discuss with anybody	3 BY MR. CLUBOK:
4 whether Sentinel should be considered an	4 Q Would you say your management style was
5 affiliated investor with respect to its Multi	5 to frequently yell at people?
6 Strat ownership interest?	6 A In the past, yes.
7 A Not that I recall.	7 Q How about in the 2017 timeframe?
8 MS. SMITH: Objection.	8 A I wouldn't say frequently. I would say
9 THE WITNESS: But again, that analysis	9 more infrequently, but yes, I did it.
10 is done by compliance and determined by a chief	10 Q Did you ever yell at Taylor Colbert
11 compliance officer.	11 about his use of the phrase "affiliated investor"
12 BY MR. CLUBOK:	12 with respect to Sentinel?
13 Q If a question came up as to whether or	13 A I don't recall who Taylor Colbert is.
14 not Sentinel should be treated as an affiliate for	14 Q Did you ever yell at Trey Parker about
15 any other entity that Highland was involved with,	15 that?
16 would it have been the appropriate process to	16 A I don't ever remember yelling at Trey
17 maybe turn that over to compliance?	17 Parker.
18 A Yes. They would inquire to compliance	18 Q Did you ever yell at Carter Chisholm or
19 about their determination.	19 Chris Dunn with respect to this subject?
20 Q And you would never have any role in	20 A I don't think I've ever yelled at
21 that assessment?	21 Carter Chism, and I don't know who Chris Dunn is.
22 A No, other than repeating what	22 Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 61.
23 compliance had decided, I had no role.	23 It is an email chain with an
24 Q Would you okay. Do you consider	24 attachment. And I'm going to just focus you first
25 Sentinel to be an affiliate of yours?	25 on the email cover before we look at the
254	256
1 A I don't	1 attachment. And it's an email exchange that at
2 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	2 the bottom starts with an email from Taylor
3 THE WITNESS: I don't know what that	3 Colbert to a number of folks and eventually works
4 analysis entails. I would say it's an affiliated	4 up to an email from you to Isaac Leventon and JP
5 entity to entities that I am involved with, I	5 Sevilla.
6 don't know enough about how to analyze something	6 Do you see Exhibit 61?
7 that's affiliated by law.	7 A I've got Exhibit 61.
8 BY MR. CLUBOK:	8 BYMR. CLUBOK:
9 Q Did you ever ask anybody to talk to you	9 Q And you can see here that if we go
10 specifically about whether or not Sentinel was an	10 down to the you have to read backwards on the
11 affiliated investor without going first to	11 email.
12 compliance?	12 A Okay.
13 A Please repeat that. I want to make	MR. CLUBOK: And Nate, if you can give
14 sure I understand what you're asking.	
15 Q Did you ever ask anybody at Highland to	14 me that. Thank you.
	15 BY MR. CLUBOK:
16 speak with you directly about whether or not	<ul><li>15 BY MR. CLUBOK:</li><li>16 Q The email starts with Taylor Colbert</li></ul>
16 speak with you directly about whether or not 17 Sentinel should be labeled an affiliated investor	<ul> <li>15 BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>16 Q The email starts with Taylor Colbert</li> <li>17 saying, "Hi, Trey. Please see the attached for</li> </ul>
16 speak with you directly about whether or not	<ul><li>15 BY MR. CLUBOK:</li><li>16 Q The email starts with Taylor Colbert</li></ul>
<ul> <li>16 speak with you directly about whether or not</li> <li>17 Sentinel should be labeled an affiliated investor</li> <li>18 without first speaking to compliance?</li> <li>19 A Not to my knowledge. No, again,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>15 BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>16 Q The email starts with Taylor Colbert</li> <li>17 saying, "Hi, Trey. Please see the attached for</li> </ul>
16 speak with you directly about whether or not 17 Sentinel should be labeled an affiliated investor 18 without first speaking to compliance? 19 A Not to my knowledge. No, again, 20 compliance is the ultimate arbiter.	15 BY MR. CLUBOK: 16 Q The email starts with Taylor Colbert 17 saying, "Hi, Trey. Please see the attached for 18 cash projection, distribution, allocation as 19 requested. There are several estimates in the 20 file that we will continue to sharpen over the
16 speak with you directly about whether or not 17 Sentinel should be labeled an affiliated investor 18 without first speaking to compliance? 19 A Not to my knowledge. No, again, 20 compliance is the ultimate arbiter. 21 Q Did you ever yell at anybody for, in	15 BY MR. CLUBOK: 16 Q The email starts with Taylor Colbert 17 saying, "Hi, Trey. Please see the attached for 18 cash projection, distribution, allocation as 19 requested. There are several estimates in the 20 file that we will continue to sharpen over the 21 next couple of days."
16 speak with you directly about whether or not 17 Sentinel should be labeled an affiliated investor 18 without first speaking to compliance? 19 A Not to my knowledge. No, again, 20 compliance is the ultimate arbiter. 21 Q Did you ever yell at anybody for, in 22 your view, improperly labelling Sentinel as an	15 BY MR. CLUBOK:  16 Q The email starts with Taylor Colbert 17 saying, "Hi, Trey. Please see the attached for 18 cash projection, distribution, allocation as 19 requested. There are several estimates in the 20 file that we will continue to sharpen over the 21 next couple of days." 22 And the subject is "Multi Strat Cash
16 speak with you directly about whether or not 17 Sentinel should be labeled an affiliated investor 18 without first speaking to compliance? 19 A Not to my knowledge. No, again, 20 compliance is the ultimate arbiter. 21 Q Did you ever yell at anybody for, in	15 BY MR. CLUBOK: 16 Q The email starts with Taylor Colbert 17 saying, "Hi, Trey. Please see the attached for 18 cash projection, distribution, allocation as 19 requested. There are several estimates in the 20 file that we will continue to sharpen over the 21 next couple of days."
16 speak with you directly about whether or not 17 Sentinel should be labeled an affiliated investor 18 without first speaking to compliance? 19 A Not to my knowledge. No, again, 20 compliance is the ultimate arbiter. 21 Q Did you ever yell at anybody for, in 22 your view, improperly labelling Sentinel as an	15 BY MR. CLUBOK:  16 Q The email starts with Taylor Colbert 17 saying, "Hi, Trey. Please see the attached for 18 cash projection, distribution, allocation as 19 requested. There are several estimates in the 20 file that we will continue to sharpen over the 21 next couple of days." 22 And the subject is "Multi Strat Cash

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

65 (257 to 260)

Conducted of	1 July 27, 2021
257	259
1 Q And then if you work up the chain, you	1 investor with respect to Multi Strat; isn't that
2 will see Taylor then sending another email to Trey	2 true?
3 and this time he copies some additional people,	3 A No, that's not true. I don't know what
4 including Thomas Surgent. And Taylor says, "Trey,	4 I'm I may have received instructions from
5 as discussed, please see the updated file with	5 Mr. Surgent. I don't recall anything about this.
6 Sentinel being presented as an affiliated	6 They were my two most senior guys.
7 investor. Please let me know if you would like to	7 Very often I would have them handle things, so
8 see any changes made."	8 very easily Mr. Surgent could have given me
· · ·	
9 Do you see that?	
10 A Yes.	10 Isaac handle it. I just don't recall what
11 Q And then Mr. Surgent forwards to you	11 happened here, but the characterizing is I drug
12 and says "Let's discuss."	12 them into the determination of what's an
13 A Yes.	13 affiliated investor is, I'm sorry, that's silly
14 Q Did you discuss this with him ever?	14 because that determination is exclusively Mr.
15 A I'm sure I did. If he asked me to	15 Surgent's.
16 discuss something, I almost always discussed it	16 Q What was the discussion you had with
17 with him. I can't think of any instance where I	17 Mr. Surgent when he forwarded this to you?
18 didn't.	18 A I have zero recollection of this.
19 Q And that same day, it looks like about	19 Q So, Mr. Surgent okay. You have zero
20 50 minutes after Thomas Surgent sent you that	20 recollection of what you guys discussed; is that
21 email, you forward it to Isaac Leventon and JP	21 correct?
22 Sevilla and said, "See below and attached and call	22 A You are asking me to remember a phone
23 me tomorrow on this."	23 conversation from five years ago. No, I don't
24 Do you see that?	24 know. Or four years ago, sorry.
25 A I do.	25 Q If you look at the attachment and the
258	260
256	
1 O Do you remember this exchange?	1 first page you can see that it identifies
1 Q Do you remember this exchange? 2 A Not at all	1 first page, you can see that it identifies 2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and
2 A Not at all.	2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why	<ul><li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li><li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li></ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination.	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that?	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this,	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is.	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> <li>9 percent of non-affiliate?</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me.	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> <li>9 percent of non-affiliate?</li> <li>10 A Okay.</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK:	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> <li>9 percent of non-affiliate?</li> <li>10 A Okay.</li> <li>11 Q Right? And you can see where the</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> <li>9 percent of non-affiliate?</li> <li>10 A Okay.</li> <li>11 Q Right? And you can see where the</li> <li>12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say,	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> <li>9 percent of non-affiliate?</li> <li>10 A Okay.</li> <li>11 Q Right? And you can see where the</li> <li>12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master</li> <li>13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> <li>9 percent of non-affiliate?</li> <li>10 A Okay.</li> <li>11 Q Right? And you can see where the</li> <li>12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master</li> <li>13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up</li> <li>14 to 100 percent with three different entries.</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say,	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> <li>9 percent of non-affiliate?</li> <li>10 A Okay.</li> <li>11 Q Right? And you can see where the</li> <li>12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master</li> <li>13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on	2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and 3 not in shade if they are affiliated. 4 Do you do see that? 5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities. 6 Where do you get the key that the 7 shaded are non-affiliates? 8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the 9 percent of non-affiliate? 10 A Okay. 11 Q Right? And you can see where the 12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master 13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up 14 to 100 percent with three different entries. 15 Do you see that? 16 A Okay.
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on 15 this."	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> <li>9 percent of non-affiliate?</li> <li>10 A Okay.</li> <li>11 Q Right? And you can see where the</li> <li>12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master</li> <li>13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up</li> <li>14 to 100 percent with three different entries.</li> <li>15 Do you see that?</li> <li>16 A Okay.</li> <li>17 Q And then for the Highland Credit</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on 15 this." 16 Do you see that?	2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and 3 not in shade if they are affiliated. 4 Do you do see that? 5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities. 6 Where do you get the key that the 7 shaded are non-affiliates? 8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the 9 percent of non-affiliate? 10 A Okay. 11 Q Right? And you can see where the 12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master 13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up 14 to 100 percent with three different entries. 15 Do you see that? 16 A Okay.
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on 15 this." 16 Do you see that? 17 A I do.	<ul> <li>2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and</li> <li>3 not in shade if they are affiliated.</li> <li>4 Do you do see that?</li> <li>5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities.</li> <li>6 Where do you get the key that the</li> <li>7 shaded are non-affiliates?</li> <li>8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the</li> <li>9 percent of non-affiliate?</li> <li>10 A Okay.</li> <li>11 Q Right? And you can see where the</li> <li>12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master</li> <li>13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up</li> <li>14 to 100 percent with three different entries.</li> <li>15 Do you see that?</li> <li>16 A Okay.</li> <li>17 Q And then for the Highland Credit</li> </ul>
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on 15 this." 16 Do you see that? 17 A I do. 18 Q You brought Leventon and Sevilla into	2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and 3 not in shade if they are affiliated. 4 Do you do see that? 5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities. 6 Where do you get the key that the 7 shaded are non-affiliates? 8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the 9 percent of non-affiliate? 10 A Okay. 11 Q Right? And you can see where the 12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master 13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up 14 to 100 percent with three different entries. 15 Do you see that? 16 A Okay. 17 Q And then for the Highland Credit 18 Opportunities Fund, the same thing. You can see
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on 15 this." 16 Do you see that? 17 A I do. 18 Q You brought Leventon and Sevilla into 19 this exchange, didn't you?	2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and 3 not in shade if they are affiliated. 4 Do you do see that? 5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities. 6 Where do you get the key that the 7 shaded are non-affiliates? 8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the 9 percent of non-affiliate? 10 A Okay. 11 Q Right? And you can see where the 12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master 13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up 14 to 100 percent with three different entries. 15 Do you see that? 16 A Okay. 17 Q And then for the Highland Credit 18 Opportunities Fund, the same thing. You can see 19 the one's that are shaded add up to 100 percent
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on 15 this." 16 Do you see that? 17 A I do. 18 Q You brought Leventon and Sevilla into 19 this exchange, didn't you? 20 A I didn't bring them in into any	2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and 3 not in shade if they are affiliated. 4 Do you do see that? 5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities. 6 Where do you get the key that the 7 shaded are non-affiliates? 8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the 9 percent of non-affiliate? 10 A Okay. 11 Q Right? And you can see where the 12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master 13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up 14 to 100 percent with three different entries. 15 Do you see that? 16 A Okay. 17 Q And then for the Highland Credit 18 Opportunities Fund, the same thing. You can see 19 the one's that are shaded add up to 100 percent 20 for sorry, add up to there's percentages 21 associated with non-affiliated entities and there
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on 15 this." 16 Do you see that? 17 A I do. 18 Q You brought Leventon and Sevilla into 19 this exchange, didn't you? 20 A I didn't bring them in into any 21 exchange. I asked them to call to discuss to 22 call me on this tomorrow.	2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and 3 not in shade if they are affiliated. 4 Do you do see that? 5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities. 6 Where do you get the key that the 7 shaded are non-affiliates? 8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the 9 percent of non-affiliate? 10 A Okay. 11 Q Right? And you can see where the 12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master 13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up 14 to 100 percent with three different entries. 15 Do you see that? 16 A Okay. 17 Q And then for the Highland Credit 18 Opportunities Fund, the same thing. You can see 19 the one's that are shaded add up to 100 percent 20 for sorry, add up to there's percentages
Q You said before you don't know why Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in affiliate investor determination. Do you remember that? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, I don't see that he is. Mr. Surgent involved me. HBY MR. CLUBOK: Q Right. But then you immediately sent an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, H"Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on this." Do you see that? A I do. Q You brought Leventon and Sevilla into description of the property of the	2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and 3 not in shade if they are affiliated. 4 Do you do see that? 5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities. 6 Where do you get the key that the 7 shaded are non-affiliates? 8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the 9 percent of non-affiliate? 10 A Okay. 11 Q Right? And you can see where the 12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master 13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up 14 to 100 percent with three different entries. 15 Do you see that? 16 A Okay. 17 Q And then for the Highland Credit 18 Opportunities Fund, the same thing. You can see 19 the one's that are shaded add up to 100 percent 20 for sorry, add up to there's percentages 21 associated with non-affiliated entities and there 22 is no percentages associated with the others, for 23 non-affiliate.
2 A Not at all. 3 Q You said before you don't know why 4 Isaac Leventon would be involved at all in 5 affiliate investor determination. 6 Do you remember that? 7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And I don't from this, 9 I don't see that he is. 10 Mr. Surgent involved me. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: 12 Q Right. But then you immediately sent 13 an email to to Leventon and Sevilla and say, 14 "Let's" you know, "call call tomorrow on 15 this." 16 Do you see that? 17 A I do. 18 Q You brought Leventon and Sevilla into 19 this exchange, didn't you? 20 A I didn't bring them in into any 21 exchange. I asked them to call to discuss to 22 call me on this tomorrow.	2 entities in shade if they are non-affiliated and 3 not in shade if they are affiliated. 4 Do you do see that? 5 A I see shaded and non-shaded entities. 6 Where do you get the key that the 7 shaded are non-affiliates? 8 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Well, you can see the 9 percent of non-affiliate? 10 A Okay. 11 Q Right? And you can see where the 12 percentage of non-affiliate, for example, Master 13 Fund, Highland Credit Opportunities Fund adds up 14 to 100 percent with three different entries. 15 Do you see that? 16 A Okay. 17 Q And then for the Highland Credit 18 Opportunities Fund, the same thing. You can see 19 the one's that are shaded add up to 100 percent 20 for sorry, add up to there's percentages 21 associated with non-affiliated entities and there 22 is no percentages associated with the others, for

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

66 (261 to 264)

Conducted of	1 July 29, 2021
261	263
1 Q So with and this one and this is	1 Q Sorry, you don't know why he would
2 the attachment that was forwarded by Taylor when	2 include you on what?
3 he says, "As discussed, please see the updated	3 A In other words, this table prepared by
4 file with Sentinel being presented as an	4 Mr. Colbert to I don't know what his goal
5 affiliated investor."	5 was oh, a fund analyst. Sorry, I just saw his
6 Do you see that in the cover email?	6 signature block. If it was correct, why would Mr.
7 A I do.	7 Surgent say "Let's discuss."
8 Q And so we look here and we see that	8 It's Mr. Colbert's determination,
9 fore and by the way, Highland credit	9 again, who had zero relevance in determining what
10 Opportunities Fund, that's an entity that we now	10 was an affiliated investor or not. If that was
11 call Multi Strat; correct?	11 correct, why would Mr. Surgent need to discuss
12 A I don't recall that I mean, that	12 something with me.
13 could be, I just don't remember.	13 Q And you don't remember what you did
14 Q Okay. But does it do you recall	14 discuss after this?
15 there was because we were involved in New York	15 A No, I don't. But I just find it
16 litigation where we named an entity called Credit	16 interesting that, you know, at 6:00 o'clock at
17 Opportunities.	17 night, he needs to discuss with me on something
	18 that is correct. Usually people don't say, hey,
19 Q At some point, it changed its name to	19 use this table. It's correct.
20 Multi Strat.	Q Do you remember after raising this
Do you does that ring a bell?	21 issue with Mr. Surgent yelling at somebody about
22 A It does ring a bell. I just I just	22 the fact that you believed they should not list
23 don't want to say 100 percent I remember that, but	23 Sentinel as an affiliated investor?
24 that sounds right to me.	24 A No, I don't.
25 Q Okay. So with respect to Credit	25 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
262	264
1 Opportunities, it shows Sentinel having a what's	1 THE WITNESS: And I didn't raise the
2 called a Highland Nav, and it's being, as	2 issue with Mr. Surgent. He contacted me to talk
3 Mr. Colbert mentioned, presented as an affiliated	3 to him.
4 entity; do you see that?	4 BY MR. CLUBOK:
5 A He can he put on here anything. It is	5 Q Sorry, after discussing this issue with
6 not his determination.	6 Mr. Surgent, do you believe do you recall ever
7 Q This whole	7 yelling at anyone on this
8 A If Mr. Surgent said let's discuss and	8 A No, no, unless Mr. Surgent told me to
9 it was right, I have to doubt he would have needed	9 remind people that it they don't need to be
10 to discuss anything with me.	10 making determinations for what affiliated
11 Q Do you recall do you recall	11 investors are or not. I just don't remember the
12 sorry. I'm sorry, Nate, can you pull this off the	12 circumstances.
13 screen?	13 Furthermore, if I yelled at somebody ad
14 There's got to be a way for me to do it	14 nauseam, it doesn't change Mr. Surgent's
15 easily, but-for some reason I can't figure it out.	15 determination.
16 THE WITNESS: Another thing that is	16 Q At some point, Sentinel was referred to
17 very interesting is Mark O'Connor and the Dugaboy	17 as an affiliate; correct?
18 Investment Trust in the same table, which as far	18 A Not to my knowledge.
19 as I know is a trust that somehow is related to	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
20 Mr. Dondero, but it's Mark O'Connor as an	20 THE WITNESS: Again, from inception to
21 individual is not considered as sorry, is	21 the end of my tenure, it had always been a
22 considered an affiliated investor, yeah, but,	22 compliance officer as a non-affiliate.
23 again, I don't know why Mr. Surgent would even	23 BY MR. CLUBOK:
	12., = - 1144 CACACA
124 include me on something that was just simply	
24 include me on something that was just simply 25 correct.	24 Q It was brought to your attention that 25 in emails various people had referred to Sentinel

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

67 (265 to 268)

Conducted of	1 July 29, 2021	
1 as an affiliate; correct?	1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	267
A Were any of those people Mr. Surgent?	Q Okay. You can see this email	
Q That's that's not my I'm the one	MR. CLUBOK: Nate, you will give me the	
4 who gets to ask the questions.	4 controller? Yeah.	
5 So	5 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
6 A When you said people, I was just trying	6 Q There originally was an email from	
7 to understand	7 Isaac Leventon to Scott sorry, an email from	
8 Q isn't it true that it was brought to	8 Isaac Leventon to Scott Ellington on September 9,	
9 your attention that in emails, various people had	9 2019 re NREF.	
10 referred to Sentinel as an affiliate?	What's NREF?	
11 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.	11 A I believe it is a fund managed by	
12 THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	12 NexPoint Advisors.	
13 BY MR. CLUBOK:	13 Q And what did what was Highland's	
14 Q Well, that was specifically brought to	14 connection to it?	
15 your attention, wasn't it?	15 A Connection to it? Highland was a	
16 A I don't recall.	16 sub-adviser to NexPoint Advisors and provided	
17 Q It was specifically brought to your	17 shared services.	
18 attention by Isaac Leventon, wasn't it?		
	18 Q Okay. And you see how they're talking	
19 A I don't recall.	19 about repurchases in 2019, and it identifies	
20 MS. SMITH: Objection, form.	20 Sentinel Reinsurance Ltd.?	
21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	21 A I do.	
22 Q Let's draw your attention to tab 13,	22 Q And then Isaac do you remember Isaac	
23 and I think we can mark it as Exhibit 87.	23 sending you this information?	
24 (Deposition Exhibit 87 was marked for	24 A No, I don't.	
25 identification.)	25 Q And why would Isaac Leventon have been	
THE WITNESS. Has communitied which	1 conding you this information and conving Matt	268
1 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, which which	1 sending you this information and copying Matt	
2 exhibit? I'm sorry	2 DiOrio and JP Sevilla?	
3 BY MR. CLUBOK:	3 A Well, I can't see the whole email chain	
4 Q We're going to put it up on the screen.	4 so I don't even understand that if there is	
5 Exhibit 87.	5 emails further down that I'm not being shown.	
6 MS. SMITH: We haven't seen that, so	6 Q Okay. So I'll go all the way down to	
7 please put that in the chat. Thank you.	7 the bottom. You can see the bottom is just Isaac	
8 MR. CLUBOK: Will do.	8 sending you an email and it says subject NREF.	
9 REMOTE TECHNICIAN: Yes. And you said	9 But there is no content that we have.	
10 tab 13?	10 A Okay.	
MR. CLUBOK: Yep, tab 13 should be	11 Q I don't know if that was deleted or	
12 Exhibit 87. So there's an email and attachment.	12 not. But the way it was produced to us, that is	
13 Let's just mark it collectively as Exhibit 87. We	13 it?	
14 don't need the slip sheet. But let's mark that	14 A It obviously that obviously has	
15 Exhibit 87 is going to be a four-page document,	15 context?	
16 which is a two-page email from Isaac Leventon to	16 Q It may have been a premature sending,	
17 Scott Ellington, copying Matt DiOrio and JP	17 who knows?	
18 Sevilla.	18 A Okay.	
	,	
. •		
20 that is a marked as a timeline and it	20 and he has content in it. And this time he says,	
21 identifies a number of emails, some of which	21 "Repurchases from June of 2019. We cannot see	
22 mention Sentinel. Do we have Exhibit 87 up, Nate?	22 behind the Schwab Omnibus account." And it	
23 REMOTE TECHNICIAN: Yes. One moment,	23 identifies a number of entities, including	
24 please.	24 Sentinel Reinsurance Limited 695,000.	
25	Do you see that?	

## Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

68 (269 to 272)

Conducted of	1 July 29, 2021
269	271
1 A Yes.	1 Q And Isaac has highlighted that in the
2 Q And then if you go up, he sends the	2 email he sent you.
3 same group an email to you and DiOrio, Sevilla,	This is not highlighting we put on.
4 slightly revised timeline with added 7/31 "Form 4"	4 This is something that Isaac put on to draw your
5 emails and with certain key emails highlighted,	5 attention to it pursuant to is email where he says
6 "as I discussed with Dio a few minutes ago."	6 said certain emails are highlighted as I discussed
7 Do you see that?	7 with Dio; do you see that?
	8 A I do.
`	9 Q So, he highlights something where
10 A He is referring to Mr. DiOrio. That's	10 Fuentes says, "It's my understanding this is an
11 his nickname.	11 affiliate Highland account." And he highlights
12 Q Okay. And if we go down and look at	12 another email that says, "Sentinel tried to get a
13 the timeline, the attachment	13 little over 2 million out, and will get less than
14 MR. CLUBOK: And Nate, did you make it	14 790,000 out," do you see that?
15 the other page part of Exhibit 87?	15 A Yes.
16 REMOTE TECHNICIAN: I'll merge the	16 Q And does this ring a bell that Mr.
17 documents after the deposition.	17 Leventon specifically brought this issue to your
18 BY MR. CLUBOK:	18 attention?
19 Q So again, 87 should be the cover email	19 A Yes.
20 and the attachment. And Nate is going to put it	20 Q And what happened?
21 back up here in a second.	21 A What do you mean what happened?
We are now on the attachment. And the	22 Q Okay. Do you remember anything else or
23 attachment	23 just that he brought this issue to your attention?
24 MR. CLUBOK: You will give me control	24 Was there any resolution?
25 over here, Nate?	25 A Was there any resolution?
270	272
1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	1 Q Yeah.
1 DI WIC CLODOK.	1 Q Tour.
2 O It is a two-page document that at the	2 A Towhat?
2 Q It is a two-page document that at the	A To what?
3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel	3 Q What was the issue he was raising?
<ul><li>3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li><li>4 spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li></ul>	<ul><li>Q What was the issue he was raising?</li><li>What was he what did he bring here</li></ul>
<ul> <li>3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>4 spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>5 an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails."
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q What was the issue he was raising?</li> <li>What was he what did he bring here</li> <li>He said, "Look at these emails."</li> <li>Presumably he had to have a discussion with him</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q What was the issue he was raising?</li> <li>What was he what did he bring here</li> <li>He said, "Look at these emails."</li> <li>Presumably he had to have a discussion with him</li> <li>about it?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it?  A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it?  A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline.
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why?
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why?  A Because Mr. McGraner who is the
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> <li>Q So if you look here if we go back to</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> <li>Q So if you look here if we go back to</li> <li>the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m.,</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why
3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel 4 spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is 5 an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes. 6 And then if you scroll down, it 7 chronologically goes forward in time until 8 ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last 9 entry. 10 Do you see that? 11 A I do. 12 Q So if you look here if we go back to 13 the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m., 14 there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> <li>Q So if you look here if we go back to</li> <li>the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m.,</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline.  Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had.
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> <li>Q So if you look here if we go back to</li> <li>the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m.,</li> <li>there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel</li> <li>Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12</li> <li>percent of the fund is 2 million redemption that</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had.  A And the reason that that mattered was
3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel 4 spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is 5 an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes. 6 And then if you scroll down, it 7 chronologically goes forward in time until 8 ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last 9 entry. 10 Do you see that? 11 A I do. 12 Q So if you look here if we go back to 13 the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m., 14 there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel 15 Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline.  Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had.
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> <li>Q So if you look here if we go back to</li> <li>the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m.,</li> <li>there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel</li> <li>Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12</li> <li>percent of the fund is 2 million redemption that</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had.  A And the reason that that mattered was
3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel 4 spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is 5 an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes. 6 And then if you scroll down, it 7 chronologically goes forward in time until 8 ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last 9 entry. 10 Do you see that? 11 A I do. 12 Q So if you look here if we go back to 13 the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m., 14 there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel 15 Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12 16 percent of the fund is 2 million redemption that 17 day." Do you see that?	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had.  Q And the reason that that mattered was here was not an independent investor,
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> <li>Q So if you look here if we go back to</li> <li>the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m.,</li> <li>there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel</li> <li>Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12</li> <li>percent of the fund is 2 million redemption that</li> <li>day." Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had. Q And the reason that that mattered was Poecause Sentinel was not an independent investor, labut was it could be considered an insider, and
3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel 4 spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is 5 an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes. 6 And then if you scroll down, it 7 chronologically goes forward in time until 8 ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last 9 entry. 10 Do you see that? 11 A I do. 12 Q So if you look here if we go back to 13 the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m., 14 there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel 15 Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12 16 percent of the fund is 2 million redemption that 17 day." Do you see that? 18 A I do. 19 Q And at 6/25/2019 after another I'm	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had. Q And the reason that that mattered was because Sentinel was not an independent investor, but was it could be considered an insider, and had that might have consequences for them putting in a
3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel 4 spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is 5 an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes. 6 And then if you scroll down, it 7 chronologically goes forward in time until 8 ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last 9 entry. 10 Do you see that? 11 A I do. 12 Q So if you look here if we go back to 13 the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m., 14 there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel 15 Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12 16 percent of the fund is 2 million redemption that 17 day." Do you see that? 18 A I do. 19 Q And at 6/25/2019 after another I'm 20 skipping one email. But at 10:11, someone writes	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had. Q And the reason that that mattered was hecause Sentinel was not an independent investor, but was it could be considered an insider, and had that might have consequences for them putting in a redemption; correct?
<ul> <li>top starts; with it is a two-page Excel</li> <li>spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is</li> <li>an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes.</li> <li>And then if you scroll down, it</li> <li>chronologically goes forward in time until</li> <li>ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last</li> <li>entry.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> <li>Q So if you look here if we go back to</li> <li>the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m.,</li> <li>there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel</li> <li>Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12</li> <li>percent of the fund is 2 million redemption that</li> <li>day." Do you see that?</li> <li>A I do.</li> <li>Q And at 6/25/2019 after another I'm</li> <li>skipping one email. But at 10:11, someone writes</li> <li>back it's from Fuentes to Norris, copying</li> </ul>	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline.  Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had.  Q And the reason that that mattered was because Sentinel was not an independent investor, but was it could be considered an insider, and that might have consequences for them putting in a redemption; correct?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel 4 spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is 5 an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes. 6 And then if you scroll down, it 7 chronologically goes forward in time until 8 ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last 9 entry. 10 Do you see that? 11 A I do. 12 Q So if you look here if we go back to 13 the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m., 14 there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel 15 Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12 16 percent of the fund is 2 million redemption that 17 day." Do you see that? 18 A I do. 19 Q And at 6/25/2019 after another I'm 20 skipping one email. But at 10:11, someone writes 21 back it's from Fuentes to Norris, copying 22 Hakemack, Thedford, Klos and it says, it's my 23 understanding that this is an affiliate Highland	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline.  Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had. Q And the reason that that mattered was because Sentinel was not an independent investor, but was it could be considered an insider, and that might have consequences for them putting in a redemption; correct?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Not at all.
3 top starts; with it is a two-page Excel 4 spreadsheet. It contains information. The top is 5 an entry dated June 6, 2019, from DiOrio Fuentes. 6 And then if you scroll down, it 7 chronologically goes forward in time until 8 ultimately December 6th, 2019, which is the last 9 entry. 10 Do you see that? 11 A I do. 12 Q So if you look here if we go back to 13 the first page on June 25th, 2019 at 10:07 a.m., 14 there's an email that says, "Who at the Sentinel 15 Reinsurance Limited is the Governance Re, 12 16 percent of the fund is 2 million redemption that 17 day." Do you see that? 18 A I do. 19 Q And at 6/25/2019 after another I'm 20 skipping one email. But at 10:11, someone writes 21 back it's from Fuentes to Norris, copying 22 Hakemack, Thedford, Klos and it says, it's my	Q What was the issue he was raising? What was he what did he bring here He said, "Look at these emails." Presumably he had to have a discussion with him about it? A No, I instructed Mr. Leventon to construct this timeline. Q Oh. Why? A Because Mr. McGraner who is the portfolio manager for the funds managed by NexPoint Advisors, had asked me to understand why Sentinel had put in a redemption request. And I said I wasn't aware that they had. Q And the reason that that mattered was because Sentinel was not an independent investor, but was it could be considered an insider, and that might have consequences for them putting in a redemption; correct? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Not at all.

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

69 (273 to 276)

Conducted of	July 29, 2021
273	275
1 Q That was certainly a concern, wasn't	1 redeemer;" it doesn't mean they are. Furthermore
2 it?	2 if you go down to Lauren Thedford, who is the
4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	4 NXRT?" "Nope" meaning they are not an affiliate
5 BY MR. CLUBOK:	5 and not an insider. So your assumptions are based
6 Q Let's look at the next page, July 24th,	6 upon what a bunch of real estate guys think.
7 2019, an email from Hollister to Goetz, copying	7 Q Right.
8 Norris, Noel. He says, "Investors are concerned	8 A For the reason I had Mr. Leventon build
9 about redemption capacity. 'Do we know how that	9 this, is when it came to my attention from
10 big internal redemption is going to look to people	10 Mr. McGraner he said what's going on. CIMA is the
11 who look at such reports'."?	11 one that told us to redeem out of this fund. Told
Do you see that?	12 Sentinel, when I refer to "us."
13 A Which one are you referring to?	Mr. McGraner didn't understand that and
14 Q The one right above the yellow	14 he goes, that's not what I understand, so I had
15 highlighting, where Hollister at NexPoint	15 Mr. Leventon build this timeline, so I could
16 Securities says, "Investors are concerned about	16 discuss it with Mr. McGraner. This is the
17 redemption capacity. 'Do we know how that big	17 resolution.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
18 internal redemption is going to look to people who	18 BY MR. CLUBOK:
19 look at such reports'."?	19 Q CIMA told you
20 A Okay.	20 A (overspeaking)
21 Q And in response, McGraner writes, "Our	21 Q I'm sorry, CIMA told you to redeem out
22 reinsurer our reinsurer held the position and	22 of this fund on behalf of Sentinel?
23 had to redeem to manage its own cash needs. Not	23 A Yes. In their annual portfolio review
24 sure if that helps but that's the reason."	24 they instructed the independent directors to
25 A Okay.	25 redeem out of this fund.
	25 reaccin out of this fund.
	276
274	276
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer?	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking)
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"?	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says,
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies,	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc."	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good."
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions,	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person 10 qualified to make that determination.
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it."	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person 10 qualified to make that determination. 11 Q Right. And so there was a
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person 10 qualified to make that determination. 11 Q Right. And so there was a 12 determination that with respect to there was a
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay.	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person 10 qualified to make that determination. 11 Q Right. And so there was a 12 determination that with respect to there was a 13 determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person 10 qualified to make that determination. 11 Q Right. And so there was a 12 determination that with respect to there was a 13 determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 14 Filer for NXRT, right?
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay.	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person 10 qualified to make that determination. 11 Q Right. And so there was a 12 determination that with respect to there was a 13 determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person 10 qualified to make that determination. 11 Q Right. And so there was a 12 determination that with respect to there was a 13 determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 14 Filer for NXRT, right?
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's 15 redemption could be considered as a problem for	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person 10 qualified to make that determination. 11 Q Right. And so there was a 12 determination that with respect to there was a 13 determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 14 Filer for NXRT, right? 15 A Yes, and also she is the compliance
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's 15 redemption could be considered as a problem for 16 outside investors, given its status as an insider; 17 correct?	1 Q Yeah and (overspeaking) 2 A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from 3 Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a 4 compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 5 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, 6 "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's 7 group, so Sentinel is good." 8 The only email that matters on this 9 whole thing is hers. She's the only person 10 qualified to make that determination. 11 Q Right. And so there was a 12 determination that with respect to there was a 13 determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 14 Filer for NXRT, right? 15 A Yes, and also she is the compliance 16 person on any of these chains. I haven't looked
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's 15 redemption could be considered as a problem for 16 outside investors, given its status as an insider; 17 correct? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's group, so Sentinel is good."  The only email that matters on this whole thing is hers. She's the only person qualified to make that determination.  Q Right. And so there was a determination that with respect to there was a determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 Filer for NXRT, right?  A Yes, and also she is the compliance fe person on any of these chains. I haven't looked them all because you guys were scrolling up and down. She says, "Nope, just under 1 percent."
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's 15 redemption could be considered as a problem for 16 outside investors, given its status as an insider; 17 correct? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: No. No, you're wrong.	A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from  Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a  compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4  filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says,  "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's  group, so Sentinel is good."  The only email that matters on this  whole thing is hers. She's the only person  qualified to make that determination.  Q Right. And so there was a  determination that with respect to there was a  determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4  Filer for NXRT, right?  A Yes, and also she is the compliance  person on any of these chains. I haven't looked  them all because you guys were scrolling up and  down. She says, "Nope, just under 1 percent."
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's 15 redemption could be considered as a problem for 16 outside investors, given its status as an insider; 17 correct? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: No. No, you're wrong. 20 This is an email exchange with real estate	A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's group, so Sentinel is good."  The only email that matters on this whole thing is hers. She's the only person qualified to make that determination.  Q Right. And so there was a determination that with respect to there was a determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 Filer for NXRT, right?  A Yes, and also she is the compliance for person on any of these chains. I haven't looked them all because you guys were scrolling up and down. She says, "Nope, just under 1 percent." Now, what's really important, "and not included in JD's group," presumably referring in to Jim
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's 15 redemption could be considered as a problem for 16 outside investors, given its status as an insider; 17 correct? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: No. No, you're wrong. 20 This is an email exchange with real estate 21 analysts and a portfolio manager. Their knowledge	A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's group, so Sentinel is good." The only email that matters on this whole thing is hers. She's the only person qualified to make that determination.  Q Right. And so there was a determination that with respect to there was a determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 Filer for NXRT, right?  A Yes, and also she is the compliance fe person on any of these chains. I haven't looked them all because you guys were scrolling up and down. She says, "Nope, just under 1 percent." Now, what's really important, "and not included in JD's group," presumably referring in to Jim Jondero, meaning it's not a affiliate, so Sentinel
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's 15 redemption could be considered as a problem for 16 outside investors, given its status as an insider; 17 correct? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: No. No, you're wrong. 20 This is an email exchange with real estate 21 analysts and a portfolio manager. Their knowledge 22 of what's an affiliate or Form 4 filer, etcetera	A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's group, so Sentinel is good." The only email that matters on this whole thing is hers. She's the only person qualified to make that determination.  Q Right. And so there was a determination that with respect to there was a determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 Filer for NXRT, right?  A Yes, and also she is the compliance for person on any of these chains. I haven't looked them all because you guys were scrolling up and down. She says, "Nope, just under 1 percent." Now, what's really important, "and not included in JD's group," presumably referring in to Jim Dondero, meaning it's not a affiliate, so Sentinel was good.
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's 15 redemption could be considered as a problem for 16 outside investors, given its status as an insider; 17 correct? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: No. No, you're wrong. 20 This is an email exchange with real estate 21 analysts and a portfolio manager. Their knowledge 22 of what's an affiliate or Form 4 filer, etcetera 23 is utterly irrelevant because they have no	A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's group, so Sentinel is good."  The only email that matters on this whole thing is hers. She's the only person qualified to make that determination.  Q Right. And so there was a determination that with respect to there was a determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 filer for NXRT, right?  A Yes, and also she is the compliance fe person on any of these chains. I haven't looked them all because you guys were scrolling up and down. She says, "Nope, just under 1 percent." Now, what's really important, "and not included in JD's group," presumably referring in to Jim JDondero, meaning it's not a affiliate, so Sentinel was good. What these real estate guys think is
1 Q And then Hollister says, "Reinsurer? 2 Like our health insurance fund or just a 3 well-known third party"? 4 McGraner then writes back: "We have an 5 offshore reinsurance company that has several 6 investments in our funds. It writes D&O policies, 7 property, etc." 8 To which Hollister responds: "Just 9 know that if a fund maxes out its redemptions, 10 that's seen as a massive red flag unless there is 11 a story to go along with it." 12 Then asks if there is an explanation to 13 give outside investors. Okay. 14 A So, it was a concern that Sentinel's 15 redemption could be considered as a problem for 16 outside investors, given its status as an insider; 17 correct? 18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: No. No, you're wrong. 20 This is an email exchange with real estate 21 analysts and a portfolio manager. Their knowledge 22 of what's an affiliate or Form 4 filer, etcetera	A If you look at the 7/31/2019 email from Lorne Thedford. So, Mr. DiOrio, who's not a compliance professional says "Is Sentinel a Form 4 filer for NXRT?" The compliance person says, "Nope, just under 1% and not included in JD's group, so Sentinel is good." The only email that matters on this whole thing is hers. She's the only person qualified to make that determination.  Q Right. And so there was a determination that with respect to there was a determination that Sentinel would not be a Form 4 Filer for NXRT, right?  A Yes, and also she is the compliance for person on any of these chains. I haven't looked them all because you guys were scrolling up and down. She says, "Nope, just under 1 percent." Now, what's really important, "and not included in JD's group," presumably referring in to Jim Dondero, meaning it's not a affiliate, so Sentinel was good.

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

70 (277 to 280)

	1 July 29, 2021
277	279
1 Q And when you say Sentinel is good, does	1 followed for CDO Funds during this timeframe?
2 that mean you and Jim Dondero are free to make any	2 A Yes, for anything that's
3 transactions you want with Sentinel, without	3 (overspeaking)
4 regard to whether or not you are they are	4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
5 related entities to you?	5 THE WITNESS: Highland and because
6 A Absolutely not.	6 Highland, is my understanding, was the GP of that
7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	7 entity, it would have been, like all funds,
8 THE WITNESS: Absolutely not. It means	8 governed by the same compliance process. But
9 compliance makes the decision, which on	9 again, that's a question for Mr. Surgent and his
10 7/31/2019 at 12:14 p.m. Lorne Thedford, the	10 staff.
11 compliance professional makes the determination.	11 Q Okay, so it would be Mr. Surgent who
12 BY MR. CLUBOK:	12 would know whether or not the process for trades
13 Q And is there a written determination	13 out of CDO Fund, SOHC and HFP at the time, would
14 with respect to the Sentinel transaction on the	14 be subject to a compliance process that was
15 ATE policy in any writing anywhere that you are	15 established?
16 aware of?	16 A Yes, and again my experience with
17 A My personal knowledge, I don't know but	17 working with Mr. Surgent for 15 years, he was
18 here is hers in writing. Sentinel is good, not	18 incredibly diligent, incredibly careful CCO.
19 part of JD's group. Not an affiliate.	19 Q Is Mr. Surgent honest?
20 If you look up above, this actually got	20 A In my opinion, yes.
21 Mr. Surgent and Mr. Post on 6/26/2019. The	21 Q Does Mr. Surgent have you ever
22 "NexPoint Repurchase Event," along with	22 strike that.
23 Ms. Thedford and Mr. Surgent and Mr. Post, the	Have you ever heard of him being
24 three most senior people in compliance, including	24 accused of being dishonest?
25 the chief compliance officer.	25 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
278	280
1 Q Did Highland Capital Management have a	1 THE WITNESS: I'm sure somebody's
2 protocol for non for trades strike that.	2 accused him of being dishonest over the years.
3 Did Highland Capital Management have a	3 BY MR. CLUBOK:
4 compliance review protocol for trades that were	4 Q Did he have a reputation for honesty
5 not implicated by the Investment Advisors Act?	5 around Highland Capital Management?
6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	6 A I don't know what his reputation was,
7 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	7 but I would assume he did.
8 question.	8 Q Did you have a reputation for honesty
9 BY MR. CLUBOK:	9 around Highland Capital Management?
10 Q There is a compliance process to	10 A I believe so.
11 approve trades that you described, and I take it	11 Q Did Isaac Leventon have a reputation
12 that applied to any transaction involving a fund	12 for honesty?
13 that Highland was managing for outside investors?	13 A Yes.
14 A Yes, and the 33 Act, 34 Act, 40 Act,	MS. SMITH: Now that we've established
15 the RAA, other codified laws, SEC letter rulings,	15 everyone's honest, is now a good time for a break?
16 etcetera.	16 BY MR. CLUBOK:
17 Q Okay, and do any of those rules or	17 Q Almost. Did Jim Dondero have a
18 compliance reviews under those rules apply to a	18 reputation for honesty in the community, as far as
19 transaction between CDO Fund, SOHC, HFP on the one	19 you know.
20 hand and Sentinel Insurance on the other hand or	20 A What community?
21 do you know?	21 Q The business world that he dealt in.
22 A I do not know. That's a question for	22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
1	
23 Mr. Surgent and his staff.	23 THE WITNESS: I haven't run any nolls:
23 Mr. Surgent and his staff.	23 THE WITNESS: I haven't run any polls;
<ul> <li>23 Mr. Surgent and his staff.</li> <li>24 Q Was did was there a was there</li> <li>25 a compliance process that was required to be</li> </ul>	23 THE WITNESS: I haven't run any polls; 24 I don't really know. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

71 (281 to 284)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021	
281		283
1 Q I understand. We're talking about	1 Sentinel. Potential to repair UBS	
2 reputation. You certainly know and have	2 distribution/relationship, etcetera.	
3 acknowledged in the past that Mr. Dondero did not	3 Do you recall seeing this analysis,	
4 have a reputation for honesty, correct?	4 even if it wasn't this exact version, something	
5 A I know that people	5 like this?	
6 MS. SMITH: Objection to do form.	6 A No, I don't.	
7 THE WITNESS: I know there's certain	7 Q It goes on and has a UBS settlement	
8 people that have that belief, yes.	8 structure. Where step 1 was HFP/CDO Fund buy	
9 MR. CLUBOK: Okay, let's take a break.	9 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. And the	
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	10 premium is all the assets in HFP/CDO Fund; do you	
11 record at 5:09 p.m.	11 see that?	
12 (Recess taken 5:09 p.m. to 5:33 p.m.)	12 A I do.	
13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on	13 Q And then step 2, was going to be	
14 the record at 5:34 p.m.	14 negotiate settlement with UBS; do you see that?	
15 BY MR. CLUBOK:	15 A I do.	
16 Q We've put up as an exhibit and it is a	16 Q Are you aware of this settlement	
17 cover letter from Leventon to Sevilla, dated	17 structure that was being considered prior to the	
18 April 19th, 2017 with attachment "UBS settlement	18 purchase of the ATE policy?	
19 structure, (9) and there's a PowerPoint. You're	19 A No.	
20 not copied on that email, but I believe you've	20 Q You had no idea about this?	
21 seen whether this version or different versions of	21 A I've never seen this. To my	
	-	
22 this document, it was a settlement analysis	22 recollection, I've never seen this.	
23 between UBS vs Highland and it talks about, in the	Q Did you know about the steps that are	
24 first substantive page: If Highland does not	24 outlined on the page it's slide 8.	
25 settlement and UBS wins or Highland wins, bottom	25 A Do I know about these steps? Obviously	
282		284
1 line there's no upside going to trial in either	1 I understand the steps, but I don't know who	284
<ul><li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li><li>matter.</li></ul>	2 drafted these or where they came up with these	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> </ul>	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps.	284
<ol> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>steps.</li> <li>Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> <li>policy?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>steps.</li> <li>Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> <li>is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> <li>policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>steps.</li> <li>Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> <li>is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement</li> <li>Structure Summary."</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> <li>policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this,</li> <li>period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>steps.</li> <li>Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> <li>is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement</li> <li>Structure Summary."</li> <li>A Yep.</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> <li>policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this,</li> <li>period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>steps.</li> <li>Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> <li>is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement</li> <li>Structure Summary."</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this,</li> <li>period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BYMR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>steps.</li> <li>Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> <li>is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement</li> <li>Structure Summary."</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO</li> <li>buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel.</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> <li>policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this,</li> <li>period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?</li> <li>A Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>steps.</li> <li>Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> <li>is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement</li> <li>Structure Summary."</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO</li> <li>buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> <li>policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this,</li> <li>period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And whether or not you saw this</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>steps.</li> <li>Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> <li>is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement</li> <li>Structure Summary."</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO</li> <li>buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Then it continues to a conclusion where</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BYMR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And whether or not you saw this</li> <li>specific version or and there was like nine or ten</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>3 steps.</li> <li>4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> <li>5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement</li> <li>6 Structure Summary."</li> <li>7 A Yep.</li> <li>8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO</li> <li>9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel.</li> <li>10 A Yeah.</li> <li>11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where</li> <li>12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And whether or not you saw this</li> <li>specific version or and there was like nine or ten</li> <li>different ones, some with slightly different edits</li> </ul>	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that?	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> <li>policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this,</li> <li>period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And whether or not you saw this</li> <li>specific version or and there was like nine or ten</li> <li>different ones, some with slightly different edits</li> <li>but it talks about if Highland wins, it losses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 drafted these or where they came up with these</li> <li>3 steps.</li> <li>4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there</li> <li>5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement</li> <li>6 Structure Summary."</li> <li>7 A Yep.</li> <li>8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO</li> <li>9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel.</li> <li>10 A Yeah.</li> <li>11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where</li> <li>12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to</li> </ul>	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And whether or not you saw this</li> <li>specific version or and there was like nine or ten</li> <li>different ones, some with slightly different edits</li> </ul>	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that?	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> <li>policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this,</li> <li>period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And whether or not you saw this</li> <li>specific version or and there was like nine or ten</li> <li>different ones, some with slightly different edits</li> <li>but it talks about if Highland wins, it losses</li> </ul>	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you	284
<ul> <li>line there's no upside going to trial in either</li> <li>matter.</li> <li>Do you recall this analysis that was done in</li> <li>connection with the decision to purchase the ATE</li> <li>policy?</li> <li>A No. I do not recall seeing this,</li> <li>period, much less relative to the ATE policy.</li> <li>BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> <li>Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And whether or not you saw this</li> <li>specific version or and there was like nine or ten</li> <li>different ones, some with slightly different edits</li> <li>but it talks about if Highland wins, it losses</li> <li>because there's going to be a big tax liability.</li> </ul>	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you 15 talking about the conclusion?	284
line there's no upside going to trial in either matter. Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy? A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy. BYMR. CLUBOK: Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it? A Okay. Q And whether or not you saw this specific version or and there was like nine or ten different ones, some with slightly different edits that talks about if Highland wins, it losses because there's going to be a big tax liability. It says if Highland doesn't settle, UBS	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you 15 talking about the conclusion? 16 Q Yep.	284
line there's no upside going to trial in either matter. Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy? A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy. BYMR. CLUBOK: Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it? A Okay. Q And whether or not you saw this specific version or and there was like nine or ten different ones, some with slightly different edits that it talks about if Highland wins, it losses because there's going to be a big tax liability. It says if Highland doesn't settle, UBS rould appeal.	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you 15 talking about the conclusion? 16 Q Yep. 17 A You already asked me that. Yes.	284
line there's no upside going to trial in either matter.  Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy?  A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?  A Okay. Q And whether or not you saw this specific version or and there was like nine or ten different ones, some with slightly different edits but it talks about if Highland wins, it losses because there's going to be a big tax liability. It says if Highland doesn't settle, UBS could appeal.  It says if Highland doesn't settle and this was when CITI was still potentially in the	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you 15 talking about the conclusion? 16 Q Yep. 17 A You already asked me that. Yes. 18 Q There's step 1 through step 6 and then	284
line there's no upside going to trial in either matter. Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy? A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it? A Okay. Q And whether or not you saw this specific version or and there was like nine or ten different ones, some with slightly different edits but it talks about if Highland wins, it losses because there's going to be a big tax liability. It says if Highland doesn't settle, UBS could appeal. It says if Highland doesn't settle and	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you 15 talking about the conclusion? 16 Q Yep. 17 A You already asked me that. Yes. 18 Q There's step 1 through step 6 and then 19 the conclusion; do you see that?	284
line there's no upside going to trial in either matter.  Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy?  A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy.  BYMR. CLUBOK:  Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?  A Okay.  Q And whether or not you saw this 2 specific version or and there was like nine or ten 3 different ones, some with slightly different edits 4 but it talks about if Highland wins, it losses 15 because there's going to be a big tax liability.  It says if Highland doesn't settle, UBS 7 could appeal.  It says if Highland doesn't settle and 19 this was when CITI was still potentially in the 20 mix what would happen. It says: If Highland 21 Settles Sentinel would control HFP/CDO Fund	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you 15 talking about the conclusion? 16 Q Yep. 17 A You already asked me that. Yes. 18 Q There's step 1 through step 6 and then 19 the conclusion; do you see that? 20 A I do.	284
line there's no upside going to trial in either matter.  Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy?  A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy.  BYMR. CLUBOK:  Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it?  A Okay.  Q And whether or not you saw this specific version or and there was like nine or ten different ones, some with slightly different edits but it talks about if Highland wins, it losses because there's going to be a big tax liability.  It says if Highland doesn't settle, UBS could appeal.  It says if Highland doesn't settle and this was when CITI was still potentially in the matter than the country what would happen. It says: If Highland	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you 15 talking about the conclusion? 16 Q Yep. 17 A You already asked me that. Yes. 18 Q There's step 1 through step 6 and then 19 the conclusion; do you see that? 20 A I do. 21 Q Did you know about this settlement	284
line there's no upside going to trial in either matter. Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy? A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy. BYMR. CLUBOK: Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it? A Okay. Q And whether or not you saw this specific version or and there was like nine or ten different ones, some with slightly different edits that it talks about if Highland wins, it losses because there's going to be a big tax liability. It says if Highland doesn't settle, UBS could appeal. It says if Highland doesn't settle and this was when CITI was still potentially in the mix what would happen. It says: If Highland Settles Sentinel would control HFP/CDO Fund Settles Sentinel would control HFP/CDO Fund	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you 15 talking about the conclusion? 16 Q Yep. 17 A You already asked me that. Yes. 18 Q There's step 1 through step 6 and then 19 the conclusion; do you see that? 20 A I do. 21 Q Did you know about this settlement 22 structure proposal in connection well, did you	284
line there's no upside going to trial in either matter. Do you recall this analysis that was done in connection with the decision to purchase the ATE policy? A No. I do not recall seeing this, period, much less relative to the ATE policy. BYMR. CLUBOK: Q Okay, I'm going to flip through it? A Okay. Q And whether or not you saw this specific version or and there was like nine or ten different ones, some with slightly different edits that it talks about if Highland wins, it losses because there's going to be a big tax liability. It says if Highland doesn't settle, UBS could appeal. It says if Highland doesn't settle and this was when CITI was still potentially in the mix what would happen. It says: If Highland Settles Sentinel would control HFP/CDO Fund sasets currently \$94 million. See slide 10. Sentinel and HCMLP can use HFP/CDO Fund assets to	2 drafted these or where they came up with these 3 steps. 4 Q Well, on slide 8 of Exhibit 47, there 5 is a plan that's entitled "UBS settlement 6 Structure Summary." 7 A Yep. 8 Q And it goes through step 1, HFC/CDO 9 buying a \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Then it continues to a conclusion where 12 "Sentinel keeps net assets (could be up to 13 \$50 million). Do you see that? 14 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Are you 15 talking about the conclusion? 16 Q Yep. 17 A You already asked me that. Yes. 18 Q There's step 1 through step 6 and then 19 the conclusion; do you see that? 20 A I do. 21 Q Did you know about this settlement 22 structure proposal in connection well, did you 23 know about this proposal?	284

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

72 (285 to 288)

Conducted on	1 July 27, 2021	
285	1 4m/2	287
1 Q Even though you never saw this 2 document, did you know there was at some time a	1 true? 2 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
	MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No, I didn't discuss	
<ul><li>plan that's consistent with what you see here on</li><li>page 8 of Exhibit 47?</li></ul>	l. d. d. contra	
4 page 8 of Exhibit 47? 5 A I certainly didn't know this was the	4 anything with CIMA because I don't think I spoke in the meeting.	
6 plan because I never saw this. I was never told 7 of this. I don't know who drafted it. I don't		
	Q It was discussed in a meeting where you were present with CIMA strike that, the ATE	
8 know who the audience was. No idea. 9 Q Did you know that there was a plan to	9 policy was specifically discussed in a meeting	
10 buy \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel by HFP	10 that you were present with the CIMA	
11 and CDO Fund?	11 representatives?	
12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	12 A It was generally discussed when Jan was	
13 THE WITNESS: I knew there was a plan		
14 at some point to buy a policy from Sentinel by the	<ul><li>13 discussing the litigation.</li><li>14 Q There was actually a PowerPoint</li></ul>	
15 ultimate insureds. I didn't know what they were	15 presentation presented by your colleagues in that	
16 paying for that policy.	16 meeting; isn't that true?	
17 BY MR. CLUBOK:	17 A I don't recall.	
18 Q And you know that it was ultimately a	18 Q In any event, did you know that there	
19 \$100 million policy that was purchased from	19 was a \$100 million	
20 Sentinel; correct?	20 When is the first time that you learned	
21 A I don't think I ever knew the policy	21 that the ATE was a \$100 million face value?	
22 amount. I don't even know that I've ever seen the	22 A I don't remember.	
23 policy.	23 Q Howlong ago?	
24 Q You are a 30 percent owner in Sentinel	24 A I don't know. A couple of years ago,	
25 and you never knew what the policy amount was?	25 maybe longer.	
286	25 maybe ronger.	288
1 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	1 Q Okay, so after the policy you found	200
THE WITNESS: I don't think I ever knew	2 after the purchase and	
3 the final policy amount, no.	3 A I still don't recall it being	
4 BY MR. CLUBOK:	4 100 million. I thought it was less, but obviously	
5 Q Did you ever hear it being discussed as	5 my memory is faulty with that.	
6 being a roughly \$100 million ATE policy?	6 Q Sorry, did you ever know it was	
7 A Best of my recollection it was a number	7 100 million?	
8 lower than that, but no, I never knew the final	8 A I can't recall.	
9 amount.	9 Q All right. You knew that the premium	
10 Q What was the number, best of your	10 was going to equal all the assets in the HFP and	
11 recollection, it was?	11 CDO Fund, correct?	
12 A I thought was around 80 million, but	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
13 obviously I was wrong.	13 THE WITNESS: No, as I've testified	
14 Q All right. After the policy was	14 numerous times today, I don't know what was	
15 purchased well, strike that.	15 finally transferred.	
You know the policy was purchased	16 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
17 sometime in approximately August of 2017; correct?	17 Q Well, you knew that the cash from all	
18 A I knew that that was roughly the	18 those entities were transferred; correct?	
19 timeframe, yes.	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
20 Q And by the way, you had a meeting with	THE WITNESS: I did not know what was	
21 CIMA about this policy two years later, right?	21 transferred.	
22 A I had a meeting with CIMA about the	22 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
23 litigation; not about this policy.	23 Q Okay, you knew that all of the cash	
24 Q Well, you specifically discussed the	24 from CDO Fund was transferred as part of the	
25 ATE policy during that 2019 meeting, isn't that	25 overall consideration for the ATE policy that was	
, init time	E DEDOG	

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

73 (289 to 292)

Conducted or	n July 29, 2021
289	291
1 purchase and sent to Sentinel; correct?	1 know what was going on with the case, even though
2 A Not true. I don't know what was	2 they had no involvement.
3 transferred.	3 Q You were there was a this
4 Q You certainly knew that all the cash	4 settlement analysis PowerPoint that I'm showing
5 from the HFP strike that.	5 you here, that's been marked this version of it
6 You knew that the all the cash in the	6 that's been marked as 47, isn't it true that you
7 accounts associated with HFP was transferred to	7 tasked Isaac Leventon and Ms. Vitiello to prepare
8 Sentinel as part of a consideration for purchasing	8 this?
9 the ATE policy in August of 2017, correct?	9 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
10 MS. SMITH: Objection, asked and	THE WITNESS: Not that I remember.
11 answered.	11 BY MR. CLUBOK:
12 THE WITNESS: You can ask it 100	12 Q Isn't it true that you had discussions
13 different ways. I don't know what was transferred	13 in April of 2017 and tasked them with preparing
14 from any entity to Sentinel as part of the premium	14 the UBS settlement structure PowerPoint?
15 or all the premium or a subset of the premium. I	15 A Not that I recall and I don't recall
16 don't know.	16 ever seeing this, so if I tasked them with it,
17 BY MR. CLUBOK:	17 it's strange, they never sent it to me.
18 Q You were specifically told that all the	Q Who, on behalf of CDO Fund, negotiated
19 cash from these funds, HFP and CDO Fund was being	19 the terms of the ATE policy?
20 transferred to Sentinel as partial payment for the	20 A I don't know.
21 policy, weren't you?	Q Do you have any idea can you
MS. SMITH: Objection, form.	22 identify a single human being who was tasked with
23 THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall that.	23 considering CDO Fund's interest in connection with
24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	24 the purchase of the ATE policy?
25 Q Did you ever in words or substance,	25 A No idea.
290	292
1 discuss the concept of substantially all of the	Q Can you identify a single person who
2 assets in HFP and CDO Fund being transferred to	2 was tasked with considering SOHC's interest in
3 satisfy the premium for the ATE policy?	3 connection with the purchase of the ATE policy?
4 A I never had a discussion with anybody	4 No, I cannot.
5 about what's being transferred because I wasn't	5 Q Can you identify a single person who
6 involved with that process and had no knowledge of	6 was tasked with considering Highland Financial
7 what was finally transferred.	7 Partners or HFP's interest in connection with the
8 BY MR. CLUBOK:	8 purchase of the ATE policy?
9 Q Were you responsible for ever reviewing	9 A No I cannot.
10 Sentinel's financial statements?	10 Q Did Mr. Dondero sign off on the ATE
11 A No.	11 policy purchase?
12 Q Did you ever look at any Sentinel	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
13 financial statement ever?	13 (overspeaking)
14 A Never.	14 BY MR. CLUBOK:
15 Q Were you ever asked to weigh in on the	15 Q Sorry, what's the form objection to
16 likelihood of UBS prevailing at trial, after the	16 that?
17 issuance of the ATE policy, but before the result?	MS. SMITH: He's testified multiple
18 A By whom?	18 times that it's compliance that signed off on it.
19 Q By anyone?	19 BY MR. CLUBOK:
20 A I'm sure somebody asked me.	Q Okay. Scott, did Mr. Dondero approve
21 Q Who?	21 the purchase of the ATE policy?
22 A I don't I don't recall who.	A I don't know.
23 Mr. Dondero, I know, asked me several times. I	Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero knew about
24 can't tell you when. I was asked one time by the	24 the purchase of the ATE policy before it was
25 retail fund board out of curiosity they wanted to	25 consummated?

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

74 (293 to 296)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
293	295
1 A Yes, I do.	1 that Mr. Surgent what Mr. Surgent said to you
2 Q How do you know that?	2 in the hallway?
A I'm the one that told him. After	3 A I think I mentioned earlier today that
4 Surgent told me it was going through, I was	4 I remembered Mr. Dondero oddly asking me if it was
5 walking towards Mr. Dondero's office and said	5 still in place a couple of years after it was put
6 Surgent told me it's going through.	6 in place.
7 Q And had you and was that the last	7 Q And that's the only conversation you
8 time you had spoken to Mr. Dondero about the ATE	8 recall ever having with him?
9 policy?	9 A That I remember with any kind of
10 A No, I think I spoke to him about it	10 specificity. I remember talking to him countless
11 infrequently after that, but it wasn't very often.	11 times about trying to settle with you guys.
12 Q What did he say since then about it?	12 Q How was it determined strike that.
13 A Since when?	
	-
14 Q Since that you just said that after	14 other side of Sentinel on behalf of any entity
15 you saw Mr. Surgent and he said it's going to	15 that purchased price of the ATE policy?
16 through or whatever the words you used	16 A I don't know.
17 A Yeah.	Q Who negotiated on behalf of Sentinel
18 Q I might have changed the words.	18 the purchase for the ATE policy?
19 A Yeah.	19 A I don't know.
20 Q And then you went to Mr. Dondero and	20 Q Who negotiated on behalf of Sentinel,
21 what were the exact words you said to him about	21 any term in the policy?
22 the ATE policy?	22 A I don't know.
23 A Surgent just told me that he greenlit	23 Q Who negotiated on behalf of any party
24 or approved, I don't remember what I said,	24 that had any connection to the ATE policy?
25 something to that effect, the ATE policy.	25 A I had absolutely zero transparency to
294	296
1 Q And what did Mr. Dondero say?	1 that process. I don't know.
2 A I don't remember. I don't remember	2 Q Do you know who would know?
3 exuberance or anything; it just seems like it was,	3 A Directors of Sentinel.
4 you know, due course.	4 Q The only people who would know who
5 Q And then at some point after that at	5 negotiated the terms of the ATE policy you can
6 various points after that you tried to talk	6 think of are the independent directors of Sentinel
7 Mr. Dondero into settling the UBS litigation;	7 or all the directors of Sentinel?
8 correct?	8 A Well, the independent directors, I
9 A Many, many times.	9 believe, were the only people that were the
10 Q Did you ever remind him during those	10 directors at the time this was put in place and
11 discussions about the existence of the ATE policy	11 I you had asked me who may know. I would
12 that could be used to help settle the case?	12 assume, being the directors, they would know, but
13 A I don't remember specifically saying	13 you asked me to speculate.
14 that, but I mean that was a known fact. I didn't	14 Q Who were the independent directors at
15 know that I had to remind him.	15 the time that the policy was issued?
16 Q Well, did you ever generally raise or	16 A I don't recall.
17 remind him or strike that.	17 Q Does it ring a bell, Andrew Dean and
18 Did you ever generally bring up the	18 Christopher Watler?
19 existence of the ATE policy that could help	19 A Doesn't ring a bell. I want to say it
	20 was people at Manles FS but I sould be wrong
20 facilitate a settlement you were trying to	20 was people at Maples FS, but I could be wrong.
20 facilitate a settlement you were trying to 21 convince him to enter into with UBS?	21 Q Other than believing those two people
20 facilitate a settlement you were trying to 21 convince him to enter into with UBS? 22 A I don't recall specifically or	21 Q Other than believing those two people 22 would know who negotiated the terms, is there
<ul> <li>20 facilitate a settlement you were trying to</li> <li>21 convince him to enter into with UBS?</li> <li>22 A I don't recall specifically or</li> <li>23 generally bringing it up after that time period.</li> </ul>	21 Q Other than believing those two people 22 would know who negotiated the terms, is there 23 anybody else that you can think of in the world
20 facilitate a settlement you were trying to 21 convince him to enter into with UBS? 22 A I don't recall specifically or	21 Q Other than believing those two people 22 would know who negotiated the terms, is there

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

75 (297 to 300)

Conducted or	1 July 25, 2021
297	299
of the terms of the ATE policy other than	1 (overspeaking)
2 (overspeaking)	2 THE WITNESS: sorry, in the
3 A Maybe Mr. Surgent. Since he was the	3 structure summary, yes.
4 final approver of the transaction, maybe he knows.	4 BY MR. CLUBOK:
5 Q All right. And you think Mr. Surgent	Q And, in fact, the premium did turn out
6 might you think Mr. Surgent might know how the	6 to be all or virtually all of the assets in HFP
7 price and other terms of the ATE policy were set?	7 and CDO Fund, correct?
8 A Potentially. You know, again, you are	8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
9 asking me to speculate. I just I learned it	9 THE WITNESS: I just don't know.
10 was (inaudible).	10 BY MR. CLUBOK:
11 (overspeaking)	Q Did you ever make any effort to
12 Q Is there anybody that you would expect	12 consider whether or not HFP and CDO Fund would
13 in the legal department to know?	13 retain the sufficient ability to satisfy a future
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	14 judgment that might be awarded against them by
15 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't	15 in favor of UBS?
16 know if it was done through counsel. I don't know	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
17 if the firm retained counsel. I just don't know	17 THE WITNESS: No, that was a process
18 how it was done. I literally have no idea.	18 that compliance ran. I was not part of that
19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	19 process.
20 Q So Mr. Leventon sent this email to JP	20 BY MR. CLUBOK:
21 Sevilla in (overspeaking) of 2019	21 Q Compliance ran a process specifically
22 (overspeaking)	22 to consider what ability HFP would have to satisfy
23 A Yes.	23 a potential future judgment in UBS's favor?
Q 2017 This is several months before	24 A Compliance ran the process of approving
25 the policy is purchased, right?	25 this transaction, which I'm assuming would be the
298	300
A 57	
1 A Yes.	1 analysis of that.
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is	<ul><li>1 analysis of that.</li><li>2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor</li></ul>
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy.	<ul> <li>1 analysis of that.</li> <li>2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor</li> <li>3 would I have the skills to analyze what these</li> </ul>
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct.	<ol> <li>analysis of that.</li> <li>I certainly didn't do that analysis nor</li> <li>would I have the skills to analyze what these</li> <li>securities would be worth at some point in the</li> </ol>
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future.
<ol> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And in it he says that that the plan is</li> <li>to buy a \$100 million ATE policy.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And he said</li> <li>A And you said that "he said." I don't</li> </ol>	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK:
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document.	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr.	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction?
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea.
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right?	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct.	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that.
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that. 13 Do you know what the premium was for
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct?	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that. 13 Do you know what the premium was for 14 the policy?
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct? 15 A I'll take your word for it. I'd have	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that. 13 Do you know what the premium was for 14 the policy? 15 A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct? 15 A I'll take your word for it. I'd have 16 to look at the policy to tell you the amount, but	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that. 13 Do you know what the premium was for 14 the policy? 15 A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the 16 policy.
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct? 15 A I'll take your word for it. I'd have 16 to look at the policy to tell you the amount, but 17 if that's what you say it is, okay.	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that. 13 Do you know what the premium was for 14 the policy? 15 A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the 16 policy. 17 Q Do you have any idea if the assets that
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct? 15 A I'll take your word for it. I'd have 16 to look at the policy to tell you the amount, but 17 if that's what you say it is, okay. 18 Q And Mr. Leventon, in this email in	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that. 13 Do you know what the premium was for 14 the policy? 15 A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the 16 policy. 17 Q Do you have any idea if the assets that 18 were used to purchase the policy were a fair
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct? 15 A I'll take your word for it. I'd have 16 to look at the policy to tell you the amount, but 17 if that's what you say it is, okay. 18 Q And Mr. Leventon, in this email in 19 the document that he sends to Mr. Sevilla, also	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that. 13 Do you know what the premium was for 14 the policy? 15 A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the 16 policy. 17 Q Do you have any idea if the assets that 18 were used to purchase the policy were a fair 19 equivalent value for the amount of premium that
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct? 15 A I'll take your word for it. I'd have 16 to look at the policy to tell you the amount, but 17 if that's what you say it is, okay. 18 Q And Mr. Leventon, in this email in 19 the document that he sends to Mr. Sevilla, also 20 strike that.	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that. 13 Do you know what the premium was for 14 the policy? 15 A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the 16 policy. 17 Q Do you have any idea if the assets that 18 were used to purchase the policy were a fair 19 equivalent value for the amount of premium that 20 was due to pay for the policy?
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct? 15 A I'll take your word for it. I'd have 16 to look at the policy to tell you the amount, but 17 if that's what you say it is, okay. 18 Q And Mr. Leventon, in this email in 19 the document that he sends to Mr. Sevilla, also 20 strike that. 21 In the document that Mr. Leventon sends	1 analysis of that. 2 I certainly didn't do that analysis nor 3 would I have the skills to analyze what these 4 securities would be worth at some point in the 5 future. 6 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 Q Did you ever test do you have any 8 idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds 9 were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? 10 A No idea. 11 Q Do you have any idea if there was a 12 strike that. 13 Do you know what the premium was for 14 the policy? 15 A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the 16 policy. 17 Q Do you have any idea if the assets that 18 were used to purchase the policy were a fair 19 equivalent value for the amount of premium that 20 was due to pay for the policy? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection, calls for a
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct? 15 A I'll take your word for it. I'd have 16 to look at the policy to tell you the amount, but 17 if that's what you say it is, okay. 18 Q And Mr. Leventon, in this email in 19 the document that he sends to Mr. Sevilla, also 20 strike that. 21 In the document that Mr. Leventon sends 22 to Sevilla, it also anticipates that the premium	I certainly didn't do that analysis nor would I have the skills to analyze what these securities would be worth at some point in the future. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Did you ever test do you have any idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? A No idea. Q Do you have any idea if there was a strike that. Do you know what the premium was for the policy? A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the folicy. Q Do you have any idea if the assets that were used to purchase the policy were a fair equivalent value for the amount of premium that was due to pay for the policy?  MS. SMITH: Objection, calls for a
Q And in it he says that that the plan is to buy a \$100 million ATE policy.  A Correct. Q And he said A And you said that "he said." I don't know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? A Correct.  Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was hurchased from Sentinel; correct?  A I'll take your word for it. I'd have holook at the policy to tell you the amount, but hit if that's what you say it is, okay.  Q And Mr. Leventon, in this email in he document that he sends to Mr. Sevilla, also strike that. In the document that Mr. Leventon sends hit if the ATE policy will be all the assets in HFP	I certainly didn't do that analysis nor would I have the skills to analyze what these securities would be worth at some point in the future. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Did you ever test do you have any idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? A No idea. Q Do you have any idea if there was a strike that. Do you know what the premium was for the policy? A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the folicy. Q Do you have any idea if the assets that were used to purchase the policy were a fair equivalent value for the amount of premium that was due to pay for the policy? MS. SMITH: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have the
1 A Yes. 2 Q And in it he says that that the plan is 3 to buy a \$100 million ATE policy. 4 A Correct. 5 Q And he said 6 A And you said that "he said." I don't 7 know that Mr. Leventon drafted this document. 8 Q Okay. In the presentation that Mr. 9 Leventon forwards to JP Sevilla it states that 10 step one was for HFP and CDO Fund to buy 11 \$100 million ATE policy from Sentinel, right? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And in fact \$100 million ATE policy was 14 purchased from Sentinel; correct? 15 A I'll take your word for it. I'd have 16 to look at the policy to tell you the amount, but 17 if that's what you say it is, okay. 18 Q And Mr. Leventon, in this email in 19 the document that he sends to Mr. Sevilla, also 20 strike that. 21 In the document that Mr. Leventon sends 22 to Sevilla, it also anticipates that the premium	I certainly didn't do that analysis nor would I have the skills to analyze what these securities would be worth at some point in the future. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Did you ever test do you have any idea what the total assets in HFP and CDO Funds were worth at the time of the ATE transaction? A No idea. Q Do you have any idea if there was a strike that. Do you know what the premium was for the policy? A No, I don't. I'd have to look at the fopolicy. Q Do you have any idea if the assets that were used to purchase the policy were a fair equivalent value for the amount of premium that was due to pay for the policy?  MS. SMITH: Objection, calls for a

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

76 (301 to 304)

	Tury 29, 2021	202
1 Q Did you ask anyone to specifically look	1 specifically prohibited you from engaging in a	303
*	•	
3 that were being used to purchase the ATE policy	3 ATE policy? 4 A No, from me interfering in the process	
4 represented fair value for the purchase of the		
5 policy?	5 he runs as the Chief Compliance Officer, so if I	
6 A No, again, that would be part of, I	6 was going to get my own analysis that, by	
7 would assume, compliance's process and not my job.	7 definition, is interfering.	
8 Q And do you know you say you would	8 Q Okay, I want to be very specific in the	
9 assume, but did you do anything to determine	9 question that you are answering, okay.	
10 whether or not a analysis had been run to see if	10 I asked you said I specifically	
11 the assets being transferred to Sentinel were fair	11 want to ask you about an analysis of a transaction	
12 value in light of the policy that was obtained in	12 like the one that was done to purchase the ATE	
13 exchange?	13 policy with respect to whether or not it	
14 A No and, again, because of SEC guidance	14 constitutes a fraudulent transfer.	
15 that's not my position or right or obligation or,	And my question is whether you were	
16 by design, I'm not supposed to be there asking	16 ever told, in words or substance, that SEC	
17 those questions.	17 guidance prohibited you as the general counsel	
18 Q SEC what SEC guidance prohibits you	18 from considering that question?	
19 from engaging in an analysis as to whether or not	19 A Yes, solely compliance. You can ask it	
20 that transaction constituted a fraudulent	20 a thousand times. Solely compliance.	
21 transfer?	21 Q Okay, and Mr. Surgent told you that	
22 A It's supposed to be the sole	22 there was such SEC guidance.	
23 responsibility of compliance and not due to	23 A Mr. Surgent told everyone compliance	
24 outside influence.	24 runs their own process. If they want knowledge	
25 Q What SEC guidance says that?	25 from you or analysis from you, they'll come and	
302		304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've	1 ask you.	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an	2 Q Do you know anything more specific	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own	2 Q Do you know anything more specific 3 about what Surgent supposedly told you about this	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination.	Q Do you know anything more specific 3 about what Surgent supposedly told you about this 4 supposed SEC guidance?	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and	<ul> <li>Q Do you know anything more specific</li> <li>3 about what Surgent supposedly told you about this</li> <li>4 supposed SEC guidance?</li> <li>5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it.	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr.	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent.	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK:	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer?	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis.	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other that the supposed of the supposed o	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis. 13 SEC guidance, to my understanding, that	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said?  A When Mr. Surgent	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis. 13 SEC guidance, to my understanding, that 14 that is solely the responsibility of compliance	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis. 13 SEC guidance, to my understanding, that 14 that is solely the responsibility of compliance 15 and others don't go and do their own analysis.	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance? MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said? A When Mr. Surgent MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis. 13 SEC guidance, to my understanding, that 14 that is solely the responsibility of compliance 15 and others don't go and do their own analysis. 16 Q No, my specific question was: You said	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr. Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said? A When Mr. Surgent MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis. 13 SEC guidance, to my understanding, that 14 that is solely the responsibility of compliance 15 and others don't go and do their own analysis. 16 Q No, my specific question was: You said 17 that there was SEC guidance that you were told 20	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr.  Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined a process that had to do with compliance, I adhered to what he said.	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis. 13 SEC guidance, to my understanding, that 14 that is solely the responsibility of compliance 15 and others don't go and do their own analysis. 16 Q No, my specific question was: You said 17 that there was SEC guidance that you were told 20 18 times, that supposedly prohibited you from	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr.  Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said? A When Mr. Surgent MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined a process that had to do with compliance, I adhered to what he said. BY MR. CLUBOK:	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis. 13 SEC guidance, to my understanding, that 14 that is solely the responsibility of compliance 15 and others don't go and do their own analysis. 16 Q No, my specific question was: You said 17 that there was SEC guidance that you were told 20 18 times, that supposedly prohibited you from 19 engaging in an analysis of whether or not a	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr.  Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said? A When Mr. Surgent MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined a process that had to do with compliance, I adhered to what he said. BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q Move to strike as nonresponsive.	304
1 A You'd have to ask Mr. Surgent. I've 2 been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an 3 arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own 4 due diligence and they come to a determination. 5 If they want information from me, they come and 6 ask me for it. 7 Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent 8 that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering 9 whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer? 11 A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis. 13 SEC guidance, to my understanding, that 14 that is solely the responsibility of compliance 15 and others don't go and do their own analysis. 16 Q No, my specific question was: You said 17 that there was SEC guidance that you were told 20 18 times, that supposedly prohibited you from 19 engaging in an analysis of whether or not a 20 transaction like the one involving the ATE policy	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr.  Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said? A When Mr. Surgent MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined a process that had to do with compliance, I adhered to what he said. BY MR. CLUBOK:  Q Move to strike as nonresponsive. I am just asking: Did he tell you	304
been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own due diligence and they come to a determination.  If they want information from me, they come and ask me for it.  Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a 10 fraudulent transfer?  A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and 12 asked anybody to perform that analysis.  SEC guidance, to my understanding, that 14 that is solely the responsibility of compliance 15 and others don't go and do their own analysis.  Q No, my specific question was: You said 17 that there was SEC guidance that you were told 20 18 times, that supposedly prohibited you from 19 engaging in an analysis of whether or not a 20 transaction like the one involving the ATE policy 21 constituted a fraudulent transfer?	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr.  Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said? A When Mr. Surgent MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined a process that had to do with compliance, I dahered to what he said. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Move to strike as nonresponsive. I am just asking: Did he tell you anything more specific about the supposed SEC	304
been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own due diligence and they come to a determination.  If they want information from me, they come and ask me for it.  Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a fraudulent transfer?  A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and asked anybody to perform that analysis.  SEC guidance, to my understanding, that that is solely the responsibility of compliance and others don't go and do their own analysis.  Q No, my specific question was: You said that there was SEC guidance that you were told 20 times, that supposedly prohibited you from engaging in an analysis of whether or not a transaction like the one involving the ATE policy constituted a fraudulent transfer?  A Solely responsibility of compliance, it	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr.  Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined a process that had to do with compliance, I adhered to what he said. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Move to strike as nonresponsive. I am just asking: Did he tell you anything more specific about the supposed SEC guidance that you've described, other than what	304
been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own due diligence and they come to a determination.  If they want information from me, they come and ask me for it.  Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a fraudulent transfer?  A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and asked anybody to perform that analysis.  SEC guidance, to my understanding, that that is solely the responsibility of compliance and others don't go and do their own analysis.  Q No, my specific question was: You said that there was SEC guidance that you were told 20 times, that supposedly prohibited you from gengaging in an analysis of whether or not a transaction like the one involving the ATE policy constituted a fraudulent transfer?  A Solely responsibility of compliance, it as not me to generate that analysis.	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr.  Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said? A When Mr. Surgent MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined a process that had to do with compliance, I adhered to what he said. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Move to strike as nonresponsive. I am just asking: Did he tell you anything more specific about the supposed SEC guidance that you've described, other than what	304
been told that 20 times. Compliance deals with an arbiter. Then they do their analysis, their own due diligence and they come to a determination.  If they want information from me, they come and ask me for it.  Q You were told 20 times by Mr. Surgent that SEC guidance prohibited you from considering whether or not the ATE transaction constituted a fraudulent transfer?  A No, Andy, you asked me if I went and asked anybody to perform that analysis.  SEC guidance, to my understanding, that that is solely the responsibility of compliance and others don't go and do their own analysis.  Q No, my specific question was: You said that there was SEC guidance that you were told 20 times, that supposedly prohibited you from engaging in an analysis of whether or not a transaction like the one involving the ATE policy constituted a fraudulent transfer?  A Solely responsibility of compliance, it	Q Do you know anything more specific about what Surgent supposedly told you about this supposed SEC guidance?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: You need to ask Mr.  Surgent. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q No, I'm asking if you know anything more if you can say anything more specific about what Mr. Surgent supposedly told you, other than what you've just said?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: When Mr. Surgent defined a process that had to do with compliance, I adhered to what he said. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Move to strike as nonresponsive. I am just asking: Did he tell you anything more specific about the supposed SEC guidance that you've described, other than what	304

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

77 (305 to 308)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
305	307
1 A No. He didn't given training seminars	1 myself and I was never an investor in HFP and
2 on SEC guidance.	2 never took the deduction with them.
Q Did you have any idea of the rough	3 BY MR. CLUBOK:
4 value of the assets in CDO Fund and SOHC, and HFP	4 Q No, you specifically in settlement
5 at that time frame?	5 discussions mentioned that you knew that HFP had
6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	6 been declared insolvent and that they would have
7 THE WITNESS: No.	7 tax liability if there were assets left after the
8 BY MR. CLUBOK:	8 UBS litigation; isn't that true?
9 Q Have you ever known about the value of	9 A Oh, absolutely. I informed you that I
10 the assets in HFP since strike that.	10 had been told, that there were people that took
11 At some point HFP was declared	11 tax deductions, and if HFP had value past some
12 insolvent; right?	12 date certain it would unwind those tax deductions.
13 A I don't know.	13 What you asked me if I knew if I
	· ·
14 Q You'd know that there was an insolvency	14 knew a letter was sent to those investors, of what
15 letter sent with respect to HFP, right?	15 deductions they took, I have no knowledge of any
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	16 of that.
17 THE WITNESS: I don't know what letters	17 Q Did you ever tell Mr. Seery or the
18 were sent to the investors of HFP.	18 Pachulski firm anything about the likelihood that
19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	19 SOHC would be proven to have been insolvent?
20 Q You knew that HFP had been had told	20 A No, I don't remember having that
21 its investors that was insolvent, correct?	21 discussion with Mr. Seery or Pachulski, no.
22 A No, I don't know what it told its	22 Q Did you know that a position was taken
23 investors. I'm not an HFP investor.	23 by the debtor in the bankruptcy court, that
24 Q You knew that there would be tax	24 suggested that there was uncertainty as to whether
25 consequences if it turned out that HFP were	25 or not insolvency could be proven with respect to
306	308
1 solvent because it were to strike that.	1 HFP, SOHC and CDO Fund?
2 You specifically knew that if HFP were	2 A I don't know what the debtor decided to
3 to prevail against UBS and retain assets after	3 make a determination of those entities. I know
4 2016 that it would create a tax liability for	4 they did their own analysis.
5 Mr. Dondero and others, correct?	5 Q Did you ever provide any information to
6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	6 assist debtor's counsel to determine whether or
7 THE WITNESS: I knew that generally,	7 not UBS would be likely able to show insolvency
8 yes.	8 with respect to HFP, CDO Fund and SOHC?
9 BY MR. CLUBOK:	9 A Me personally, no. I believe they did
10 Q And you knew that because you	10 an evidence and document-based search and did
11 understood in HFP that all those investors,	11 their own analysis is my understanding.
12 including Mr. Dondero had taken what was called a	12 Q Did you ever explain to anyone your
13 worthless stock deduction with respect to their	13 knowledge with respect to the worth did you
14 interest in HFP; correct?	14 ever explain to anyone your knowledge about the
15 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	15 tax deductions that had been taken with respect to
16 THE WITNESS: I don't know what	16 SOHC and HFP and CDO?
17 deductions they took.	17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
18 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
19 Q Okay, you knew that there had been	19 Seery what I discussed with you in settlement
20 deductions taken by Mr. Dondero and others	20 discussions prior to Mr. Seery's involvement, that
21 including yourself, I believe, with respect to the	21 various individuals, including Mr. Dondero had
22 supposed worthlessness of their investment	22 taken these tax deductions, and that I understood
23 interest in HFP; right?	23 that and again this was just told to me, that
24 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
	24 if there was value after a date certain, that it
25 THE WITNESS: I would only know about	24 if there was value after a date certain, that it 25 could jeopardize those tax deductions.

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

78 (309 to 312)

Conducted or	n July 29, 2021
309	311
1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	1 of any of the entities for which Mr. Dondero
2 Q Did you ever tell Mr. Seery that HFP,	2 signed his name to this agreement?
3 CDO Fund and SOHC were ghost funds?	3 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Andy, can you
4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	4 repeat the question? You kind of went out on me.
THE WITNESS: Well, that's namesake	5 I'm sorry.
6 that the offshore community uses with funds with	6 BY MR. CLUBOK:
7 no directors so, yes, I told him that.	7 Q No problem. Mr. Ellington, can you
8 BY MR. CLUBOK:	8 identify a single human being from anywhere in the
9 Q You told him that because they had no	9 Highland organization, who acted on behalf of any
10 directors. Did you ever tell them strike that.	10 of the entities for which Mr. Dondero signed his
Do you know whether or not the ATE	11 name to this agreement?
12 policy would be considered an asset of the	12 A I was not involved in this process.
13 insureds?	13 I had no transparency in the process and the
14 A I have no idea. I don't know I	14 answer is no.
15 don't have any training in how to assess that.	15 Q And sorry, you said you'd never seen
16 Q Did you make any effort to get an	16 this before today?
17 answer to whether or not the ATE policy could be	17 A I said I don't recall seeing it. I
18 considered an asset of the insureds?	18 don't believe I ever have.
19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	19 Q If you take a look at Schedule A, you
20 THE WITNESS: No.	20 see all the assets listed.
21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	21 A I'm sorry, take a look at what?
22 Q Let's look at Exhibit 2. It is a	22 Q Schedule A.
1	
23 seven-page document entitled "Purchase Agreement	A Yes, Schedule A, sorry.  Q You see where it lists assets of the
24 dated August 7th, 2017."  25 Have you ever seen this document	25 various entities.
· ·	
1 before?	312 1 A I do.
2 MS. SMITH: Objection, asked and answered.	Q Including cash in some cases.  A Yes.
	Q Did you know that all these assets were
5 BY MR. CLUBOK:	5 consideration for the purchase agreement?
6 Q You see on the third page where	6 A I see that now.
7 Mr. Dondero signs on behalf of CDO Fund, CDO	7 MS. SMITH: Objection, calls for legal
8 HoldCo, SOHC and CDO Opportunity Master Fund?	8 conclusion.
9 A CDO master, opportunity yes I do.	9 THE WITNESS: I mean, I see it in the
10 Q And do you see where he also signed on	10 document. Obviously, I knew there was some assets
11 behalf of the next page, HFC and HFP?	11 as part of a premium, but these specific assets,
12 A Yes.	12 I had zero knowledge of these specific assets.
13 Q And did Mr. Dondero negotiate the terms	13 BY MR. CLUBOK:
14 of all of these entities?	14 Q Remember the settlement document that I
15 A I don't know.	15 showed you earlier?
16 Q Did you advise Mr. Dondero on behalf of	16 A The what document?
17 any of these entities that he signed on behalf of?	17 Q The settlement structure document that
18 A No.	18 I showed you earlier.
19 Q Did you act in any way on behalf of any	19 A Oh, the PowerPoint presentation?
20 of these entities that Mr. Dondero signed his name	20 Q Yeah.
21 on behalf of?	21 A Yes.
100 4 31 7 4 1 1 11 17	22 Q Was there any version of that that you
22 A No, I was not involved in this process	
23 at all.	23 ever told strike that.
•	

Transcript of Scott Ellington 79 (313 to 316) Conducted on July 29, 2021

313 315 structure in April of 2017, around the time of 1 and how long ago, but I have a pretty good memory. that document? Q Do you remember less than an hour ago when I asked you if you were ever told that cash A Not that I recall, no. Q Let's show you what's behind tab 24. I was transferred from HFP funds and CDO Funds to don't think you have this and we'll call it Sentinel with respect to the ATE policy? whatever the next exhibit is. Could you put that A I do. Q Do you remember saying that you're up. 8 What's the number, Shannon? certain you never knew that? (Deposition Exhibit 88 was marked for A That's not what I said. I said I don't 10 identification.) 10 recall. 11 BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Okay, I'm going to -- I'm going to show 11 12 you what's been marked as Exhibit 89, which is Q So Exhibit 88 is an email from 13 Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon. Previously 13 behind tab 30. 14 we showed you a document that had been marked (Deposition Exhibit 89 was marked for 15 as -- that had a UBS settlement structure. 15 identification.) I think it was like version 9 at that 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 16 17 point. Q This is a one-page document that starts 17 18 This one which is a few days earlier, 18 with Katie Irving sending an email to Carter Chism 19 it says, "UBS settlement structure (SV) maybe that 19 and JP Sevilla with the subject "Sentinel wiring 20 stands for Stephanie Vitiello. 20 info." That gets forwarded by Carter Chism to a 21 And it says: "Thanks for sending. 21 number of people and JP Sevilla then forwards it 22 Based on our discussion with Scott, I started 22 to you. 23 updating the first few slides. I will be in by 8 23 A Okay. 24 tomorrow so we can edit before we meet with Scott 24 Q And we'll have it up here in a second. 25 if you would like." 25 It's Exhibit 89. I am going to make it 314 316 MS. SMITH: Objection. I would like a bigger here in a second, if Nate let's me. That copy of the exhibit before you start questioning is probably too big. him and giving him... 3 I'll go down to the bottom email in the 4 BY MR. CLUBOK: 4 chain. As I mentioned, it is wiring instructions. Q Yeah, sorry, do you have any -- does 5 It says: "Sentinel wire instructions 6 this refresh your recollection that you had for cash arising from transaction below." discussions with Stephanie and Isaac about a UBS And it's the subject of Sentinel wiring settlement structure in April of 2017? info and it's dated August 11, 2017. Do you see 9 that? Q Do you recall ever discussing with them 10 A I do. 11 a plan to start a new company to issue the ATE Q And then there's an email that Carter 11 12 sends to a bunch of people not including you and 12 policy? MS. SMITH: Objection as to form. I'd 13 it says: 14 like to see the exhibit, please. 14 "Please confirm this serves as instruction 15 BY MR. CLUBOK: 15 to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds Q Do you recall ever discussing with them 16 to the account listed in the instructions below." 17 a plan to start a new company to issue the ATE 17 Referencing it's Sentinel. 18 policy? 18 Do you see that? A No. 19 A I do. 19 20 Q Do you consider yourself to have a good 20 Q And this is money that's all wired to 21 memory? 21 Bank of New York Mellon; correct? 22 A Depends. 22 A That's what it looks like, ves. 23 Q Do you consider yourself to have a good 23 Q And the account name is MaplesFS 24 memory? 24 Limited.

PLANET DEPOS

25

A Correct.

A It depends on what we're talking about

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

80 (317 to 320)

Conducted of	• /
317	319
1 Q And it is for Sentinel reinsurance	1 before I am, and Cliff Stoops and Frank Waterhouse
2 Limited, correct?	2 and many others.
3 A Correct.	3 Q Right. Is there a all right.
4 Q And that is all the cash from HFP funds	4 A It also says "wiring info," if you
5 and all CDO Funds, according to this, correct?	5 noticed that.
6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	6 It doesn't say anything unless you
7 THE WITNESS: No, it actually says:	7 read down in the chain of what is being wired, so
8 "Please confirm this serves as instructions to	
9 wire cash from all (the funds) and all CDO Funds."	9 that I would go and (inaudible) into what I
10 BY MR. CLUBOK:	10 received. I don't remember this at all.
11 Q Okay.	11 Q Right, so you get this email that says
12 A Also you've asked me over and over if	12 'Privileged and Confidential, Sentinel wiring
13 Mr. Surgent knew that Sentinel involved in the	13 info."
14 transaction; he's on this email.	14 A Yes.
15 BY MR. CLUBOK:	15 Q And scrolling down just a little bit it
16 Q Right.	16 says:
17 A And he didn't know if it was Sentinel.	17 'Please confirm this serves as instruction
18 That clears that up.	18 to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds
19 Q And then this email's forwarded to you	19 to the account listed in the instructions below."
20 by JP Sevilla and it says "FYI," do you see that?	20 It's not a long email; it's one sentence
21 A I did.	21 under a subject that says in big bold caps,
	22 "Privileged and confidential" and then says in
23 bit	23 bold "Sentinel wiring info."
24 A I didn't shout; I was speaking.	24 A It's not one sentence. It is further
Q Well, we have an audio, so we'll see	25 on in the chain and it supposedly has an
318	320
1 that you raised your voice there.	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time
<ul> <li>that you raised your voice there.</li> <li>A I also leaned in closer to the</li> </ul>	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again,
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again, 4 you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash,
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again,
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again, 4 you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash,
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again, 4 you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, 5 that's not even what this says. This is wire
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the  microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the  instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent.
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that. Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent.  Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded to you and it says FYI.
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent.  Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded to you and it says FYI.  Then there is an email that is
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again, 4 you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, 5 that's not even what this says. This is wire 6 cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's 7 nothing on this email that even says how much cash 8 is being sent. 9 Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded 10 to you and it says FYI. 11 Then there is an email that is 12 forwarded to you that has one sentence that says,
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent. Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded to you and it says FYI. Then there is an email that is forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, Then there is in that true?
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him.	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent.  Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded to you and it says FYI.  Then there is an email that is forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, The is not true because then there's
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him. THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again, 4 you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, 5 that's not even what this says. This is wire 6 cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's 7 nothing on this email that even says how much cash 8 is being sent. 9 Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded 10 to you and it says FYI. 11 Then there is an email that is 12 forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, 13 "Please confirm" etc; isn't that true? 14 A That is not true because then there's 15 from Katie Irving.
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him.  THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I knew all the cash was sent and that's not even	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent. Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded to you and it says FYI. Then there is an email that is forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, The is not true because then there's from Katie Irving.  Q Sorry just
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him.  THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I knew all the cash was sent and that's not even what this email said. Wire cash from all HFP	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent. Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded to you and it says FYI. Then there is an email that is forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, "Please confirm" etc; isn't that true? A That is not true because then there's from Katie Irving. Q Sorry just (overspeaking)
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him.  THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I knew all the cash was sent and that's not even what this email said. Wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO.	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again, 4 you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, 5 that's not even what this says. This is wire 6 cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's 7 nothing on this email that even says how much cash 8 is being sent. 9 Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded 10 to you and it says FYI. 11 Then there is an email that is 12 forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, 13 "Please confirm" etc; isn't that true? 14 A That is not true because then there's 15 from Katie Irving. 16 Q Sorry just 17 (overspeaking) 18 A Chism and JP Sevilla was on the same
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him.  THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I knew all the cash was sent and that's not even what this email said. Wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO. BY MR. CLUBOK:	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again, 4 you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, 5 that's not even what this says. This is wire 6 cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's 7 nothing on this email that even says how much cash 8 is being sent. 9 Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded 10 to you and it says FYI. 11 Then there is an email that is 12 forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, 13 "Please confirm" etc; isn't that true? 14 A That is not true because then there's 15 from Katie Irving. 16 Q Sorry just 17 (overspeaking) 18 A Chism and JP Sevilla was on the same 19 chain. And it is "Sentinel wiring instructions
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him.  THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I knew all the cash was sent and that's not even what this email said. Wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO.  BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Right, first of all	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again, 4 you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, 5 that's not even what this says. This is wire 6 cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's 7 nothing on this email that even says how much cash 8 is being sent. 9 Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded 10 to you and it says FYI. 11 Then there is an email that is 12 forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, 13 "Please confirm" etc; isn't that true? 14 A That is not true because then there's 15 from Katie Irving. 16 Q Sorry just 17 (overspeaking) 18 A Chism and JP Sevilla was on the same 19 chain. And it is "Sentinel wiring instructions 20 from cash arising from transaction" Doesn't
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him.  THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I knew all the cash was sent and that's not even what this email said. Wire cash from all HFP R funds and all CDO. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Right, first of all  R There's is no schedule of what was	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent. Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded to you and it says FYI. Then there is an email that is forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, "Please confirm" etc; isn't that true? A That is not true because then there's from Katie Irving. Q Sorry just (overspeaking) R A Chism and JP Sevilla was on the same chain. And it is "Sentinel wiring instructions from cash arising from transaction" Doesn't say what transaction. "are below, thank you."
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him.  THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I knew all the cash was sent and that's not even what this email said. Wire cash from all HFP knuds and all CDO. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Right, first of all  A There's is no schedule of what was and lice cash' so you	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent. Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded to you and it says FYI. Then there is an email that is forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, "Please confirm" etc; isn't that true? A That is not true because then there's from Katie Irving. Q Sorry just (overspeaking) A Chism and JP Sevilla was on the same chain. And it is "Sentinel wiring instructions from cash arising from transaction" Doesn't say what transaction. "are below, thank you."
1 that you raised your voice there. 2 A I also leaned in closer to the 3 microphone, maybe that was the issue. 4 Q Yeah, well this confirmed the 5 instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and 6 all CDO Funds; do you see that? 7 A Yeah, I see that. 8 Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly 9 were aware of that, correct? 10 A I was aware of it? I don't even know 11 that I read it. 12 MS. SMITH: Objection, that 13 mischaracterizes this. That first email was not 14 sent to him. 15 THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I 16 knew all the cash was sent and that's not even 17 what this email said. Wire cash from all HFP 18 funds and all CDO. 19 BY MR. CLUBOK: 20 Q Right, first of all 21 A There's is no schedule of what was 22 said. It doesn't say "all the cash" so you 23 mischaracterized or your question was different.	1 attachment and furthermore, I got at this time 2 period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when 3 I saw something that is wiring info and, again, 4 you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, 5 that's not even what this says. This is wire 6 cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's 7 nothing on this email that even says how much cash 8 is being sent. 9 Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded 10 to you and it says FYI. 11 Then there is an email that is 12 forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, 13 "Please confirm" etc; isn't that true? 14 A That is not true because then there's 15 from Katie Irving. 16 Q Sorry just 17 (overspeaking) 18 A Chism and JP Sevilla was on the same 19 chain. And it is "Sentinel wiring instructions 20 from cash arising from transaction" Doesn't 21 say what transaction. "are below, thank you." 22 So, no, what you're saying is not true. 23 Q Right, but the I'm sorry, when you
that you raised your voice there.  A I also leaned in closer to the microphone, maybe that was the issue.  Q Yeah, well this confirmed the instruction to wire cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds; do you see that?  A Yeah, I see that.  Q And it's sent to you, so you certainly were aware of that, correct?  A I was aware of it? I don't even know that I read it.  MS. SMITH: Objection, that mischaracterizes this. That first email was not sent to him.  THE WITNESS: Also you asked me if I knew all the cash was sent and that's not even what this email said. Wire cash from all HFP knuds and all CDO. BY MR. CLUBOK: Q Right, first of all  A There's is no schedule of what was and lice cash' so you	attachment and furthermore, I got at this time period, between 400 and 500 emails a day, so when I saw something that is wiring info and, again, you kept asking, I knew, I knew, all the cash, that's not even what this says. This is wire cash. That could be \$5. I don't know. There's nothing on this email that even says how much cash is being sent. Q Looking at this email, it's forwarded to you and it says FYI. Then there is an email that is forwarded to you that has one sentence that says, "Please confirm" etc; isn't that true? A That is not true because then there's from Katie Irving. Q Sorry just (overspeaking) A Chism and JP Sevilla was on the same chain. And it is "Sentinel wiring instructions from cash arising from transaction" Doesn't say what transaction. "are below, thank you."

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

81 (321 to 324)

Conducted or	n July 29, 2021
321	323
1 cash from all HFP funds and all CDO Funds	THE WITNESS: I do.
2 etcetera"	2 BY MR. CLUBOK:
3 Then if you scroll down, you see that	3 Q And Mr. Dondero knew about this too,
4 it's Sentinel wiring info and simply the	4 right?
5 instructions for how to wire the money to	5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
	THE WITH THE CO. N. D. 1. 1. 1.
7 That's the entirety of the substance of	7 what?
8 this email.	8 BY MR. CLUBOK:
9 A Totally agree. It doesn't have an	9 Q Mr. Dondero knew that Sentinel had
10 amount of what was wired or anything or cash I	10 tried to redeem an interest in Multi Strat;
11 don't know if they said \$100 or I don't know if	11 correct?
12 they sent it all. It is completely	12 A I don't know what Mr. Dondero knew and
13 non-informative of what's being sent, other than	13 when he knew it?
14 cash, generally.	14 Q Did you ever refer to the interest in
15 Q You were well aware that there was a	15 Multi Strat being owned by SAS, as opposed to
16 plan to transfer virtually all of the assets from	16 Sentinel in any document, internally?
17 CDO Fund and SOHC and HFP to Sentinel with respect	17 A I'm sorry, you broke up. Did I ever
18 to the ATE policy; isn't that true?	18 what?
19 A No, I do not know what was transferred.	19 Q Do you know if at Highland it was ever
20 I do not know what was contemplated to be	20 record recorded that the interest in Multi Strat
21 transferred in the process.	21 with respect to Sentinel was identified as being
22 Q Okay.	22 with respect to SAS?
23 A As you can tell, when the mechanics	23 A No, not that I'm aware of.
24 were being put here, I'm not included by anyone.	24 Q Would that be a mistake if it was
25 JP decides to send me an FYI, which certainly	25 listed that way?
322	324
1 doesn't say I need to take action or approve	1 A I believe it would be a mistake because
	2 SAS certainly never owned it to my knowledge.
2 anything. 3 Q You are the 30 percent you own	3 Q Do you know anything about well,
4 30 percent of the economic interest of whatever	
*	The state of the s
5 cash was transferred to Sentinel; correct?	5 Strat, as far as you know?
6 A Of the ultimate beneficial ownership	6 A I don't know what Sentinel owns in
7 of entities I'm associated with.	7 Multi Strat today.
8 Q Have you ever received any compensation	8 Q So, you have no idea one way or the
9 in any form from Sentinel?	9 other as to whether Sentinel has any right to any
10 A No.	10 redemption interest it may have claimed with
11 Q Have you ever had a valuation done of	11 respect to Multi Strat, correct?
12 Sentinel since the one we looked at?	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
13 A No.	13 THE WITNESS: I have no opinion, one
14 Q You are aware that one of the interests	14 way or the other. I don't know what Sentinel
15 that was transferred from CDO Fund to Sentinel in	15 owns, that redemption right as to Multi Strat.
16 August 2017 to pay for the insurance policy, was	16 BY MR. CLUBOK:
17 its interest in a Multi Strat fund?	17 Q You had Mr. Leventon working strike
18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	18 that.
19 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know what was	19 Did you ever tell Isaac Leventon that
20 transferred.	20 the ATE policy was Mr. Dondero's idea?
21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	21 A Not that I recall, no.
21 BY MR. CLUBOK:  22	
Q You know that there was a redemption	22 Q Did you ever in July of 2020, do you
Q You know that there was a redemption purportedly by Sentinel with respect to Multi	22 Q Did you ever in July of 2020, do you 23 recall a time when you and Mr. Leventon were
Q You know that there was a redemption	22 Q Did you ever in July of 2020, do you

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

82 (325 to 328)

Conducted or	n July 29, 2021
325	327
1 SOHC?	1 Q Were you responsible for managing
2 A Yes.	2 Sentinel reinsurance and monitoring it?
3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	3 A Managing its portfolio?
4 BY MR. CLUBOK:	4 Q Let's break that down. Were you
5 Q And at that time did you discuss the	5 responsible strike that.
6 ATE policy with Isaac Leventon as to whether or	6 Were you responsible for monitoring
7 not it would be relevant to the to that task?	7 Sentinel reinsurance for you and Mr. Dondero?
8 A Not that I recall.	8 A No.
9 Q Did you and Isaac Leventon do	9 Q Were you responsible for managing
10 everything you possibly could to create a true and	10 Sentinel reinsurance in any way?
11 accurate document-based record of what happened at	11 A No.
12 HFP, SHOC and CDO Fund with respect to their	12 Q Did you negotiate on behalf of HFP with
13 assets since 2009?	13 respect to the purchase agreement that I showed
14 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	14 you?
15 THE WITNESS: We did everything we	15 A No, I was not involved in the purchase
16 could to fulfill the request of DSI, Pachulski,	16 agreement at all.
17 Mr. Seery, etcetera as defined to us.	17 Q Did you ever direct SOHC's legal
18 BY MR. CLUBOK:	18 strategy?
19 Q Please listen to my question and answer	19 A Objection to form.
20 my question and not yours.	20 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
21 Is it true that you and Mr. Leventon	21 THE WITNESS: No.
22 did everything you could do to create a true and	22 BY MR. CLUBOK:
23 accurate document-based record of what happened at	23 Q After Sentinel reinsurance sold the ATE
24 HFP, SOHC and CDO Fund?	24 policy, did you ever did Sentinel ever direct
25 MS. SMITH: Objection to form, asked	25 the legal strategy of the insureds?
326	328
1 and answered.	1 A Of the insureds? That would have been
2 THE WITNESS: As we were tasked, yes.	2 coming from the directors. I don't know what they
3 BY MR. CLUBOK:	3 did.
4 Q Did you ever speak to Dondero about how	4 Q Did you provide any legal advice with
5 to spend Sentinel's cash when it had cash	5 respect to the Sentinel transaction?
6 available?	6 A No.
7 A No.	7 Q Did you give any advice on behalf of
8 Q Did you craft the ATE policy?	8 HCM in any way, in connection with the Sentinel
9 A No.	9 transaction?
10 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	10 A No, I wasn't involved in the process
11 BY MR. CLUBOK:	11 other than the idea.
12 Q Did you describe the ATE policy fully	12 Q Did you give legal advice any party
13 to Mr. Dondero?	13 at all with respect to the Sentinel transaction?
14 A What do you mean by that?	14 A No, other than the idea and the initial
15 Q Did you explain the ATE policy in any	15 couple, three meetings, I wasn't involved.
16 detail to Mr. Dondero?	16 Q Were there any lawyers that you are
117 A No hoogies I didn't know the detail of	
17 A No, because I didn't know the detail of	17 aware of who gave advice with respect to the
18 the ATE policy.	18 Sentinel transaction?
<ul><li>18 the ATE policy.</li><li>19 Q Did you make any efforts to get the ATE</li></ul>	18 Sentinel transaction?  19 A I don't know because I wasn't involved.
<ul><li>18 the ATE policy.</li><li>19 Q Did you make any efforts to get the ATE</li><li>20 policy through the compliance process?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>18 Sentinel transaction?</li> <li>19 A I don't know because I wasn't involved.</li> <li>20 Q Mr. Surgent is not a lawyer; correct?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>18 the ATE policy.</li> <li>19 Q Did you make any efforts to get the ATE</li> <li>20 policy through the compliance process?</li> <li>21 A No.</li> </ul>	18 Sentinel transaction?  19 A I don't know because I wasn't involved.  20 Q Mr. Surgent is not a lawyer; correct?  21 A Mr. Surgent is a lawyer.
<ul> <li>18 the ATE policy.</li> <li>19 Q Did you make any efforts to get the ATE</li> <li>20 policy through the compliance process?</li> <li>21 A No.</li> <li>22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	18 Sentinel transaction?  19 A I don't know because I wasn't involved. 20 Q Mr. Surgent is not a lawyer; correct?  21 A Mr. Surgent is a lawyer. 22 Q Oh, Mr. Surgent is a lawyer. Was Mr.
<ul> <li>18 the ATE policy.</li> <li>19 Q Did you make any efforts to get the ATE</li> <li>20 policy through the compliance process?</li> <li>21 A No.</li> <li>22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> <li>23 BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> </ul>	18 Sentinel transaction?  19 A I don't know because I wasn't involved.  20 Q Mr. Surgent is not a lawyer; correct?  21 A Mr. Surgent is a lawyer.  22 Q Oh, Mr. Surgent is a lawyer. Was Mr.  23 Surgent giving legal advice in his role as chief
<ul> <li>18 the ATE policy.</li> <li>19 Q Did you make any efforts to get the ATE</li> <li>20 policy through the compliance process?</li> <li>21 A No.</li> <li>22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	18 Sentinel transaction?  19 A I don't know because I wasn't involved. 20 Q Mr. Surgent is not a lawyer; correct?  21 A Mr. Surgent is a lawyer. 22 Q Oh, Mr. Surgent is a lawyer. Was Mr.

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

83 (329 to 332)

Conducted of	n July 29, 2021	
1 because I wasn't privy to what he was doing. 2 Q Okay, I'm going to show you what's been	1 working for Highland, you mean? 2 A Yes.	331
3 marked as Exhibit 82. It's tab 36. And I just		
4 want to show you the third page of the document		
5 which is an attachment to the cover email and just	5 A No.	
6 see if you recognize it.	6 Q Do you remember who told you?	
7 That one you should have, I hope.	7 A No.	
8 A Yes, I do have it.	8 Q Do you remember who you ever discussed 9 that issue with, if anyone?	
9 Q And you will see the attachment is a 10 request for redemption of shares, sent by Multi		
11 Strategy Credit Fund, care of SEI Investments,	10 A I don't remember discussing it with 11 anyone?	
12 which we talked about earlier and it purports to	12 Q Where who made the recommendation to	
13 redeem all the Sentinel's reinsurance business in	13 the directors to purchase the ATE policy, as far	
14 Multi Strat; do you see that?	14 as you know?	
15 A I'm sorry, which page? 16 Q It's the third page of Exhibit 82.	15 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
17 It's the attachment to the email. It's a document	17 Q I'm sorry, who made the recommendation	
18 that's got some handwriting on it and it says	18 to the directors of Sentinel to issue the ATE	
19 "Request for Redemption of Shares." Do you see	19 policy, if you know?	
20 that?	20 A Don't know.	
21 A Yes, I do see it. Sorry; they were	21 MS. SMITH: Andy, I don't know about	
22 stuck together.	22 the witness, but I would like to take a break.	
23 Q And have you ever seen this before?	23 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
24 A I do not believe I have.	24 Q Sure, we're getting pretty close to the	
25 Q Do you see that it's not signed, if you	25 end so this is a good time to take a break and I	
330	25 chd so this is a good time to take a steak and i	332
1 go to the next two pages. There's no signatures	1 will try to look through my notes and we'll come	332
2 at all.	2 back for one final session.	
A Yes, I do.	A How long a break?	
4 Q Do you know whose handwriting that is?	4 MR. CLUBOK: Well, let's make it 15	
5 A I do not.	5 minutes and then we'll come back in 15 minutes, if	
6 Q Do you know whether Sentinel ever	6 that's enough time.	
7 redeemed its tried to redeem its interest, if	7 MS. SMITH: Fine. 5:45.	
8 any, in Multi Strat?	8 MR. CLUBOK: And then we'll call it a	
9 MS. SMITH: Object to the form.	9 day after that.	
THE WITNESS: I believe that it did.	THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you.	
11 BY MR. CLUBOK:	11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off	
12 Q Why do you believe that, on what basis?	12 record at 6:30 p.m. Eastern Time.	
13 A Because I think it was listed as a	13 (Break taken from 6:30 p.m. to 6:48	
14 redeemer at some point.	14 p.m.)	
15 I think I saw on a table or something	MR. CLUBOK: Next Exhibit is 90. And	
16 it was listed as a redeemer.	16 the next exhibit will be 38, tab 34.	
17 Q You saw on a table that Sentinel was	17 (Deposition Exhibit 90 was marked for	
18 listed as a redeemer of Multi Strat.	18 identification.)	
19 A I recall that, but I don't have	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment please.	
20 certainty of where I saw it, but I thought maybe I	20 We're going back on the record at 6:48 p.m.	
21 was told they were a redeemer.	21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
22 Q Sorry, you say you saw a document or	22 Q Mr. Ellington, I've got up on the	
23 you were told; which is it?	23 strike that, Mr. Ellington we're looking at	
24 A I'm sorry, Andy, I don't remember.	24 Exhibit 38, which is asset transfer agreement	
25 Q And was this while you were still	25 dated December 31st 2019; do you see that?	

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

84 (333 to 336)

22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 25 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 26 Q BY MR. CLUBOK:	Conducted o	on July 29, 2021
2 Q And December 3 Ist, 2019 was after the 3 bankruptey had already started, right? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And after the bankruptey there's an 6 asset transfer agreement between Sentinel 7 Reinsurance and an entity called Sebastian Clarke; 8 do you see that? 9 A I do. 10 Q And you were you aware of this 11 transsaction? 12 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentiner Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentiner Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors? 22 A I don't (naudible) 23 A I don't (naudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't Know. 25 BYMR. CLUBOK: 36 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 37 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 38 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 49 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 50 BYMR. CLUBOK: 51 Q Will, we previously looked at the SAS 52 G I's true. So, in December, 11 Quily to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 Quily to see if I did. 51 Glarke. 52 Q De you know if Mr. Dondero did? 53 A I don't. 54 A I don't. 55 De you know if the compliance group at 4 Highland was advised about this transaction? 55 De you know the Summit Management 10 Limited is? 51 La No, all I've seen is what you've shown 10 Louisness at I know. 51 Q Do you know the Summit Management 10 Limited is? 52 De you know the Wash at I know. 53 Q Do you know the Summit Management 10 Limited is? 54 A I don't (naudible) 55 PYMR. CLUBOK: 55 De you know the was at I know. 56 De you know the was at I know. 57 Q Do you know the was a like anyone is geneened? 58 De you know the was a like anyone signed. 59 De you know the was a like anyone signed. 50 De you know the was unknew the you have any idea how any dea how any dea how any de		
3 bunkruptcy had already started, right? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And after the bankruptcy there's an 6 asset transfer agreement between Sentinel 7 Reinsurance and an entity called Sebastian Clarke; 8 do you see that? 9 A I do. 12 A No. I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 20 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A I don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't know. 26 A Tou broke up Andy, how come what? 27 A I do. 28 Q For you know that I control is gone that is a compliance? 29 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 20 (a What's that? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 20 opposed to any of the independent directors. 25 A I don't know. 26 A I don't know. 27 A I don't know. 28 A I don't know. 29 Do you know that I was a fluctuary services 29 C Do you know that DiOrio on behalf of the signed this transfer agreement? 20 (a What's that? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 21 Opposed to any of the independent directors. 28 A I don't know. 29 Do you know that I ever know that I e	1 A I do.	1 independent directors in Sentinel?
4 A Ves. 5 Q And after the bankruptcy there's an 6 asset transfer agreement between Sentinel 7 Reinsurance and an entity called Sebastian Clarke; 8 do you see that? 9 A 1 do. 10 Q And you - were you aware of this 11 transaction? 12 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio signed this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors? 23 A I don't (naudible). 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't (naudible). 25 A I don't (naudible). 26 Q Didyou run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 2 I Q Didyou run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 3 MS, SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WTINESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BY MR, CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS, SMITH: Objection to form. 9 A Voul by the I don't in this transaction? 10 Q Why to tell — did you were tell 10 accuments dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 Coll Policy of the Independent directors. 12 BY MR, CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 Highland was advised about this transaction? 5 A I don't think they would have been, but I' 8 An I don't think they would have been, but I' 8 An I don't this transaction? 11 A Again, that's a fiduciary services 12 group in Cayman, as far as How. 12 group in Cayman, as far as How. 13 Q Do you know if there is subset on the stance of the st	2 Q And December 31st, 2019 was after the	2 A I don't.
5 A I don't, but since they're not 6 asset transfer agreement between Sentinel 7 Reinsurance and an entity called Sebastian Clarke; 8 do you see that? 9 A I do. 10 Q And you were you aware of this 11 transaction? 12 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors? 23 A I don't (inaudible) 24 Q Walfs, that? 25 A I don't know. 25 A I don't (inaudible) 26 A I don't (inaudible) 27 A I don't (inaudible) 28 A I don't think they would have been, but I 29 do you know if Mr. Dolection to form. 29 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 30 MS SMITH: Objection to form. 31 He WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 32 A Not I don't. 33 Q Do you know with stransaction as to 19 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 34 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 34 SWITH: Objection to form. 35 HE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 36 Q Sebastian Clarke as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 39 Q Did you trul this transaction by anyone 30 Q Do you know with the SAS 44 structure that listed, 1 believe, Sebastian 45 Clarke. 4 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 45 structure that listed, 1 believe, Sebastian 46 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 47 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 48 Q I's true. So, in December of 2017 you 49 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 41 A Not flat I recall, no. 42 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 43 A I don't. 44 Cy Did you whow any idea well, do you 45 A I don't. 45 A I don't know. 46 Park that DiOrio and the provided with this 47 A Not flat I recall. 48 A I don't. 49 Do you know that Lever knew this took 49 place. I have no memory of this. 50 Do	3 bankruptcy had already started, right?	3 Q Do you know if the compliance group at
6 asset transfer agreement between Sentinel 7 Reinsurance and an entity called Sebastian Clarke; 8 do you see that? 9 A 1 do. 10 Q And you were you aware of this 11 transaction? 12 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 See where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 17 A 1 do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this. 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A 1 don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A 1 don't (inaudible) 25 A 1 don't (inaudible) 26 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 28 MS SMITH: Objection to form. 29 THE WITNESS: No. I don't. I didn't - 20 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 28 PYMR. CLUBOK: 30 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 44 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A Loudd be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 20 Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know with Th. Dondero did? 23 A 1 don't, of don't. 24 Q Do you know with Problement of this transaction by anyone at compliance? 3 MS SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: No that Tm aware of. 5 BYMR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 12 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 2 BYMR. CLUBOK: 10 BYMR. CLUBOK: 11 Q Polyou know that 10 Elimited is? 11 A No, all the compliance group of Sentinel don't. 12 a No, of the independent directors. 13 A loon't. 14 behalf of them signed this transaction by anyone at the what you've shown to be independent of them stransaction by anyone at compliance? 15 A No, all the compliance group of the independent directors? 20 Do you know with the compliance group of the independent directors? 21 Do you	4 A Yes.	4 Highland was advised about this transaction?
7 Reinsurance and an entity called Sebastian Clarke; 8 do you see that? 9 A 1 do. 10 Q And you - were you aware of this 1 transaction? 11 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 12 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 17 A 1 do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 22 A 1 don't (inaudible) 23 A 1 don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A 1 don't know. 25 BYMR. CLUBOK: 26 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 37 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 38 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 40 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 51 BYMR. CLUBOK: 52 BYMR. CLUBOK: 53 A Not don't. 54 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 12 2019 to see if 1 did. 53 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 54 A Lould be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 55 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 55 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 56 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 57 A You have any idea – well, do you	5 Q And after the bankruptcy there's an	5 A I don't, but since they're not
7 Reinsurance and an entity called Sebastian Clarke; 8 do you see that? 9 A 1 do. 10 Q And you - were you aware of this 1 transaction? 11 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 12 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 17 A 1 do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 22 A 1 don't (inaudible) 23 A 1 don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A 1 don't know. 25 BYMR. CLUBOK: 26 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 37 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 38 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 40 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 51 BYMR. CLUBOK: 52 BYMR. CLUBOK: 53 A Not don't. 54 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 12 2019 to see if 1 did. 53 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 54 A Lould be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 55 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 55 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 56 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 57 A You have any idea – well, do you	6 asset transfer agreement between Sentinel	6 regulating or the compliance group of Sentinel or
8 don't know. 9 A I do. 10 Q And you were you aware of this 11 transaction? 12 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentirel Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q Do you know if they if somebody on 14 behalf of them signed this transfer agreement? 15 A. No, all I've seen is what you've shown 16 Sentirel Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q Do you know if they if somebody on 14 behalf of them signed this transfer agreement? 15 A. No, all I've seen is what you've shown 16 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 poyosed to any of the independent directors? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A I don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't finaudible) 25 A I don't thanow. 26 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 27 at compliance? 28 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 39 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 40 THE WITNESS: Not that I maware of. 51 BY MR. CLUBOK: 52 MR. CLUBOK: 53 MR. SMITH: Objection to form. 54 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 55 MY MR. CLUBOK: 56 Q Schastian Clarke is an entity that you 75 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 86 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 97 THE WITNESS: No, I don't have an ability to 89 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 98 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 99 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 100 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Schastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 25 A Jon Jon't. 26 Do you have any idea well, do you		
9 Q Do you know who Summit Management 10 Q And you were you aware of this 11 transaction? 12 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Semtinel Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A I don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't know. 25 BYMR. CLUBOK: 26 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 27 A I don't Gnaudible increase in correct? 28 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 29 A THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BYMR. CLUBOK: 10 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 11 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 Structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 19 lave nenical contensing the first increase in correct? 10 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 21 A No. I all I've seen is what you've shown 16 me and it does not tools like anyou've shown 16 me and it does not tools like anyou've shown 16 me and it does not tools like anyou've shown 16 me and it does not tools like anyou've shown 16 me and it does not tools like anyou've shown 17 Q Do you know if there was any analysis 18 done with respect to this transaction as to 19 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 transfer? 21 La No. III Verseen is what you've shown 16 me and it does not tools like anyou've shown 16 me and it does not tools like anyou've shown 16 me and it does not tools like anyou've shown 17 Q Do you know if there was any analysis 18 done with respect to this transaction as to 19 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 transfer? 21 I MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 I don't even know that I ever knew this took 24 I don't flow. 25 BYMR. CLUBOK: 26 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 27 Matt DiOrio came to be involve		
10 Q And you were you aware of this 11 transaction? 12 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 Q A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A I don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't t (inaudible) 25 A I don't t know. 26 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 2 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BYMR. CLUBOK: 5 BYMR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 12 BYMR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q Did you have any idea well, do you 18 separally held? 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if they if somebody on 14 behalf of them signed this transfer agreement? 15 A No, II I've seen is what you've shown 16 the mail is deast in the signed this; transaction as to 19 do you know if there was any analysis 18 done with respect to this transaction as to 19 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 transfer? 21 I MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I didn't 23 I don't even know that I ever knew this took 24 place. I have no memory of this. 25 BYMR CLUBOK: 26 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 27 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 28 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 39 A No I don't. 4 Q Did you tell did you ever tell 3 anybody about this transaction? 4 Q Did you don't have an ability to 30 A Not hand the cou	1	9 O Do you know who Summit Management
11 transaction?   12 A No, I was not aware of this transaction   13 that I recall.   14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you   14 behalf of them signed this transfer agreement?   15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of   16 Sentinel Reinsurance?   17 A I do.   18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this,   19 as opposed to any of the independent directors?   20 A You broke up Andy, how come what?   21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as   22 opposed to any of the independent directors.   23 A I don't (inaudible)   23 Idon't aware with the compliance?   24 Q What's that?   25 A I don't floaudible)   25 BYMR. CLUBOK:   25 BYMR. CLUBOK:   26 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   27 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   28 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this   33 A No I don't.   34 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS   4 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian   15 Clarke.   16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those   17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017?   18 Q I's true. So, in December of 2017 you   19 have be independent of more of the independent directors.   26 Do you know of the independent directors.   27 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   28 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   29 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   29 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   20 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   20 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   20 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   20 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   20 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   20 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   20 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   20 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   21 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this3   22 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this3   23 A No I don't.   24 Q Walt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   25 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   25 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this3   26 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   26 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how   27 Matt DiOrio, do you h		
12 A No, I was not aware of this transaction 13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Mart DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A I don't (naudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't know.  334 1 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone at compliance? 3 M. S.MITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: Not worthin interpreted to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 A I don't knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 15 Clarke. 14 A Not I don't. 15 Expending the wrong but wasn't those 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q Diy ou know if there was any analysis 18 done with respect to this transaction as to 19 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 to mestive 10 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 to mestive 20 this transaction as to 19 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 to mestive 20 this transaction as to 19 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 to mestive 20 this transaction as to 19 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 to mestive 30 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 to mestive 30 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 to mester 30 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 to mester 30 whether or not it constituted a fraudulent 20 to mester 30 whether one this transaction as to 19 whether or not it constituted a		
13 that I recall. 14 Q Well, turn to the last page. Do you 15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance? 17 A I do. 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 22 A I don't (inaudible) 23 A I don't know. 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't know. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 26 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 27 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 28 MR. SMITH: Objection to form. 29 MR. SMITH: Objection to form. 30 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 31 12 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 34 STAR. CLUBOK: 35 BY MR. CLUBOK: 36 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 37 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 38 MR. SMITH: Objection to form. 39 MR. SMITH: Objection to form. 40 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 41 2019 to see if I did. 41 THE WITNESS: Nould have to see the 42 I structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 43 C Well, we previously looked at the SAS 44 Structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 45 Clarke. 46 Q I's true. So, in December of 2017 you 47 draffings from Deloitte from 2017? 48 Q I's true. So, in December of 2017 you 47 draffings from Deloitte from 2017? 48 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 49 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 40 Do you have any idea well, do you 41 September 1 was gined this transfer agreement? 41 A No., all t't we seen is what you've shown 42 to compliance to this transfer agreement? 41 beat foldes and it does not look like anyone signed. 41 MR. SMITH: Objection to form. 42 Ut substence on the own with reve was any analysis 42 to the matigue on with respect to this transfer agreement? 42 Unaufer ver knew that leves any that you've shown 44 University of the independent directors? 45 BY MR. CLUBOK: 46 Q Sebastian Clarke as an entity that you 47 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 48 No, I don't. 49 Q Did you tell		
14 behalf of them signed this transfer agreement?   15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of     16 Sentinel Reinsurance?	l ·	
15 see where it's signed by Matt DiOrio on behalf of 16 Sentinel Reinsurance?   16 me and it does not look like anyone signed.   17		
16 Sentinel Reinsurance?		
17 Q Do you know if there was any analysis 18 Q And how come Matt DiOrio signed this, 19 as opposed to any of the independent directors? 20 A You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A I don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't know. 26 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 31 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 32 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 33 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 25 A I don't. 26 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 27 THE WITNESS: - I was generally aware 28 the with respect to this transaction to form. 29 Ms. SMITH: Objection to form. 20 I'm Witness: No, I don't. 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any idea how 23 I don't teven know that I ever know this took 24 place. I have no memory of this. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 26 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 27 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 28 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this 3 A No I don't. 4 Q Did you trell did you ever tell 5 anybody about this transaction? 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have an ability to 9 tell. 10 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 asse		
18		
19 as opposed to any of the independent directors?   20		
20 K You broke up Andy, how come what? 21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A I don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't know.  25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  36 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 26 at compliance? 37 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 38 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 (D Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 (D Did you tell DiOrio, do you have any idea how 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 36 (A D Did you tell did you ever tell 3 A No I don't. 3 A No I don't. 4 Q Did you tell did you ever tell 5 anybody about this transaction? 6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have an ability to 9 tell. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Q Do you know do you know that 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 —- (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 MI WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	<u> </u>	_
21 Q Why did Matt DiOrio sign this as 22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A I don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't know. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 26 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 27 at compliance? 28 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 29 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 20 at compliance? 31 A No I don't. 334 Di Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 34 A No I don't. 35 BY MR. CLUBOK: 36 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 37 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 38 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 49 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 40 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 41 2019 to see if I did. 41 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 42 sasets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 43 Clarke at any time? 44 Structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 45 Clarke. 46 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 47 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 48 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 49 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 40 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 41 A Not that I recall, no. 42 Place. I have no memory of this. 44 place. I have no memory of this. 44 place. I have no memory of this. 45 Place Libave no memory of this. 46 A No I don't. 47 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 48 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this 49 Did you tell did you ever tell 49 Did you tell did you ever tell 40 Did you ever tell 40 Did you ever tell 41 Place Min't. 41 A No. I don't. 42 Did you ever tell 43 Place Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 44 place. I have no memory of this. 45 Place Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 45 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 46 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 47 A No I don't. 48 Place Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 48 ANO I don't. 49 Q Do you have any idea how 49 Did you ever tell 40 Did you ever tell 41 Q Did you ever tell 41 Q Did you ever tell 42 Senouth this t		
22 opposed to any of the independent directors. 23 A I don't (inaudible) 24 Q What's that? 25 A I don't know. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 26 A I don't know. 27 Did you run this transaction by anyone 28 at compliance? 29 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 20 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 A No I don't. 22 A No I don't that I'm aware of. 31 A No I don't. 32 A No I don't. 33 A No I don't. 34 PQ Did you wer tell 35 BY MR. CLUBOK: 36 A No I don't. 37 A No I don't. 38 A No I don't. 39 A No I don't. 40 Did you were tell 41 C Did you ever tell 42 D Did you tell did you ever tell 43 SSMITH: Objection to form. 44 PQ Did you tell did you ever tell 45 BY MR. CLUBOK: 46 PQ Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you on the workership interest in, correct? 47 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have an ability to be tell. 48 C DId you tall did you ever tell 49 Did you tell did you ever tell 40 Did you to see if l did. 40 Did you tell did you ever tell 41 Did you tell did you ever tell 42 A Not did have an ability to eve in the brown that the weak the time tell you ever tell 41 Did you had no knowledge whatsoever that 42 D Do you know - do you know that 41 Did you had no knowledge whatsoever that 42 D Do you know - do you know that 43 D Do you know	* **	
23 I don't even know that I ever knew this took 24 place. I have no memory of this. 25 A I don't know. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  1 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 I don't even know that I ever knew this took 24 place. I have no memory of this. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  1 Q Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 2 Matt DiOrio, do you thave to be involved with this 3 A No I don't. 10 Q Matt DiOrio, do you thave any idea how 2 Matt DiOrio, do you thave any idea how 2 Matt DiOrio, do you thave any idea how 2 Matt DiOri		
24 place. I have no memory of this. 25 N Idon't know.  334  Q Did you run this transaction by anyone at compliance? 3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BYMR. CLUBOK:  3 A No I don't. 4 Q Did you vert tell 5 BYMR. CLUBOK:  5 BYMR. CLUBOK:  6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BYMR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't.  24 place. I have no memory of this. 334  34		
25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  334  1 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK: 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 21 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 22 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 23 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 24 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 24 Matt DiOrio, came to be involved with this 25 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 24 Matt DiOrio, came to be involved with this 26 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 24 MR tDiOrio, came to be involved with this 26 Matt DiOrio, came to be involved with this 27 A No I don't. 28 MR. CLUBOK: 29 Did you tell did you ever tell 29 MR. SMITH: Objection to form. 20 BY MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
334 1 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BYMR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BYMR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea how 2 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 2 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this 3 A No I don't. 2 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this 3 A No I don't. 2 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this 3 A No I don't. 4 Q Did you tell did you ever tell 4 Q Did you tell did you ever tell 5 anybody about this transaction? 6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any ability to 9 tell. 10 BYMR. CLUBOK: 11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 21 A I don't. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes.		
1 Q Did you run this transaction by anyone 2 at compliance? 3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK: 25 Matt DiOrio, do you have any idea how 26 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this 27 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this 28 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this 30 A No I don't. 4 Q Did you ever tell 5 A No I don't. 5 anybody about this transaction? 6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any 8 knowledge about it, so I didn't have an ability to 9 tell. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Clarke. 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
2 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this  3 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.  5 BY MR. CLUBOK:  6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you  7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct?  8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  9 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the  10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December,  11 2019 to see if I did.  12 BY MR. CLUBOK:  13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS  14 Not that I recall, no.  15 Clarke.  16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those  17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017?  18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you  19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in  20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer?  21 A No, I don't.  22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did?  23 A I don't.  24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you  25 A I don't.  26 Matt DiOrio came to be involved with this  3 A No I don't.  4 Q Did you tell did you ever tell  5 anybody about this transaction?  6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  8 knowledge about it, so I didn't have an ability to  9 tell.  10 BY MR. CLUBOK:  11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that  12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian  13 Clarke at any time?  14 A Not that I recall, no.  15 Q Do you know do you know that  16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE  17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund  18 generally held?  19 A Yes I was  - (overspeaking)  21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware  23 A I don't.  24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.  BYMR. CLUBOK:  O Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you  have beneficial ownership interest in, correct?  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any  THE WITNESS: No, I didn		
4 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q I's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you  4 Q Did you tell did you ever tell 5 anybody about this transaction? 6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any ablity to 9 tell. 9 tell. 9 Well WITNESS: No, I didn't have any ablity to 9 tell. 9 Well WITNESS: No, I didn't have any ablity to 9 tell. 9 Well WITNESS: No, I didn't have any ablity to 9 tell. 9 You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Q Do you know do you know that 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 A I don't. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	•	
5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 G Do you have any idea well, do you  5 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any shout this transaction? 6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any ability to 9 tell. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Clarke. 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 A I don't. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6 Q Sebastian Clarke is an entity that you 7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you  6 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any 8 knowledge about it, so I didn't have an ability to 9 tell. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Q Do you know do you know that 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
7 have beneficial ownership interest in, correct? 8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you  7 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have any 8 knowledge about it, so I didn't have an ability to 9 tell. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Q Do you know do you know that 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
8 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you  8 knowledge about it, so I didn't have an ability to 9 tell. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Q Do you know do you know that 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 A No, I don't. 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 A I don't. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		-
9 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 25 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 26 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 27 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 28 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 29 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 20 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 21 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 22 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 23 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 24 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 25 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 26 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 27 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 28 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 29 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 20 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 21 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 22 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 23 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 24 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 25 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 26 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 27 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 28 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 29 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 20 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 21 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 22 Policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund		The state of the s
10 documents dated as at the time period 31 December, 11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 25 Sebastian Clarke as at the time period 31 December, 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Q Do you know do you know that 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	-	
11 2019 to see if I did. 12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 21 BY MR. CLUBOK: 22 A Do you had no knowledge whatsoever that 12 assets of Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 13 Clarke at any time? 14 A Not that I recall, no. 15 Q Do you know do you know that 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
12 BY MR. CLUBOK: 13 Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 25 Sebastian CLUBOK: 26 Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 16 Sentinel were transferred to Sebastian 18 Clarke at any time? 19 A Not that I recall, no. 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	<u>-</u>	
13  Q Well, we previously looked at the SAS 14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16  A I could be wrong but wasn't those 16  A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18  Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21  A No, I don't. 22  Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23  A I don't. 24  Q Do you have any idea well, do you 26  Idea at any time? 27  A Not that I recall, no. 28  A Not that I recall, no. 29  It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 20  Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 20  It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 21  A Yes I was 22  Image: Province of the ATE 23  Image: Province of the ATE 24  Image: Province of the ATE 25  Image: Province of the ATE 26  Image: Province of the ATE 27  Image: Province of the ATE 28  Image: Province of the ATE 29  Image: Province of the ATE 29  Image: Province of the ATE 20  Image: Province of the ATE 20  Image: Province of the ATE 21  Image: Province of the ATE 22  Image: Province of the ATE 23  Image: Province of the ATE 24  Image: Province of the ATE 25  Image: Province of the ATE 26  Image: Province of the ATE 27  Image: Province of the ATE 28  Image: Province of the ATE 29  Image: Province of the ATE 29  Image: Province of the ATE 20  Image: Province of the ATE 20  Image: Province of the ATE 20  Image: Province of the ATE 21  Image: Province of the ATE 22  Image: Province of the ATE 23  Image: Province of the ATE 24  Image: Province of the ATE 25  Image: Province of the ATE 26  Image: Province of the ATE 27  Image: Province of the ATE 28  Image: Province of the ATE 29  Image: Province of the ATE 29  Image: Province of the ATE 20  Image: Province of the ATE 20  Image: Province of the ATE 21  Image: Province of the ATE 29  Image: Province of the ATE 20  Image: Province of		
14 structure that listed, I believe, Sebastian 15 Clarke. 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 25 A J do you have any idea well, do you 26 Do you know if Mr. Dondero did you 27 Do you know if Mr. CLUBOK: 28 A No, I don't. 29 Do you have any idea well, do you 20 Do you have any idea well, do you 20 Do you know if Mr. CLUBOK:		
15 Clarke.  16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you  15 Q Do you know do you know that 16 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 18 generally held? 19 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 A I could be wrong but wasn't those 17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017? 18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 26 Sentinel, as part of the purchase of the ATE 27 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund 28 generally held? 29 A Yes I was 20 (overspeaking) 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
17 draftings from Deloitte from 2017?  18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you  19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in  20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer?  21 A No, I don't.  22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did?  23 A I don't.  24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you  17 policy obtained a note from the DAF that CDO Fund  18 generally held?  19 A Yes I was  20 (overspeaking)  21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware  23 of that, yes.  24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
18 Q It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 25 It's true. So, in December of 2017 you 26 generally held? 27 (overspeaking) 28 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 29 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 20 Grathat, yes. 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 Of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	9	
19 have no idea whether you had any economic stake in 20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer? 21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 26 A Yes I was 27 Coverspeaking) 28 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 29 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 29 Of that, yes. 20 Coverage a was 20 Coverage a was 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 Of that, yes. 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	=	* *
20 Sebastian Clarke as at the time of this transfer?  21 A No, I don't.  22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did?  23 A I don't.  24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you  26 (overspeaking)  27 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  28 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware  29 Of that, yes.  20 (overspeaking)  21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware  23 Of that, yes.  24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	<u> </u>	
21 A No, I don't. 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 23 A I don't. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 25 A Do you have any idea well, do you 26 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 27 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 28 of that, yes. 29 BY MR. CLUBOK:	1	
22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero did? 22 THE WITNESS: I was generally aware 23 A I don't. 23 of that, yes. 24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:		` 1 6,
<ul> <li>23 A I don't.</li> <li>24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you</li> <li>23 of that, yes.</li> <li>24 BY MR. CLUBOK:</li> </ul>		
24 Q Do you have any idea well, do you 24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	<u> </u>	
25 know if this transaction was identified to the		24 BY MR. CLUBOK:
23 Know it this transaction was identified to the	25 know if this transaction was identified to the	25 Q Who told you about that?

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

85 (337 to 340)

	1 July 29, 2021
337	339
1 A I believe Mark Patrick told me about it	1 Q Is Sebastian Clarke, do you have any
2 after the fact, that it had been part of the	2 idea if Dondero has any economic interest in the
3 assets transferred, but I can't say that with	3 Sebastian Clarke at all?
4 certainty.	4 A I do not. Let's look at Exhibit 55
5 Q And do you know the economic status of	5 which also happens to be tab 55, by a happy
6 the DAF today?	6 coincidence. That is I think you have that in
7 A No, I do not.	7 the binder in front of you. Hold, please. I do
8 Q Do you know if that note do you know	8 have 55.
9 how DCO fund came to hold a note from the DAF?	9 Q Okay, 55 is an email exchange and
10 A No idea.	10 attaches a unanimous written resolution of the
11 Q Do you know if any assets were ever	11 board of directors of Sentinel Reinsurance with
12 transferred to the CDO Fund to the DAF in exchange	12 respect to the ATE policy. I want you to look at
13 for that note?	13 the last email on the chain which ends with Bates
14 A I do not.	14 number -51.
15 Q Who would have been responsible for	15 A Okay.
16 monitoring that between 2009 and 2017?	16 Q And this is an email from Kim Willey to
17 A Monitoring what?	17 Paul Scrivener and Neil Horner; do you see that?
18 Q Monitoring what happened with CDO	18 A Paul Scrivener and Neil Horner, yes.
19 Fund's assets?	
	19 Q Do you know any of those people?
20 A Oh, I don't know.	20 A I don't know any of those people.
Q Is a note from the DAF worthless?	Q Okay, and then they forwarded Paul
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	22 Scrivener forwards this to JP Sevilla, Lesley
23 THE WITNESS: I don't have any idea of	23 Thompson and a number of other people identified
24 what the DAF can pay or not pay. I don't know.	24 at the bottom of the page that starts with or ends
25 BY MR. CLUBOK:	25 in Bates -50; do you see that?
338	340
1 Q Whose idea was it to include the DAF as	1 A I do.
2 part of the assets that were transferred to	2 Q And this is August 10th:
3 Sentinel?	3 "As requested by JP, please see in email
4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	4 below the advice followed by ASW."
5 THE WITNESS: I think you meant the DAF	5 Do you see that?
6 note?	6 A Yes.
7 BY MR. CLUBOK:	7 Q And Lesley Thompson then writes to JP
8 Q Yes, the DAF note. Sorry.	8 and Katie and that would have been Katie Irving;
9 A I don't know who came up with any of	9 correct?
10 the assets to be transferred to Sentinel.	10 A Umm
11 Q Who had the economic interest in the	11 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
12 DAF, as far as you know?	12 THE WITNESS: Let's see well, it
13 A Charities, but from what I understand,	13 doesn't it just so it doesn't say who it is
14 that's a DAF donor-advised fund, so there was some	14 written to. And above that, JP only responds to
15 type of contribution made and then it's managed, I	15 Lesley. I don't know which Katie it is.
16 believe, by outside entities and then the monies	16 BY MR. CLUBOK:
17 were given away, donated to qualifying charities.	17 Q Was there another Katie that worked
18 Q Did Sentinel ever communicate to CDO	18 with JP in August of 2017, with respect to the ATE
19 HoldCo about a promissory note that it held?	19 policy that you are aware of?
20 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	20 A No, but I don't know that there may be
=	
	21 a Katie at these other entities. I just don't
22 BY MR. CLUBOK:	22 know.
Q When you found out that the DAF note	Q Okay, and Lesley says: "Thank you for
<ul><li>24 had been transferred, did you take any action?</li><li>25 A No.</li></ul>	24 all the information and supporting documentation 25 to the recommendation regarding the new ATE policy

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

86 (341 to 344)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
341	343
1 to be written by Sentinel Reinsurance." [As read.]	1 Q Is that an accurate statement?
2 Do you see that?	2 A Yeah, that's an accurate statement. I
3 A Yes.	3 don't recall having this conversation with JP
4 Q And you see one final question: Can	4 about a fundamental commitment of the shareholders
5 you please confirm that in the event of an adverse	5 both physically and governance-wise, no.
6 loss which exceeds the existing assets equity of	6 Q No, no, no. Is the statement that Mr.
7 the company, the shareholders will inject the	7 Sevilla makes to Lesley Thompson
8 necessary capital in order for the company to meet	8 A Yeah, that's what I'm reading. "The
9 (sic) its obligation and maintain its solvency."	9 shareholders made a fundamental commitment both
10 Do you see that?	10 physically and governance-wise to Sentinel
11 A I do.	11 Reinsurance for the long-term, including the
12 Q And now Mr. Sevilla writes back:	12 situation of adverse loss. Many thanks." [As
13 "Lesley, the shareholders have made a	13 read.]
14 fundamental commitment both fiscally and	_
· ·	14 No, I don't remember having that 15 conversation with him.
15 governance-wise to Sentinel reinsurance for the	
16 long term, including in the situation of an	16 Q And my question is: Notwithstanding
17 adverse loss."	17 that you don't remember having that conversation,
Do you see that?	18 my question is: Is what he is saying here true?
19 A I do.	19 Is it correct that the shareholders for Sentinel
20 Q And that he does copy Katie Irving	20 Reinsurance had made a fundamental commitment,
21 on it; do you see?	21 both physically and governance-wise to settle
22 A Oh, yeah, yeah. I see it now, yes.	22 reinsurance for the long-term, including in the
Q Okay, and that's the subject is	23 situation of an adverse loss?
24 "Sentinel Reinsurance Proposed ATE policy," right?	24 A He could have talked to other
25 A Yes.	25 shareholders. I do not remember talking to him
342	344
1 Q This is August of 2017, shortly before	1 about this, but we surely had a commitment
2 the policy is entered into; right?	2 long-term to the reinsurer.
3 A Yes.	3 Q Okay, you were a shareholder of
4 Q Now, Mr. Sevilla then talked to you	4 Sentinel Reinsurance at the time through entities
5 about how to respond to Lesley Thompson, didn't	5 you controlled; correct?
6 he?	6 A Yes, correct.
7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	7 Q And Mr. Dondero was a shareholder at
8 BY MR. CLUBOK:	8 the time, right?
9 Q Didn't he?	9 A Yes.
10 A Not that I recall, no.	10 Q And can you identify one other single
11 Q When Mr. Sevilla said the shareholders	11 human being in the planet who was a shareholder
12 made a fundamental commitment in this and this	12 that you know of at Sentinel Reinsurance at the
13 word she used, that was based on you authorizing	13 time?
14 him to make that statement, wasn't it?	14 A No, but if the shareholders are
15 A Not that I recall.	15 entities at directors and trustees, he could have
16 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	16 talked to them and not me. He also could have
17 BY MR. CLUBOK:	17 talked to Mr. Dondero who had the controlling
18 Q Did you have any reading this, does	18 70 percent shares either directly or indirectly
19 it refresh your recollection in any way that you	19 held through entities associated with him, and
20 discussed the ATE policy with Mr. Sevilla shortly	20 that would be plenty for him to make this
21 before it was entered into?	21 assessment without ever speaking to me.
22 A No.	22 Q Right. I didn't ask you for any of
23 Q Did you authorize Mr. Sevilla to make	23 those things you just answered.
24 that statement?	24 MS. SMITH: Objection.
25 A Not that I recall.	25 BY MR. CLUBOK:

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

87 (345 to 348)

Conducted of	1 3 di y 2 3 , 2 0 2 1
345	347
1 Q In the final time few minutes,	1 Q If he testified under oath as to who he
2 please listen to my question so I don't have to go	2 spoke to after receiving this email, was that
3 beyond the time.	3 something that you would expect to rely upon?
4 A Okay.	4 A I don't know if Mr. Sevilla has a
5 Q Are you aware of any other human being	5 perfect memory, but I I would not say that he
6 who was a shareholder in Sentinel, through any	6 would purposely not tell the truth.
7 entity they controlled, other than you and	7 Q If Mr. Sevilla said that he was
8 Mr. Dondero as of August 10th, 2017?	8 authorized by you to make this statement, would
9 A You asked me entities who had human	9 that be true or false, as far as you know?
10 beings either as directors or trustees that he	10 A Solely me?
11 could have spoken to, not (overspeaking)	11 Q Solely you.
12 (inaudible) Mr. Dondero.	12 A I don't see how he could be bound by
13 Q Is there a human being you can name	13 me, since Mr. Dondero or his related entities
14 right now who is a shareholder of an ultimate	14 owned twice what I did.
15 shareholder, like you and Mr. Dondero were, of the	15 Q Okay, let's forget about "solely".
16 economic interest or other than you and	16 Maybe he asked both you and Mr. Dondero. But
17 Mr. Dondero, that you can name?	17 would it be true if Mr. Sevilla testified that you
18 Is there another human being that you	18 did authorize him to make this statement on your
19 can name? Is there another human being you can	19 behalf?
20 name who was a shareholder?	20 A I would say that that's true to Mr.
21 A Me, personally, but I don't have any	21 Sevilla's memory. I do not have a memory of ever
22 transparency of who how Mr. Dondero could have	22 speaking to him about this issue.
23 (inaudible) this.	Q Okay, in August of 2017 had you made a
24 Q I didn't ask you that question, okay?	24 fundamental commitment, both physically and
25 And this is where like, we're in the last	25 governance-wise to Sentinel Reinsurance for the
346	348
1 hopefully the last hour and I don't want to have	1 long-term, including in the situation of an
2 to ask you questions that you don't answer them	2 adverse loss.
3 and then I will take more time.	3 A No, I've not made a commitment to
4 (overspeaking)	4 adverse loss, which is the question she'd answered
5 A I'm trying my best to answer. That I	5 at the bottom and I don't know if Mr. Sevilla even
6 personally know of, no.	answered her question because he doesn't say,
7 (overspeaking)	7 "We'll inject the necessary" If he had that
8 MS. SMITH: Mr. Clubok, you are	8 conversation with Mr. Dondero, that certainly
9 starting to yell at the witness.	9 didn't (inaudible) to my knowledge.
10 BY MR. CLUBOK:	10 Q Again, I'd like to you answer the
11 Q Mr. Ellington	11 question that I've asked you. You just added a
12 A Yes.	12 lot of information that may or may not be useful,
Q can you name a human being that	13 but I'm going to ask you carefully to listen to my
14 you're aware of who was a shareholder of Sentinel	14 question, please, and just answer my question.
15 as of August 10th, 2017 other than you and	15 A Okay.
16 Mr. Dondero?	16 Q Is it true that as of August 10th,
17 A That I know of? No.	17 2017, you had made a fundamental commitment both
18 Q Okay. And do you know of any person	18 physically and governance-wise to Sentinel
19 that Mr. Sevilla actually spoke to, other than	19 Reinsurance for the long-term, including in a
20 speculated who he might have spoken to?	20 situation of an adverse loss, true or false?
21 A I can only say he didn't speak to me,	21 A No. No.
22 to my recollection, about any of this.	Q And then Katie Irving, if you go to the
23 Q If Mr. Sevilla is Mr. Sevilla an	23 top of this email chain, then forwards on the
24 honest person?	24 Sentinel Reinsurance proposed ATE policy to Helen
25 A I find him to be, yes.	25 Kim and requests JD execution of the attached; do

88 (349 to 352)

Conducted on July 29, 2021			
349	1 DVAD CLUDOV		
1 you see that?	1 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
2 A I do.	Q Okay, but you don't remember giving him		
Q And JD means Jim Dondero; right?	3 authority to make the statement that he made to		
4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	4 Lesley Thompson in the email that's 4:18 p.m		
5 BY MR. CLUBOK:	5 August 10, 2017 in Exhibit 55; correct?		
6 Q People call Jim Dondero "JD" in emails	6 A No, but, again, if Mr. Dondero gave him		
7 like this, right?	7 that my approval or (inaudible)		
8 A Yes, just like they call people other	8 MS. SMITH: Objection.		
9 shorthand, yes.	9 BY MR. CLUBOK:		
10 Q Yes, and we see that the we know the	10 Q Stop, stop, stop. Two things. Number		
11 attached does bear Mr. Dondero's signature	11 one, I didn't ask you about Mr. Dondero, so I just		
12 strike that.	12 move to strike and I'm going to ask you one more		
We saw another document where	13 time, please for the remaining hour, just answer		
14 Mr. Dondero had signed the purchase agreement on 15 behalf of a number of entities; correct?	14 my question. And the judge has repeatedly 15 you've been there in court when the judge has		
	16 stopped people from doing that, and I'm going to		
16 A Yeah, but this entity is not signed by 17 Mr. Dondero, only by the directors or a director.	17 ask you now please do not do that for the short		
18 Q Yeah.	18 remaining time we have left.		
19 A Sorry, the directors, my apologies.	19 Second of all, this is one where you		
20 Q And any was there any final	20 said "no" and I think you meant "yes," so please		
21 strike that.	21 just listen to my question. You were so quick to		
22 In around this timeframe, was there any	22 jump in with the Dondero thing, that that's one of		
23 final discussion with you and Mr. Dondero about	23 the reasons why I think you said "no" instead of		
24 the policy or the purchase agreement?	24 just answering my question, so I'm going to jut		
25 A No.	25 ask you, please, listen to my question and just		
350	352		
1 Q Are you as sure of that as you were	1 answer this.		
2 that you didn't have a strike that.	2 Is it true that you did not give Mr.		
3 Are you saying you don't remember that	3 Sevilla authority to make the statement he made on		
4 or you're sure you didn't have that conversation?	4 your behalf in an email that's time-stamped		
5 A I don't recall any conversation with	5 4:18 p.m. to Lesley Thompson as reflected in		
6 Mr. Dondero about the purchase agreement or the	6 Exhibit 55?		
7 policy. Again, I wasn't involved in this process,	7 A Well, first of all, Mr. Clubok, I don't		
8 so I wouldn't even have anything to talk to him	8 know whether he makes it on my behalf. He doesn't		
9 about.	9 say my name, so I think that, in itself, I don't		
10 Q Okay, and are you sure strike that.	10 agree with. He doesn't say I said it.		
11 Are you just saying you don't recall one way or	11 Q No, Mr		
12 the other or are you pretty certain you did not	12 (overspeaking)		
13 talk to Mr. Dondero in this timeframe?	13 A You said made on my		
14 A I don't recall one way or the other.	14 (overspeaking)		
15 Q And same thing with Mr. Sevilla, are	15 Q Mr. Ellington		
16 you saying that you are pretty sure you didn't	16 (overspeaking)		
17 give him authority to make that statement on your	17 A Sorry, I'm still speaking.		
18 behalf or are you saying you don't remember, one	18 (overspeaking)		
19 way or the other if you did it?	19 Q Stop that. That's		
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	20 (overspeaking)		
21 THE WITNESS: I do not have any	21 A on my behalf. He doesn't make		
22 recollection nor do I believe that I would have	22 (overspeaking)		
23 given him a guarantee of a situation of adverse	Q Hold on. I didn't ask what the email		
24 loss, but, again, if Mr. Dondero gave him that,	24 says about whether he		
25 what I said was irrelevant.	25 (overspeaking)		

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

89 (353 to 356)

1 A Yeah you did. You asked me -   2 Q No, no, no, - (overspeaking) -   4 A - If the statement which Mr. Sevilla   5 made to Lesley was a true statement on my behalf,   6 made on my behalf,   7 Q No, listen to my question one more   8 time, okay, We can all see the statement that Mr.   8 sevilla made, the words that he made on 4:18 p.m.   10 August 10, 2017 to Mr. Thompson, as reflected in   11 Eshibit 55. You see that those words, without   2 me repeating them again, correct?   13 A 1 do.   14 Q My simple question is this: Did you   13 August 10, 2017 to Mr. Thompson, as reflected in   11 Eshibit 55. You see that those words, without   2 me repeating them again, correct?   13 A 1 do.   14 Q My simple question is this: Did you   14 Q My simple question is this: Did you   15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on   16 your behalf?   17 A No.   18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or   19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other   20 whether you authorized that   21 me,   22 mad answered.   23 THE WITNESS: Po answered you twice.   24 MR. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked   24 and answered.   25 MR. CLUBOK:   26 A No.   30 Q Were you aware of this request?   27 A 1 do.   28 Were you aware of this request?   28 A No.   356 U Q Were you aware of this request?   28 A No.   360 U Q Were you aware of this request   360 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you given any task in connection   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you given any task in connection   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aware of this request   376 U Q Were you aw	Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
2 Q No, no, no.  — (overspeaking) —  4 A — If the statement which Mr. Sevilla  5 made to Lesley was a true statement on my behalf,  6 made on my behalf,  7 Q No, listen to my question one more  8 time, okay. We can all see the statement that Mr.  9 Sevilla made, the words that he made on 4:18 p.m.  10 August 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in 11 Fabilit 5.7, Vou see that — those words, without 12 me repeating them again, correct?  3 A I do.  14 Q My simple question is this: Did you 15 authorize Mr. Svilla make that statement on 16 your behalf?  17 A No.  18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that?  21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered.  22 and answered.  31 THE WITINESS: Pve answered you twice.  24 I'll amswer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  32 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the authority to make that statement on your behalf without checking with you?  4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered.  5 PYMR. CLUBOK:  32 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance?  12 A No.  13 Q L		
4 A	·	
4 A if the statement which Mr. Sevilla 5 made to Lesley was a true statement on my behalf, 6 made on my behalf, 7 Q No, listent to my question one more 8 time, okay. We can all see the statement that Mr. 9 Sevilla made, the words that he made on 4:18 p.m. 10 August 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in 11 Exhibit 55. You see that those words, without 12 me repeating them again, correct? 13 A 1 do. 14 Q My simple question is this: Did you 15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on 16 your behalf? 17 A No. 18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 23 and answered. 5 MY. CLUBOK: 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 5 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. The Highland Capital Management." 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management." 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management." 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management." 16 Do you see that? 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Veah, Exhibit 69 a copy of what's 10 itled "UBSS first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 21 Do you see that? 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 34 Significant demoloyees to try 35 to meet thas teatement on the Highland Capital Management." 25 Do you see that? 26 Do jou were tasked with any of these 27 Index the request for production of 21 production of documents to Highland Capital Management." 28 Do you see that? 29 Do you see that? 20 Do you see that? 20 Do you see that? 21 A No. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those		
Semands to Lesley was a true statement on my behalf, 6 made on my behalf, 6 made on my behalf, 7 Q No, listen to my question one more 8 time, okay. We can all see the statement that Mr. 8 Sevilla made, the words that he made on 41 l8 p.m. 10 August 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in 11 Exhibit 55. You see that those words, without 12 me repeating them again, correct?		3 this request before today; is that what you're
6 made on my behalf. 7 Q No, listen to my question one more 8 time, okay. We can all see the statement that Mr. 9 Sevilla made, the words that he made on 4:18 p.m. 10 August 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in 11 Exhibit 55. You see that – those words, without 12 me repeating them again, correct? 13 A 1 do. 14 Q My simple question is this: Did you 15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on 16 your behalf? 17 A No. 18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY Mr. CLUBOK: 25 BY Mr. CLUBOK: 25 BY Mr. CLUBOK: 354 1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the authority to make that statement on your behalf' 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 354 1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the authority to make that statement on your behalf' 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 354 1 Q Commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 354 1 Q Commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 354 1 Q Commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 355 136 Cument either. 14 A I don't know if Isaac saw it or not but 15 very commonly we were told what to go and retrieve 16 or search for without the actual document request. 17 Q Okay, but you just said 'we' were never 18 given this request for production of documents? 21 request for production of documents? 22 a I Idon't know because I never reviewed 23 all their emails. 24 Q Were you given any task in connection 25 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A P d have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 11 production of documents to Highland Capital?	4 A if the statement which Mr. Sevilla	4 saying?
time, okay. We can all see the statement that Mr. Sevilla made, the words that he made on 4:18 p.m. 10 August 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in 1Exhibit 55. You see that - those words, without 12 me repeating them again, correct? 13 A I do. 14 Q My simple question is this: Did you 15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on 16 your behalt? 17 A No. 18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answery you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 1 Q Okay, Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 1 Q Okay Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 1 Q Okay Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 1 Q Okay Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Ler's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 5 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Weah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of whar's 20 tilded "UBS's first request for production of documents to Highland Capital Management." 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 16 I've year and year to the production of comments to Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 Q Uid yer receive a copies of those	5 made to Lesley was a true statement on my behalf,	5 A No, but the request that you and others
8 time, okay. We can all see the statement that Mr. 9 Sevilla made, the words that he made on 4:18 p.m. 10 August 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in 11 Exhibit 55. You see that those words, without 12 me repeating them again, correct? 13 A I do. 14 Q My simple question is this: Did you 15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on 16 your behalf? 17 A No. 18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answer you again, No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 354  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that stattement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 3 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production of debtor Highland Capital Management. 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 I's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 20 Do you see that? 21 A I do. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those 25 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 26 Do you see that? 27 Do you see that? 28 Q Did you receive a copies of those	6 made on my behalf.	6 made would go to presumably Pachulski and then
9   Sevilla made, the words that he made on 4:18 p.m.     10 August 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in 1 Exhibit 55. You see that — those words, without 12 me repeating them again, correct?     13	7 Q No, listen to my question one more	7 they were tasked to the Highland employees to try
10 Agusst 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in 11 Exhibit 55. You see that — those words, without 2 me repeating them again, correct?   13	8 time, okay. We can all see the statement that Mr.	8 to meet those requests but we were never given the
10 Agusst 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in 11 Exhibit 55. You see that — those words, without 2 me repeating them again, correct?   13	9 Sevilla made, the words that he made on 4:18 p.m	9 direct document request.
11 Exhibit 55. You see that those words, without 12 me repeating them again, correct? 12 Q You say Isaac Leventon never saw this 13 document either. 14 Q My simple question is this: Did you 15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on 16 your behalf? 17 A No. 18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 25 A No. 19 Were you given any task in connection without checking with you? 3 beat identified as Exhibit 69? 4 I'd have repeated that? 3 without checking with you? 3 beat answered. 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 5 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you vere give anyone authority to speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I Ido. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those	10 August 10, 2017 to Ms. Thompson, as reflected in	10 Q Whose the "We" in that statement?
12 Me repeating them again, correct?   12 Q You say Isaac Leventon never saw this   13 document either.   14 A I don't know if Isaac saw it or not but   15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on   16 your behalf?   17 A No.   18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or   19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other   19 whether you authorized that?   17 Q Okay, but you just said 'we''were never   18 given this request.   17 Q Okay, but you just said 'we''were never   18 given this request.   19 Are you saying that no one in the   20 Highland legal department ever received this   21 request for production of documents?   22 A I don't know because I never reviewed   23 If their mails.   24 Q Were you aware of this request?   25 A No.   25 Were you aware of this request?   25 A No.   354   1 Q Were you given any task in connection   356   24 Q Were you given any task in connection   356   25 A No.   356   26 Were you given any task in connection   27 with responding to the document request that's   3 been identified as Eshibit 69?   4 A I'd have to read the entire request and   5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these   6 items.   7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember   8 going forward until the end of the of your   9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember   90 tenure with Highland? Do you remember   12 MS, SMITH: Objection to form.   13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of   1 tenure with Highland? Do you remember   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   12 year, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's   13 year, Exhibit 69 is a cop	11 Exhibit 55. You see that those words, without	11 A The Highland employees.
13 document either.     14 Q My simple question is this: Did you     15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on     16 your behalf?     17 A No.     18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or     19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other     20 whether you authorized that?     21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked     22 and answered.     23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice.     24 Pl lanswer you again. No. Certain of it.     25 BY MR. CLUBOK:     1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the     2 authority to make that statement on your behalf     3 without checking with you?     4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked     5 and answered.     6 THE WITNESS: No.     7 BY MR. CLUBOK:     8 Q Did you vere give anyone authority to     9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any     10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel     11 Reinsurance?     2 A No.     12 A No.     13 document either.     4 A I don't know if Isaac saw if or not but     15 very commonly we were told what to go and retrieve     16 or search for without the actual document request.     17 Q Okay, but you just said "we" were never     18 given this request.     19 Are you saying that no one in the     20 Highland leagle department ever received this     21 request for production of documents?     22 A No.     3 G Were you aware of this request for     4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked     5 and answered.     6 THE WITNESS: No.     7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember     8 going forward until the end of the — of your     9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being     10 tasked with helping to respond to request for     11 production of debtor Highland Capital Management.     12 A No.     13 document to either.     16 or request for wolusteria     18 yeven this request for wolusteria     19 A I don't know tiftout heart all we were rever leve     20 Were you saying that no one in the     20 Were you aware of this request for     21 Were you aware of this request for     22 Were you given any task in c	12 me repeating them again, correct?	
14 A I don't know if Isaac saw it or not but 15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on 16 your behalf? 17 A No. 18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 PII answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK:  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production of debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 given this request. 17 Q Okay, but you just said "we" were never 18 given this request. 19 Are you saying that no one in the 20 Highland legal department ever received this 21 request for production of documents? 21 A I lon't know because I never 22 A I lon't know because I never 24 Pl Were you given any task in connection 25 A No.  1 Q Were you given any task in connection 25 been identified as Exhibit 69?  4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 25 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 26 items. 27 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 28 going forward until the end of documents of the of your 29 tenure with Highland? Do you remember 20 tenure with Highland? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Were you given any task in connection 25 A No. 2		
15 authorize Mr. Sevilla to make that statement on 16 your behalf?  17 A No.  18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that?  21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered.  23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice.  24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it.  25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  10 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 24 multiput to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you?  4 M. S. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 3 and answered.  6 THE WITNESS: No.  7 BY MR. CLUBOK:  8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance?  11 Reinsurance?  12 A No.  13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that?  12 D you see that?  23 A I do.  24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  15 very commonly we were told what to go and retrieve 16 or search for without the actual document request.  17 Q Okay, but you just said "we" were never  18 given this request.  19 Are you saying that no one in the  20 Highland legal department ever received this  21 request for production of documents?  22 A I don't know because I never reviewed  23 all their emails.  24 Q Were you aware of this request?  25 A No.  356  1 Q Were you given any task in connection  2 with responding to the document request that's  3 been identified as Exhibit 69?  4 A I'd have to read the entire request and  5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these  6 items.  7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember  8 going forward until the end of the of your  9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember  10 tasked with helping to respond to request for  11 production of documents to Highland Capital Management.  12 MS SMITH: Objection to form.  13 THE WITNESS:		
16 or search for without the actual document request.     17 A No.     18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or     19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other     20 whether you authorized that?     21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked     22 and answered.     23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice.     24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it.     25 BYMR. CLUBOK:     1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the     2 authority to make that statement on your behalf     3 without checking with you?     4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked     5 and answered.     6 THE WITNESS: No.     7 BYMR. CLUBOK:     8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to     9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any     10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel     11 Reinsurance?     12 A No.     13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab     14 44. These are UBS's first request for document     15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management.     16 it's the first formal document request that was     17 Rank you saying that no one in the     20 Highland legal department ever received this     21 request for production of documents     22 A I don't know because I never reviewed     23 all their emalls.     24 Q Were you aware of this request?     25 A No.     1 Q Were you given any task in connection     2 with responding to the document request that's     3 been identified as Exhibit 69?     4 A I'd have to read the entire request and     5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these     6 items.     7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember     8 going forward until the end of the of your     9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember     10 tasked with helping to respond to request for     10 tasked with helping to respond to request for     10 tasked with helping to production of documents to debtor Highland Capital Management.     10 tasked with helping to production of HPP,     10 Well, irs pokenial field the end of the of your     11 production of documents     12 MS. SMITH: Objection to		
17 A No. 18 Q Thank you. Are you sure about that or 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 26 A No. 27 Were you given any task in connection with responding to the document request that's with responding to the document request that's with responding to the document request that's been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and remember of the mount of the sent in the sponding to the document request that's with responding to t		, ,
18 given this request. 19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 26 A No. 27 BY MR. S.MITH: Objection to form. Asked 28 and answered. 29 authority to make that statement on your behalf 30 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 4 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 15 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 10 Do you see that? 21 A I do. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Were you saying that no one in the 20 Highland legal department ever received this 21 request for production of documents? 21 request for production of documents? 22 A No. 23 A I do. 24 Q Were you aware of this request? 25 A No. 26 Were you given any task in connection 2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request that's 1 remember 19 undentified as Exhibit 69? 24 A No. 356 34 I' Q Were you aware of this request? 35 A No. 360 34 I' Q Were you aware of this request. 36 Pure you given any task in connection 3 with responding to the document request that's 2 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember 11 was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 37		
19 is it just you don't remember one way or the other 20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 25 BY MR. CLUBOK: 354  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  19 Are you saying that no one in the 20 Highland legal department ever received this 21 request for production of documents? 22 A I don't know because I never reviewed 23 all their emails. 24 Q Were you aware of this request? 25 A No.  1 Q Were you given any task in connection 2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's l		
20 whether you authorized that? 21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK:  2 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Iid's request number 8 ever received this 21 request for production of documents? 22 A I do't know because I never received 23 all their emails. 24 Q Were you aware of this request? 25 A No.  1 Q Were you given any task in connection 2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 document		
21 request for production of documents?  22 a I Idon't know because I never reviewed  23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice.  24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it.  25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  25 A No.  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q I's request for production of documents? 22 Do you see that? 24 Q Were you given any task in connection 2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request mumber 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request for nordiction of		
22 and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes 1 see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 21 A I do. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Were you aware of this request? 25 A No.  26 Were you given any task in connection 2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HIP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page	The state of the s	
23 THE WITNESS: I've answered you twice. 24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  354  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  23 all their emails. 24 Q Were you aware of this request? 25 A No.  356  1 Q Were you given any task in connection 2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those	-	
24 I'll answer you again. No. Certain of it. 25 BY MR. CLUBOK:  354  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Were you aware of this request? 25 A No. 356  1 Q Were you aware of this request? 25 A No. 356  1 Q Were you aware of this request? 25 A No. 356  1 Q Were you aware of this request hav so. 2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you		
25 BY MR. CLUBÖK:  1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 11 Reinsurance? 11 Rough a No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 21 A Ido. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  25 A No. 354  1 Q Were you given any task in connection 22 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 Ms. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those	-	
1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 to you see that? 2 MS ere you given any task in connection 2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital Management. 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  1 Q Were you given any task in connection 2 with responding to the document request and 5 heremeber if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE W		
1 Q Okay. Did Mr. Sevilla have the 2 authority to make that statement on your behalf 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 to you see that? 21 A I do. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  1 Q Were you given any task in connection 2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those		
2 with responding to the document request that's 3 without checking with you? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 21 Do you see that? 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  2 with responding to the document request that's 3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those		
3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 21 Do you see that? 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  3 been identified as Exhibit 69? 4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those		
4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  4 A I'd have to read the entire request and 5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those		
5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  5 remember if I was ever tasked with any of these 6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those		
6 items. 7 BY MR. CLUBOK: 8 Q Did you ever give anyone authority to 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  6 items. 7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to		_
7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  7 Q Well, in September 2020 do you remember 8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		
8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  8 going forward until the end of the of your 9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		
9 speak on your behalf with respect to what, if any 10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  9 tenure with Highland? Do you remember ever being 10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		
10 commitments you were going to make Sentinel 11 Reinsurance? 12 A No. 13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  10 tasked with helping to respond to request for 11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those		
11 Reinsurance?  12 A No.  13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab  14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  11 production of documents to Highland Capital? 12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those		,
12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.  13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 69, which is tab 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 10 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management. 11 a Request number 8, which is all documents 12 Do you see that? 13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		
13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  13 THE WITNESS: Dozens upon dozens of 14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		
14 44. These are UBS's first request for document 15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  14 requests from Pachulski, DSI, the creditor's 15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		
15 production for debtor Highland Capital Management. 16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that? 18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  15 committee, individual creditors, yes. 16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		_
16 It's the first formal document request that was 17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that?  18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  16 BY MR. CLUBOK: 17 Q Well, let's look specifically at 18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page	*	
17 made dated September 28th, 2020. Do you see that?  18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry.  19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's  20 titled "UBS's first request for production of  21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management."  22 Do you see that?  23 A I do.  24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  17 Q Well, let's look specifically at  18 request number 8, which is all documents  19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP,  20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to."  21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts.  22 Do you see that request?  23 A I do.  24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		
18 A Number 69? Oh, yes I see it. Sorry. 19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  18 request number 8, which is all documents 19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page	÷	
19 Q Yeah, Exhibit 69 is a copy of what's 20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  19 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP, 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		
20 titled "UBS's first request for production of 21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those 20 CDO Fund and SOHC, including but not limited to." 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		<u> </u>
21 documents to debtor Highland Capital Management." 22 Do you see that? 23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those 21 And it is a whole series of sub-parts. 22 Do you see that request? 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page	= -	
22 Do you see that?  23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those  22 Do you see that request?  23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		
23 A I do. 24 Q Did you receive a copies of those 23 A I do. 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page		_
24 Q Did you receive a copies of those 24 Q It's request number 8 and it's on page	-	
	24 Q Did you receive a copies of those	
25 requests ever?   25 starts on the bottom of the unnumbered page,	25 requests ever?	25 starts on the bottom of the unnumbered page,

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

90 (357 to 360)

Conducted on	July 29, 2021	
357		359
1 but you see the request which very simply says:	1 would have been still been in existence under the	
2 "All documents pertaining to the assets and	2 seven-year retention; correct?	
3 liabilities of HFP CDO Fund and SOHC and then it	3 A In '11, sure, yes. But this request	
4 is including but not limited to and it gives	4 was made in '20 so the documents aren't	
5 specific examples, right?	5 necessarily on the system; they've been put on	
6 A Yes, for a 12-year period, yes.	6 backup tapes and moved to offsite storage.	
7 Q Yes, do you remember making an effort	7 Q Sorry. Was there a document retention	
8 to identify or help identify all documents	8 hold put in place with respect to the UBS	
9 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP	9 litigation that covered documents going back to	
10 CDO Funds and SOHC over the period from 2007	10 2007 with respect to assets of HFP, CDO Fund and	
11 through 2019?	11 SOHC?	
12 A I do.	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
13 Q And what efforts did you make to comply	THE WITNESS: I do not know for certain	
14 with this request, if any?	14 but I would strongly assume there was.	
15 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	15 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
16 THE WITNESS: We were told about this	16 Q Well, as GC at least from 2011 forward,	
17 request or at least I was by, I believe, Mr. Seery	17 did you take responsibility for ensuring that a	
18 potentially, not Mr. Seery, maybe Pachulski or	18 document hold was put in place to preserve all	
19 DSI, I can't remember because there were so many	19 these documents going back to cover the time	
20 requests. And myself, Isaac, Ms. Vitiello and	20 period asked for in this request?	
21 Mr. Klos, I remember at least us as the working	21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
22 group, and maybe others were involved, I just	THE WITNESS: Yes, but the document	
23 don't know, started (inaudible) documents as far	23 hold would have been issued by Michael Colvin and	
24 back as 2007, which was past the seven-year	24 before I was the GC, and then those document	
25 retention requirements of an RA and it was very	25 holds would have been in perpetuity through the	
358		360
1 difficult to start constructing from that far	1 end of this period.	
2 back.	2 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
3 BY MR. CLUBOK:	Q Did you make any effort to refresh the	
4 Q Okay, but how about just going back to	4 document hold or to affirm that it was being	
5 prior seven years to 2012. That would those	5 maintained once you became GC, going forward?	
6 documents would have been available, right?	6 A No, that's not common practice. The	
7 A Yeah, but that's not what's asked for.	7 document hold is it in place until it is	
8 It's asking starting with seven.	8 terminated.	
9 You can't just start mid stream and	9 Q And so when you you started	
10 fulfill these, in my opinion.	10 answering this question by saying this was	
11 Q And I'm sorry, you had been at	11 difficult because there is a seven-year retention	
12 litigation with UBS since 2009 over HFP, CDO Fund	12 period, is it fair to say that that normal	
13 and SOHC; correct?	13 seven-year retention period should not have	
14 A Correct, correct.	14 applied at all to HFP, CDO Fund and SOHC in light	
15 Q Was there a document hold? You were	15 of the litigation that was pending since 2009;	
16 the general counsel of the company during that	16 correct?	
17 time. Did you cause a	MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
18 (overspeaking)	THE WITNESS: Correct, but in the	
19 A No, I was not. No, Michael Colvin was	19 latter part of my answer, I said that they had	
20 at the beginning of the litigation.	20 been moved to backup tapes and/or offsite storage.	
21 Q At the beginning of the litigation. At	21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
22 what point did you become general counsel?	22 Q But were they?	
23 A It was, I believe in either '10 or '11.	23 A That's the difficulty. Is getting	
24 I can't remember.	24 and also, the document holds aren't necessarily	
25 Q And in 2010 or '11, all the documents	25 some of these items, mapping a trial balance of	
	, 11 0	

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

91 (361 to 364)

	Conducted on	Ju	lly 29, 2021
	361		363
1	account and requested items. Some of these things	1	BY MR. CLUBOK:
2	you requested, from what I understand, never	2	Q Okay. You say you did not take any
3	existed in the first place.	3	affirmative steps other than to make sure the
4	Second of all, they were in offsite or	4	documents were preserved.
5	backup tape storage and there was essentially one	5	What specific steps did you take to
6	person that or including Ms. Vitiello, two, so	6	make sure the documents were preserved with
7	Mr. Leventon and Ms. Vitiello, that could search	7	respect to the assets of HFP
8	for these and we had competing agendas that were	8	(overspeaking)
9	being assigned to us by the independent board,	9	A There's protocols in place where
10	Pachulski and others, so it wasn't the only	10	nothing is destroyed (inaudible)
11	request we were working on.	11	(overspeaking)
12	Q Did you as GC, did you ever endeavor	12	(Court reporter clarification)
13	to keep information about HFP, SOHC and CDO Fund's	13	A There's protocols in place that nothing
14	assets in readily available form during the	14	is destroyed and that's why they were moved to
15	pendency of the litigation?	15	backup tapes and put into secure offsite storage
16	MS. SMITH: Objection to form	16	so they are readily available.
17	(overspeaking)	17	
18	THE WITNESS: available form.	18	be done?
19	(Court reporter clarification.)	19	A I didn't cause it to be done. There's
20	MR. CLUBOK: Okay.	20	protocols in place that were put in place by
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know what you	21	compliance. Compliance is who oversees how these
22	I'm sorry, ma'am. I don't know what you mean by	22	documents are handled.
23	"readily available form."	23	Q Where is the asset information now
24	BY MR. CLUBOK:	24	related to HFP and CDO Fund and SOHC dating back
25	Q Did you okay. So my question is:	25	to 2007?
	362		364
1	Did you make any effort to preserve the documents	1	A I have no idea. I don't work there.
2	relating to HFP, CDO Fund and SOHC's assets in a	2	Q Is there any at the time you left
3	way that they'd be readily accessible?	3	how would it have been readily accessible if you
4	A I don't run the IT system and there is	4	had wanted to obtain it?
5	a finite amount of space for physical documents,	5	A It was stored by Iron Mountain.
6	so readily available I believe is subjective.	6	Q And did you make any efforts to
7	Q Okay. Move to strike as	7	retrieve the asset information from Iron Mountain
8	non-responsive. I didn't ask if you ran the IT	8	relating to HFP, CDO Fund and SOHC?
9	system, I didn't ask you about space.	9	A I believe that was absolutely done.
10		10	
	question and answer my question directly.		do that?
12		12	
	became general counsel, take any steps to ensure	13	•
	the preservation of documents relating to the	14	<u>.</u>
	assets of HFP, CDO Fund and SOHC in a manner that		Iron Mountain is an IT function.
	they would be readily accessible?		BY MR. CLUBOK:
17	·	17	
18	•		happen?
	affirmative steps other than make sure the	19	
	documents were preserved as to the litigation hold	20	
	and, if I'm not mistaken, this is after the trial,	21	
	Phase I of the trial so I assumed that those	22	• • • •
	documents had been stored in a way that was		try to meet these requests from
	readily available under the constraints of IT and	24	· •
12.5	physical space.	25	A the head of IT and Mr. Leventon, who

### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

92 (365 to 368)

	n July 29, 2021	
365		367
1 runs the discovery efforts, and said pull back	1 correct?	
2 anything you need to fulfill these requests that	2 A Let's see, hold on here. Thursday,	
3 we are being asked for from the board and	3 August 6. August 6.	
4 Pachulski.	4 The farthest back I see is August 6the,	
5 Q Okay. And what you did know at the	5 so	
6 time was that there had been a significant	6 Q Right. And on August 6th they're	
7 transfer of assets from these funds to Sentinel in	7 talking about giving stuff to UBS today and before	
8 August of 2017; correct?	8 they do that, they have to track down some more	
9 A Correct.	9 information, so fair to say that the request came	
10 Q And did you mention that in any way in	10 in certainly no later than August 6th?	
11 response to these document requests, to anybody?	11 A Yeah, that's fair to say.	
12 A No, I did not.	12 Q Okay, and Romey sends this email to	
13 Q Did you cause that to be communicated	13 Leventon and copies some other folks, and then the	
14 in any way to Mr. Seery or the debtor's counsel,	14 email gets forwarded there is an exchange that	
15 once you knew about this request for documents	15 continues and if you flip the page, we're going to	
16 pertaining to the assets and liabilities of HFP,	16 try to find the first time that you get introduced	
17 CDO Fund and SOHC?	17 into it, and it looks like it is on the page	
18 A I did not.	18 that's marked Bates number 115 and Isaac Leventon	
19 Q Okay. We're going to turn to	19 says in response to an email that Greg Demo sent:	
20 Exhibit 70, which is tab 45.	20 'Guys, I don't think this is a prerequisite	
21 Oh, strike that. Sorry, one more thing	21 to delivering materials to UBS in satisfaction of	
22 on this exhibit before we turn it	22 our concerns. I am prepping the documents set for	
Sorry, that's fine. Let's go to	23 delivery tomorrow. Dave has not worked on this,	
24 Exhibit 70, tab 45.	24 so I will just call Greg and James. However, this	
25 A Okay.	25 is the current status."	
366	, B , 1, 10	368
1 Q That is an email from Scott Ellington	1 Do you see that?	
2 to Greg Demo, copying Mr. Leventon, Mr. Romey,	2 A Yes.	
3 Mr. Klos and Mr. Seery; do you see that?	3 Q And all of this was about before	
4 A Mr. Klos and Mr. Seery, yes, I do.	4 they're trying to figure out what's the assets on	
5 Q That's the top email and that is a	5 CDO Fund's books with respect to an interest in	
6 response to a long chain that goes on for several	6 Multi Strat. That was the subject of the previous	
7 pages and begins with an email that starts on the	7 emails. And Greg says:	
8 page marked 17 at the end; do you see that?	8 "Scott and Isaac, I spoke to Jim about this	
9 A 117, yes, I do.	9 issue this morning. It is a high priority at this	
10 Q And this is: "UBS diligent request	10 point and we need to do what we can to push to	
11 about Highland credit opportunities, CDO Ltd.	11 conclusion.	
12 Importance: High." And this is from August 2020	12 I understand that it is going to take some	
13 before those formal requests were sent; do you see	13 work.	
14 that?	14 Can we schedule a time Wednesday morning to	
15 A Let's see. I don't know the date of	15 discuss progress and where we're at. I am	
16 when your formal request was sent.	16 generally available so whatever works for you will	
17 Q Well, we just looked at the document	17 work for me."	
18 that was dated September 2020.	18 Do you see that?	
19 A Oh, yeah, I see that now, yes, we	19 A I do.	
20 looked at it before.	20 Q And that's August 15th, 2020; correct?	
Q Right. So the previous the formal	21 A Yes.	
22 requests were dated September 28th, 2020.	22 Q And in response you then write your	
These this information request was	<ul><li>23 email that starts with:</li><li>24 'I don't think there is any need for a call.</li></ul>	
THE CONTROL THE TREETIME TROVIMENT LIKE CHICANCE	24 "I don't think there is any need for a call.	
24 called UB I assume they mean UBS diligence 25 request, came in to prior to August 6th, 2020;	25 I can tell you where we are currently."	

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

93 (369 to 372)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
369	371
1 Do you see that?	1 with the litigation hold requirements; correct?
2 A Yes.	2 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
3 Q And you say how documents were created	THE WITNESS: What does that have to do
4 as far back as 15 years ago, and records and	4 with anything? I said what we
5 storage practices were different in 2005; do you	5 (overspeaking)
6 see that?	6 BY MR. CLUBOK:
7 A I do.	7 Q Sir, I
8 Q And you say in the middle here:	8 A I'm giving an update here, Andy. If
9 "As I'm sure you are aware, and I know UBS	9 you are going to ask me a question I'd like the
10 is aware, that the document retention policy of	10 opportunity to answer. I am giving a full update
11 the SEC is that the RIAs are required to maintain	11 here to Mr. Demo, and this is an update about how
12 documents for seven years."	12 many requests (inaudible) want. Jim Seery has
Do you see that?	13 been copied on all of these and I spoke to Jim
14 A I do.	14 Seery about what was priorities and what I find
15 Q And you intimated or told various	15 super-interesting is that this thing was highly
16 people, like you intimated at the beginning of our	16 urgent on August 6th, Greg Demo, in his infinite
	17 urgency, doesn't even write me back until
17 conversation, that there just might not be	18 August 15th. He wants to schedule a call on
18 documents available prior to seven years because	
19 of the supposed retention policies of the SEC.	19 August 19th.
20 Correct?	So 13 days later is when he even wants
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	21 to discuss it. I'd say by any anybody's
22 THE WITNESS: That's not what I said	22 estimation that is not highly urgent, especially
23 at all. I said we were required to retain them	23 after Mr. Seery, who was the person that did have
24 for seven years. To your point, there was a	24 the authority to prioritize all these various
25 litigation hold here, but the difficulty it had	25 document requests told me to work the best we
370	372
1 been sent off site.	1 could on this, but other things were more
2 Furthermore furthermore, we did not	2 important at the time.
3 have a document request from you. This is a	3 Q My question to you was: In this email
4 general request that came in from Pachulski and	4 you mentioned a seven-year document retention
5 DSI who asked us to go back to 2005 and look for	5 policy?
6 very specific information in regards to CDO	6 A Yes.
7 Opportunity Master Fund that we then discovered	7 Q You don't mention anything about what,
8 had changed names to where they are confused	8 if any, efforts you've taken to comply with
9 themselves. This was a specific search at this	9 litigation hold requirements with respect to UBS;
10 point relayed to us by Pachulski and DSI, not a	10 isn't that true?
11 document request like you showed me.	MS. SMITH: Objection. Asked and
12 BY MR. CLUBOK:	12 answered
12 DI MIL CLODOIL	12 dis wered
13 Q You say that there's a document	13 (Overspeaking)
	1
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold
13 Q You say that there's a document	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say 15 anywhere words to the effect of: Oh, but we have a 16 litigation hold so we have the documents, or words	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where 16 we are currently. I'm letting Mr. Demo know that
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say 15 anywhere words to the effect of: Oh, but we have a 16 litigation hold so we have the documents, or words 17 to that effect. You don't say anything about	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where 16 we are currently. I'm letting Mr. Demo know that 17 there is a seven-year hold on all these documents.
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say 15 anywhere words to the effect of: Oh, but we have a 16 litigation hold so we have the documents, or words 17 to that effect. You don't say anything about 18 that, do you?	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where 16 we are currently. I'm letting Mr. Demo know that 17 there is a seven-year hold on all these documents. 18 Some of the things they were asking for, I don't
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say 15 anywhere words to the effect of: Oh, but we have a 16 litigation hold so we have the documents, or words 17 to that effect. You don't say anything about 18 that, do you? 19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where 16 we are currently. I'm letting Mr. Demo know that 17 there is a seven-year hold on all these documents. 18 Some of the things they were asking for, I don't 19 think were even subject to the litigation hold
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say 15 anywhere words to the effect of: Oh, but we have a 16 litigation hold so we have the documents, or words 17 to that effect. You don't say anything about 18 that, do you? 19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 20 THE WITNESS: No, and I don't say we	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where 16 we are currently. I'm letting Mr. Demo know that 17 there is a seven-year hold on all these documents. 18 Some of the things they were asking for, I don't 19 think were even subject to the litigation hold 20 with UBS because it is before the transaction,
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say 15 anywhere words to the effect of: Oh, but we have a 16 litigation hold so we have the documents, or words 17 to that effect. You don't say anything about 18 that, do you? 19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 20 THE WITNESS: No, and I don't say we 21 don't have the documents.	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where 16 we are currently. I'm letting Mr. Demo know that 17 there is a seven-year hold on all these documents. 18 Some of the things they were asking for, I don't 19 think were even subject to the litigation hold 20 with UBS because it is before the transaction, 21 Andy. They were asking us stuff as far back as
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say 15 anywhere words to the effect of: Oh, but we have a 16 litigation hold so we have the documents, or words 17 to that effect. You don't say anything about 18 that, do you? 19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 20 THE WITNESS: No, and I don't say we 21 don't have the documents. 22 BY MR. CLUBOK:	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where 16 we are currently. I'm letting Mr. Demo know that 17 there is a seven-year hold on all these documents. 18 Some of the things they were asking for, I don't 19 think were even subject to the litigation hold 20 with UBS because it is before the transaction, 21 Andy. They were asking us stuff as far back as 22 2005, which is before the transaction with UBS.
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say 15 anywhere words to the effect of: Oh, but we have a 16 litigation hold so we have the documents, or words 17 to that effect. You don't say anything about 18 that, do you? 19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 20 THE WITNESS: No, and I don't say we 21 don't have the documents. 22 BY MR. CLUBOK: 23 Q But you mention a seven-year document	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where 16 we are currently. I'm letting Mr. Demo know that 17 there is a seven-year hold on all these documents. 18 Some of the things they were asking for, I don't 19 think were even subject to the litigation hold 20 with UBS because it is before the transaction, 21 Andy. They were asking us stuff as far back as 22 2005, which is before the transaction with UBS. 23 So it wouldn't be subject to the litigation hold.
13 Q You say that there's a document 14 retention policing of seven years. You do not say 15 anywhere words to the effect of: Oh, but we have a 16 litigation hold so we have the documents, or words 17 to that effect. You don't say anything about 18 that, do you? 19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. 20 THE WITNESS: No, and I don't say we 21 don't have the documents. 22 BY MR. CLUBOK:	13 (Overspeaking) 14 THE WITNESS: about litigation hold 15 requirements. I'm giving an update: Here's where 16 we are currently. I'm letting Mr. Demo know that 17 there is a seven-year hold on all these documents. 18 Some of the things they were asking for, I don't 19 think were even subject to the litigation hold 20 with UBS because it is before the transaction, 21 Andy. They were asking us stuff as far back as 22 2005, which is before the transaction with UBS.

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

94 (373 to 376)

	11 July 29, 2021	
373	375	
1 non-responsive.	1 A Correct.	
2 A No, you asked me if I addressed the	2 Q And Mr. Sevilla was another person who	
3 litigation hold, so it is responsive in my	3 knew that; correct?	
4 opinion.	4 A Correct.	
5 Q My question to you, and I'd like a	5 Q And Mr. DiOrio was another person who	
6 direct answer to this question, is: In this email	6 knew that; correct?	
7 you mention a seven-year document retention policy	7 A Correct. Mr. Surgent, Mr. Waterhouse,	
8 but you don't mention anything about what, if any,	8 Carter Chism, Cliff Stoops, we could list them	
9 efforts you've taken to comply with litigation	9 all.	
10 hold requirements with respect to UBS; isn't that	10 Q You say then:	
11 true?	11 "Isaac and myself have spent in excess of a	
12 A Yes.	12 hundred hours trying to piece together everything	
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	13 we can to create a true and accurate	
14 BY MR. CLUBOK:	14 document-based record of what happened with these	
15 Q Okay. Continuing on this email, you	15 target entities."	
16 say that when you talk about ghost funds you	So is it true that you and Isaac together	
17 don't simply say that they don't have directors or	17 spent in excess of 100 hours trying to piece	
18 officers. You also say they don't have bank	18 together everything you could to create a true and	
19 accounts. They sit dormant and in all caps:	19 accurate document-based record of what happened	
20 "No one knows what they truly retain,	20 with CDO Fund's assets?	
21 etc."	21 A Yes.	
22 Correct?	22 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	
THE WITNESS: Yes, it's about the 6,000	THE WITNESS: Yes, starting in 2005,	
24 ghost funds in totality, digital description of	24 which we were tasked to do, as you can see in my	
25 the situation, of various 6,000 ghost funds that	25 second paragraph.	
374	376	
1 KPMG came and told me about. Yes.	1 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
2 BY MR. CLUBOK:	2 Q But you knew completely that all of CDO	
3 Q But there were people at Highland who	3 Fund's assets had been transferred, or	
4 specifically did know that substantially all of	4 substantially all of them had been transferred in	
5 the assets of these funds had been transferred to	5 August 2017 to Sentinel Reinsurance; correct?	
6 Sentinel on or about August 2017; correct?	6 A I did not	
7 A Yes, I'm not talking about just	7 (overspeaking)	
8 Sentinel. I'm talking about 6,000 funds and the	8 MS. SMITH: Objection, asked and	
9 commonalities they have.	9 answered.	
10 Q Right. But with respect to HFP, CDO	10 THE WITNESS: I did not sorry. I	
11 Fund, and SOHC, with respect to those three	11 did not know they had been transferred and that's	
12 specific funds, there were lots of people, you	12 not what we were asked to do.	
13 said before, at HCM who fully knew that	We were asked to start back in 2005, as	
14 substantially all of the assets of those three	14 you can see in the email chain and specifically	
15 funds had been transferred to Sentinel Reinsurance	15 give information on CDO Opportunity Master Fund,	
16 in August 2017; correct?	16 which became MSCF or MBCDO, and go through that,	
17 A Essentially true and right before the	17 from 2005. That's where we were told to start by	
18 break you showed me an email with a bunch of those	18 Mr. Seery.	
19 people copied showing wires out of Sentinel I	19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
20 mean to Sentinel.	20 Q You knew when you wrote this email that	
21 Q And you were one of those people who	21 substantially all of CDO Fund's assets had been	
22 knew that; correct?	22 transferred in August 2017 to Sentinel	
23 A Correct.	23 Reinsurance; correct?	
24 Q And Mr. Leventon was another one of	24 A I knew that something was transferred	
25 those people who knew that; correct?	25 and it was a substantial portion.	
	1	

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

95 (377 to 380)

Conducted of	1 July 29, 2021
377	379
1 Q And there were lots of people that you	1 MS. SMITH: Objection to form
2 could have identified to Mr. Demo and others who	2 BY MR. CLUBOK:
3 could have told them exactly what was transferred	3 Q Correct?
4 in 2017 to Sentinel Reinsurance from CDO Fund,	4 A This task was starting from 2005, go
5 SOHC, and HFP; correct?	5 from there.
6 A Correct, but we were not tasked with	6 Q Sorry, you keep answering over
7 anything but starting in 2005 and Mr. Seery was	7 Ms. Smith's objections and I
8 very clear that we that he did not want	8 A My apologies.
9 anything that was guess work, that he wanted a	9 Q think the court reporter could not
10 chain of custody in that entity starting in 2005.	10 get your answer. So I'm going to say it again.
11 Q Well, actually, you told him that a	11 A Okay.
12 large majority of your efforts was based in	12 Q When you sent this email you were aware
13 educated "guess work." You	13 that there was documented evidence showing exactly
14 A Yes, starting	14 what happened with CDO Fund, SOHC and HFP's assets
15 Q you specifically said that that is	15 in 2017; correct?
16 what you were doing; isn't that right?	16 A Correct.
17 A Yes, starting in 2005 because no one	17 Q And you did not mention that in any way
18 was hardly left from that time period and the	18 to Mr. Demo, Mr. Seery or anybody else; correct?
19 document storage was was not up to par, let's	19 A Correct.
20 put it that way.	20 Q And you did not cause that information
*	
21 Q Sorry, Mr you are telling Mr. Demo	21 to be shared with them by any of your colleagues
22 that a large majority of your efforts was	22 at HCM; correct?
23 supposedly based on educated guess work?	A Correct, and I didn't stop anyone from
24 A Yes.	24 sharing it either.
25 Q That was only possible due to your long	25 Q Okay. And is it true that you did
378	380
1 tenure with the debtor; correct?	1 that you were trying to create a true and accurate
2 A Yes, because we knew, anecdotally,	2 document-based record of what happened with
3 things that people had told us about what went on	3 well, strike that.
4 in 2005.	4 You also knew specifically that
5 Q And you're claiming that Mr. Seery,	5 Sentinel Reinsurance had obtained a redemption
6 though, specifically told you not to engage in any	6 interest in Multi Strat from CDO Fund; correct?
7 educated guess work?	7 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
8 A (Witness reads document	8 THE WITNESS: I became aware of that at
•	
9 unintelligibly.)	9 some point.
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK:
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct?
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct?  13 A I don't know.
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it?	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct?  13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct?  13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of 15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in 16 educated guess work?	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct?  13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of 15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo 16 or to anyone else connected with him or Mr. Seery?
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct?  13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of 15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in 16 educated guess work?	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct?  13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of 15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo 16 or to anyone else connected with him or Mr. Seery?
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in 16 educated guess work? 17 A Exactly. He said I want documented	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct? 13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of 15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo 16 or to anyone else connected with him or Mr. Seery? 17 A I did not pass it on. I believe that
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in 16 educated guess work? 17 A Exactly. He said I want documented 18 evidence. I don't care what you guys think you	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct? 13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of 15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo 16 or to anyone else connected with him or Mr. Seery? 17 A I did not pass it on. I believe that 18 at some point it was put in a presentation to you
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in 16 educated guess work? 17 A Exactly. He said I want documented 18 evidence. I don't care what you guys think you 19 know, I want documented evidence.	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct? 13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of 15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo 16 or to anyone else connected with him or Mr. Seery? 17 A I did not pass it on. I believe that 18 at some point it was put in a presentation to you 19 that there was a redemption.
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in 16 educated guess work? 17 A Exactly. He said I want documented 18 evidence. I don't care what you guys think you 19 know, I want documented evidence. 20 Q And you were aware that there was	9 some point.  10 BY MR. CLUBOK:  11 Q And that awareness came before you sent  12 this email; correct?  13 A I don't know.  14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of  15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo  16 or to anyone else connected with him or Mr. Seery?  17 A I did not pass it on. I believe that  18 at some point it was put in a presentation to you  19 that there was a redemption.  20 Q At some point was there a presentation
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in 16 educated guess work? 17 A Exactly. He said I want documented 18 evidence. I don't care what you guys think you 19 know, I want documented evidence. 20 Q And you were aware that there was 21 that the email that you were copied on showing	9 some point.  10 BY MR. CLUBOK:  11 Q And that awareness came before you sent  12 this email; correct?  13 A I don't know.  14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of  15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo  16 or to anyone else connected with him or Mr. Seery?  17 A I did not pass it on. I believe that  18 at some point it was put in a presentation to you  19 that there was a redemption.  20 Q At some point was there a presentation  21 provided to me that showed that Sentinel
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in 16 educated guess work? 17 A Exactly. He said I want documented 18 evidence. I don't care what you guys think you 19 know, I want documented evidence. 20 Q And you were aware that there was 21 that the email that you were copied on showing 22 cash transfers to Sentinel, you were aware there	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct? 13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of 15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo 16 or to anyone else connected with him or Mr. Seery? 17 A I did not pass it on. I believe that 18 at some point it was put in a presentation to you 19 that there was a redemption. 20 Q At some point was there a presentation 21 provided to me that showed that Sentinel 22 Reinsurance had made a redemption; was that ever
10 And if you look at the top, Mr. Seery 11 is copying me on the email. Do you think if I 12 made an assertion that Jim Seery had told me to do 13 something and he was copied that he wouldn't have 14 corrected it? 15 Q Did Mr. Seery tell you not to engage in 16 educated guess work? 17 A Exactly. He said I want documented 18 evidence. I don't care what you guys think you 19 know, I want documented evidence. 20 Q And you were aware that there was 21 that the email that you were copied on showing 22 cash transfers to Sentinel, you were aware there 23 was documented evidence showing exactly what	9 some point. 10 BY MR. CLUBOK: 11 Q And that awareness came before you sent 12 this email; correct? 13 A I don't know. 14 Q Okay. When you did become aware of 15 that did you pass that information on to Mr. Demo 16 or to anyone else connected with him or Mr. Seery? 17 A I did not pass it on. I believe that 18 at some point it was put in a presentation to you 19 that there was a redemption. 20 Q At some point was there a presentation 21 provided to me that showed that Sentinel 22 Reinsurance had made a redemption; was that ever 23 provided to me?

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

96 (381 to 384)

Conducted or	1 July 29, 2021
381	383
1 Q Sorry, you just said that at some point	1 Multi Strat?
2 there was a presentation made to me that there had	2 A Absolutely not that I'm aware of.
3 been a redemption?	3 MS. SMITH: And while Mr. Clubok is
4 A Yeah, that there had been a redemption	4 formulating his next question, can I ask the court
5 but	5 reporter how much time is left.
6 (overspeaking)	6 MR. CLUBOK: Let's go off the record.
7 Q redemption	7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
8 (overspeaking)	8 record at 7:39 p.m.
9 A I think that was prepared by	9 (Recess taken from 7:39 p.m. to 7:53 p.m.)
10 Mr. Klos and I believe given to you by	10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on
11 Mr. Dondero.	11 the record at 7:53 p.m.
12 Q Did that presentation show that	12 (Deposition Exhibit 90 was marked for
13 Sentinel Reinsurance had made a redemption?	13 identification.)
14 A I don't know. I'd have to see it.	14 BY MR. CLUBOK:
15 Q Okay. Did that did Mr. Dondero ever	15 Q We have an exhibit that's been marked
16 communicate in words or substance that the	16 as Exhibit 90. Exhibit 90 is the same cover email
17 redeemers were unaffiliated with him?	17 that we previously showed and Ms. Smith had
18 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	18 objected because at the time we didn't have the
19 THE WITNESS: I wasn't on your	19 attachment. We've now created a document, which
20 communications with Mr. Dondero.	20 is Exhibit 90, that has both this cover email,
21 BY MR. CLUBOK:	21 where Stephanie Vitiello sends to Isaac Leventon
22 Q What how do you know that a	22 an attachment, it's a PowerPoint, and she says:
23 presentation was made regarding the subject? Who	23 'Thanks for sending. Based on our
24 told you?	24 discussion with Scott, I started updating the
25 A Mr. Dondero wrote an email to me	25 first few slides. I'll be in by 8 tomorrow"
382	204
	384
1 wanting to put something together for a phone call	1 Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017:
<ul><li>1 wanting to put something together for a phone call</li><li>2 with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li></ul>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with
<ul> <li>1 wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>2 with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>3 it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> </ul>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like."
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 5 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017.
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington?
<ul> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> </ul>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes.
<ul> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> </ul>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the
<ul> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> </ul>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the dattachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the dattachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document.
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the statchment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if  Scott gives me the ability, you can see an early
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the dattachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if Scott gives me the ability, you can see an early sersion of this settlement analysis. And it says
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if Acott gives me the ability, you can see an early sersion of this settlement analysis. And it says Tuesday.
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know Mr. Dondero's connection</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017:  " so we can edit before we meet with  Scott if you would like."  And this is an email that she sends at  6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017.  Do you see that, Mr. Ellington?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And before we didn't have the  attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the  attachment will refresh your recollection that you  had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that  led to them creating this document.  And if I go down to the next page if  Scott gives me the ability, you can see an early  version of this settlement analysis. And it says  "UBS Settlement: Introduction" and it talks about  what we should say upfront, and "Here's why we
<ul> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know Mr. Dondero's connection</li> <li>with SAS?</li> </ul>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if Act Scott gives me the ability, you can see an early sersion of this settlement analysis. And it says "UBS Settlement: Introduction" and it talks about what we should say upfront, and "Here's why we should settle."
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know Mr. Dondero's connection</li> <li>with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if Scott gives me the ability, you can see an early version of this settlement analysis. And it says full WBS Settlement: Introduction" and it talks about what we should say upfront, and "Here's why we should settle." Do you see where and it looks like
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know Mr. Dondero's connection</li> <li>with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know SAS's connection with</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if Acott gives me the ability, you can see an early sversion of this settlement analysis. And it says "UBS Settlement: Introduction" and it talks about what we should say upfront, and "Here's why we should settle." Do you see where and it looks like
<ul> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know Mr. Dondero's connection</li> <li>with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know SAS's connection with</li> <li>Sentinel?</li> </ul>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if Acott gives me the ability, you can see an early sersion of this settlement analysis. And it says "UBS Settlement: Introduction" and it talks about what we should say upfront, and "Here's why we should settle." Do you see where and it looks like an early draft.  A I see the words on the page.
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know Mr. Dondero's connection</li> <li>with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know SAS's connection with</li> <li>Sentinel?</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if Scott gives me the ability, you can see an early version of this settlement analysis. And it says "UBS Settlement: Introduction" and it talks about what we should say upfront, and "Here's why we should settle." Do you see where and it looks like an early draft.  A I see the words on the page.  Yeah, and it's clearly an early draft
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know Mr. Dondero's connection</li> <li>with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know SAS's connection with</li> <li>Sentinel?</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I assume that he did.</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like."  And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington?  A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if Scott gives me the ability, you can see an early sersion of this settlement analysis. And it says "UBS Settlement: Introduction" and it talks about what we should say upfront, and "Here's why we should settle." Do you see where and it looks like an early draft.  A I see the words on the page.  Q Yeah, and it's clearly an early draft of whatever's being written; right? It just
<ol> <li>wanting to put something together for a phone call</li> <li>with you and he had already asked David Klos for</li> <li>it and David Klos produced it before I was</li> <li>involved.</li> <li>Q And</li> <li>A Or maybe he called me, I can't</li> <li>remember, but I think he called me, now that I</li> <li>remember, but he had already tasked Klos with it.</li> <li>Q Where does David Klos work now?</li> <li>A Highland, as far as I know.</li> <li>Q What's that?</li> <li>A As far as I know. I don't know if he's</li> <li>still there or not.</li> <li>Q Did David Klos at the time that he made</li> <li>that presentation know your connection with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know Mr. Dondero's connection</li> <li>with SAS?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he know SAS's connection with</li> <li>Sentinel?</li> <li>MS. SMITH: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	Presumably referring to August 12th, 2017: " so we can edit before we meet with Scott if you would like." And this is an email that she sends at 6:28 p.m. on Tuesday April 11th, 2017. Do you see that, Mr. Ellington? A Yes. Q Okay. And before we didn't have the attachment so I'm hoping that seeing the attachment will refresh your recollection that you had had a discussion with Stephanie and Isaac that led to them creating this document. And if I go down to the next page if Scott gives me the ability, you can see an early version of this settlement analysis. And it says "UBS Settlement: Introduction" and it talks about what we should say upfront, and "Here's why we should settle." Do you see where and it looks like an early draft.  A I see the words on the page.  Yeah, and it's clearly an early draft

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

97 (385 to 388)

Conducted on	July 29, 2021
385	387
1 A Sure.	1 the next day, April 12th.
2 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. I'm	2 Let's put up Exhibit 91.
3 also objecting to the continuation of the	3 BY MR. CLUBOK:
4 deposition to the extent it goes past the	4 Q Okay.
5 five-minute mark. We understood from the court	5 Remember Ms. Vitiello says that she's
6 videographer that we were over time and we agreed	6 coming in at 8 so they can edit with Mr. Leventon
7 to give you, as a courtesy, professional courtesy,	7 before they meet with you, Mr. Ellington.
8 an extra five minutes.	8 Now we look at Exhibit 91, and this is
9 Also, I still don't have these exhibits	9 an email from 3:26 the next day, and Stephanie
10 in the chat.	10 sends it to Isaac and says:
MR. CLUBOK: Let's go off the record.	11 "Started making updates"
12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	In response to an email that Isaac had
13 record at 7:55 p.m.	13 sent her at 11:30 a.m. that morning; do you see
14 (Recess taken from 7:55 p.m. to 7:59 p.m.)	14 that?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on	15 A I do.
16 the record at 7:59 p.m.	16 Q And now we're up to settlement
17 BY MR. CLUBOK:	17 structure version 4, according to Stephanie's
18 Q Mr. Ellington, I'm referring you to	18 attachment; do you see that?
19 Exhibit 90, the page that ends Bates number 433,	19 A Yeah, I do but the subject line says
20 there's a UBS settlement structure that	20 "UBS Settlement Structure v2."
21 contemplates:	21 Q Well, that's what Isaac said at 11:30,
22 'NewCo Cayman controls HFP/CDO Fund	22 but this email where Stephanie responds at
23 assets in (what"); and"	23 3:26 says version 4; correct?
Et cetera, and as set forth on this	24 A I see that now in the attachment.
25 page; do you see this?	25 Okay.
386	388
1 A Yes.	1 Q Okay?
2 Q And this, again, is an email that was	2 A Yes.
3 sent or a PowerPoint attached to an email sent by	3 Q So she sends her attachment and the
4 Stephanie Vitiello to Isaac Leventon on the	4 attachment I'm going to go down, if I have
5 evening of August I'm sorry, April 11th, 2017	5 control here, and I'm going to go down to the page
6 where she says based on discussion with Scott she	6 that Bates-labeled 508.
7 started updating the first few slides, and then	7 I am going to rotate my screen here.
8 she'll be 'in by 8 tomorrow so we can edit before	8 And on the page that says 508 it now
9 we meet with Scott."	9 says "If Highland settles" and it still talks
Does seeing this refresh your	10 about:
11 recollection that you discussed a UBS settlement	11 "NewCo Cayman controls HFP/CDO Fund
12 structure with Ms. Vitiello and Mr. Leventon about	12 assets (currently \$94 million)"
13 potentially starting a new company in Cayman that	So that's new information that's been
14 would control the HFP, CDO Fund assets and would	14 added to this version on April 12th; do you see
15 be part of a UBS settlement structure?	15 that?
16 A No.	16 A I do.
17 Q Do you deny that you had that	17 Q And it still talks about the NewCo and
18 discussion or you just say you don't remember one	18 HCMLP using 'HFP/CDO Fund assets to generate cash
19 way or the other?	19 to pay UBS settlement, Citi, and outstanding legal
20 A I don't remember having this discussion	20 fees."
21 at all.	21 Do you see that?
22 (Deposition Exhibit 91 was marked for	22 A I do.
23 identification.)	O And it teller alread this all assign HCMI De
25	23 Q And it talks about this allowing HCMLPs
24 MR. CLUBOK: Okay. We're going to look 25 at now Exhibit 91. Exhibit 91 is an email from	24 to avoid a \$50 million-plus tax liability.

#### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

98 (389 to 392)

Conducted on	1 July 29, 2021	
389		391
1 Q And how residual assets up to 50	1 chain I want to point you to is Exhibit number 92.	
2 million will stay at this NewCo Cayman which will	2 That ends [sic] in Bates number 593.	
3 controlled by Highland, according to this slide;	3 MS. SMITH: Are we on Exhibit 2 now	
4 do you see that?	4 Exhibit 92?	
5 A I do.	5 MR. CLUBOK: We're on Exhibit 92 and it	
6 Q Do you remember having a discussion	6 ends [sic] in Bates number 593.	
7 with Mr. Leventon and Ms I won't say her name	7 MS. SMITH: Begins at 593?	
8 right how do you pronounce her name?	8 MR. CLUBOK: Yes.	
9 A Ms. Vitiello.	9 (Ellington Exhibit 92 was marked for	
10 Q Ms. Vitiello that is reflected in	10 identification.)	
11 Exhibit 91 on the page that ends in 508.	11 BY MR. CLUBOK:	
	12 Q Yes, and you can see that Mr. Leventon	
13 Q Okay.	13 has sent Ms. Vitiello at 7:49 p.m. a document he	
14 A Stop. Did you ask if it refreshed my	14 calls appendix 1, which is entitled "UBS -	
15 recollection; is that your question?	15 Settlement Assets" with an Excel spreadsheet, and	
16 Q Yes.	16 in response, at about 7:56 p.m., Ms. Vitiello is	
17 A No, it does not.	17 sending Mr. Leventon on April 12th a document	
18 Q Oh, but you remember having that	18 where we are up to version 6 of the UBS settlement	
19 discussion?	19 structure; do you see that?	
20 A No, I do not.	20 A Yes.	
21 Q Oh, I'm sorry, what did you say "Yes"	21 Q And this is all happening this is	
22 to?	22 all approximately 24 hours has passed, or less,	
23 A I thought you were asking me if I saw	23 since we saw that first email when she said that	
24 the language in number 5.	24 she was updating the slide based on conversation	
25 Q I see. Okay. So you see this slide	25 and would meet with you tomorrow, which was	
390	·	392
1 but seeing this doesn't refresh your recollection	1 Exhibit 90; is that correct?	
2 that you actually met with Ms. Vitiello and	2 A Yes.	
3 Mr. Leventon that day and this reflects that	3 Q So if we go down Exhibit 92, we now see	
4 meeting; is that what your testimony is?	4 that that it looks a lot more like the final	
5 A No.	5 version that we looked at later. It's got this	
6 Q And again, are you saying it didn't	6 first page that says "UBS vs. Highland," like a	
7 happen or are you saying you don't remember one	7 more I think it was version 9 that we talked	
	8 about earlier. Do you see that on page 1?	
9 A I don't remember it happening.	9 Did Mr. Ellington freeze again? Did we	
10 Q Okay. Do you remember so, are you	10 lose him?	
11 saying you do not believe it happened or are you	11 A I only see half of a cover page.	
12 saying you don't remember if it did or not?	12 Q Scott, I'm sorry, you froze for a	
13 A I don't recall that it happened.	13 second or maybe it was me.	
14 Q Are you saying you don't recall whether	Do you see	
15 or not it happened or are you saying that as far	15 A Oh no, it's me. It says my internet	
16 as you recall it did not happen?	16 connection is unstable. Is it back to normal?	
17 MS. SMITH: Objection to form. Asked	17 Q Okay, yes, you are back to normal. So	
18 and answered.	18 close to being done so let's try to get this last	
19 THE WITNESS: I have no memory of the	19 one?	
20 meeting. I don't know how else to answer the	20 A Okay.	
21 question.	21 Q We are on Exhibit 92. I've scrolled	
(Deposition Exhibit 92 was marked for	22 down and you can see that Exhibit 92, which I	
23 identification.)	23 think is version 6 according to Ms. Vitiello, is	
24 BY MR. CLUBOK:	24 looking a lot more like the version 9 that we	
25 Q Okay. So the last exhibit in this	25 talked about earlier today?	
25 Q Okay. 50 the fast exhibit in this	25 tarked about carrier today:	

# Transcript of Scott Ellington

99 (393 to 396)

Conducted on	July 29, 2021
393	395
1 A I apologize, Mr. Clubok, I can still	1 Q And then we've put it down, and you can
2 only see the cover page.	2 see a lot of these pages are more similar and now
3 Q Yeah, I'm only showing that one slide	3 we get to slide 6 and now and this is
4 right now.	4 August 12th, the day after that slide show she
5 A Okay.	5 talked about NewCo, now all of a sudden we're
6 Q So right now I'm I'll just make it a	6 talking about Sentinel controlling the assets,
7 little smaller so you can see it.	7 "the HFP/CDO Fund assets (currently \$94 million)."
8 A Okay.	8 Do you see that?
9 Q I just looked, showing this first	9 A I do.
10 slide "Settlement Analysis," that looks	10 Q And now it says:
11 familiar to you, right?	"Sentinel and HCMLP can use HFP/CDO
12 A Correct.	12 Fund assets to generate cash to pay UBS settlement
13 Q That was the version that we looked at	13"
14 later	14 Right?
15 A Yes.	15 A Yes.
16 Q earlier today, I mean?	16 Q And it talks about HCMLP's \$50 million
17 A Yes.	17 tax liability but almost all of that is actually
18 Q So now I am going to scroll down and	18 Jim Dondero's personal tax liability; right?
19 now it's got more of this detailed information	19 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
20 like we saw in the version 9. It says:	,
· ·	20 (overspeaking) 21 THE WITNESS: I don't know if there was
21 "If Highland does not settle"	
22 And what happens if UBS wins or	22 an additional HCMLP tax liability.
23 Highland wins. And it has got that:	23 BY MR. CLUBOK:
24 "Bottom line: There is no upside to go	Q Well, if you look here on the list of
25 to trial in either matter."	25 tax liabilities, it has got 50 million-plus for
On the page that ends at 505 for	396 1 Dondero and then it has some unaffiliated
On the page that ends at 595 for	
2 Exhibit 92; do you see that?	2 entities, Crusader, Goldman, and then it has got
A Yes.	3 some individuals that have collectively a couple
Q And if we continue, it's got specific	4 of million.
5 information filled in about resulting tax	So, fair to say that HCMLP's
6 liability and in particular, it's got more than	6 50 million-plus tax liability means Jim Dondero's
7 50 million for Dondero?	7 primarily?
8 A Yes.	8 A I can see why that assumption would be
9 Q And then small amounts for other people	9 made but I don't know if they're the same.
10 who were affiliated at one point with Highland,	10 Q Okay. And then it talks about residual
11 like Todd Travers and Pat Daugherty and some	11 assets now up to 50 million staying at Sentinel;
12 others?	12 right?
13 A Correct.	13 A Yes.
14 Q So when you talk about 50 million plus	14 Q So you see over the course of 24 hours,
15 tax liability for HCMLP, most of that is	15 roughly, the proposal has changed from a NewCo in
16 Mr. Dondero's tax liability?	16 the Caymans that would obtain the assets and
MS. SMITH: Objection to form.	17 somehow settle things, to Sentinel controlling the
18 Objection to form.	18 assets and using the assets to generate cash to
19 BY MR. CLUBOK:	19 settle; do you see that?
20 Q Sorry. When the document refers to	20 A Yes.
21 50 million plus tax liability later, it most of	21 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
22 that tax liability is associated with Mr. Dondero	22 BY MR. CLUBOK:
23 with respect to the HCM-affiliated individuals;	23 Q And in fact on page 8 we now see that
24 correct?	24 the settlement structure now specifically talks
	· _ ·
25 A Yes.	25 about HFP and CDO Fund to buy a \$100 million ATE

### Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

100 (397 to 400)

Conducted of	July 29, 2021
397	399
1 policy from Sentinel in exchange for all the	1 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
2 assets in HFP/CDO Fund; do you see that?	2 THE WITNESS: No, if their memory is
3 A Yes.	3 better than mine, I don't think they'd be lying.
4 Q And in the span of this 24 hours, did	4 BY MR. CLUBOK:
5 Ms. Vitiello have any discussions with the	5 Q Okay.
6 independent directors of Sentinel as far as you	6 A It also they could have had limited
7 know?	7 input from me. They may have been asking me how
8 A I don't know.	8 to organize the slides, if they did meet with me.
9 Q Was Ms. Vitiello authorized to call the	9 It doesn't mean they asked me for the input of
10 independent directors of Sentinel and discuss this	10 numbers. I wouldn't even have known what numbers
11 matter with them on this day?	11 to put in there.
12 A She could easily have done that. I	12 Q Who came up with the idea to make the
13 don't know.	13 proposed settlement structure as reflected in the
14 Q Did you authorize her to do that?	14 page that ends Bates number 601 of Exhibit 92 as
15 A Not that I recall, no.	15 opposed to the original settlement structure that
16 Q Did you authorize Mr. Leventon to have	16 we saw from the day before?
17 any discussions with the independent directors of	17 A I don't know.
18 Sentinel to see if they were good with this	18 Q It was you, wasn't it?
19 proposed settlement structure reflected on page	19 A No, it wasn't me. I don't remember
20 that ends in Bates number 601 for Exhibit 92?	20 coming up with this structure. It could have been
21 A Did I authorize Mr. Leventon? Not that	21 compliance.
22 I'm aware of, no.	22 Q It could have been who at compliance?
23 Q Did you authorize anybody anyone to	23 A If compliance part of the process
24 reach out to the independent directors on or about	24 was examining various structures of what was
25 April 11th or 12th to see if they would be willing	25 possible.
398	400
1 to sell a \$100 million ATE policy in exchange for	1 Q You are just totally speculating about
2 all the assets in HFP and CDO Fund?	2 that, you have no memory of talking to compliance
3 A Did I authorize anyone to do that? No.	3 at this time about
4 Q Who came up with these numbers in the	4 A I didn't say I talked to compliance.
5 span of 24-hour period between when Ms. Vitiello	5 You asked me who they talked to or who gave the
6 tells Isaac Leventon she spoke to you and they're	6 input to this and I told you I don't know. And
7 going to have a meeting with you tomorrow at the	7 you said, "It was you, wasn't it," and I said,
8 end of the day, April 12th; do you know?	8 "No."
9 A No idea if this is again during the	9 Q But you were certainly involved with
10 timeframe where obviously all these ideas were	10 the proposal that's reflected on the page that
11 being bandied about in large group and subsets of	11 ends 601 for Exhibit 92; correct?
12 the groups. There could have been numerous inputs	12 MS. SMITH: Objection to form.
13 of the list.	13 THE WITNESS: I have no memory of this
14 Q Was there a large group that met on	14 at all, period.
15 April 12th on this subject?	15 MR. CLUBOK: Okay, that's all that
16 A I cannot remember what times people	16 I have, I believe.
17 met.	17 Thank you very much. I will say, I
18 Q Did you ever meet	18 guess, since we're done with the deposition, just
1	19 to briefly respond, because I don't want to get
Q Did you ever meet just in a small group	20 these arguments on the record.
21 with Mr. Leventon and Ms. Vitiello to discuss this	MS. SMITH: We are on the record.
22 structure?	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are not off the
23 A Not that I remember.	23 record.
24 Q If either of them said you did, would	MR. CLUBOK: We can stay on the record,
25 they be lying?	25 I just don't want to have this we can go off

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

101 (401 to 404)

	d on July 29, 2021	10.5
	1 Ma Ellington is he side a suif side a second	403
1 the video of the deposition. The court reporter	1 Mr. Ellington if he either or if either of you	
2 can continue.	2 counsel, or if he's got other counsel that he can	
3 Let's shut the deposition down and the	3 refer us to for that matter would accept service	
4 court reporter can continue.	4 and avoid the expense of trying to achieve	
5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end	5 service, which the experience we had in this	
6 of the video deposition of Scott Ellington. We	6 case on that was not pleasant and I'm hoping that	
7 are going off the video record at 8:14 p.m.	7 we don't have that same experience in this other	
8 MR. CLUBOK: Just so we don't get into	8 matter.	
9 a debate about this. I didn't want to respond to	9 MS. SMITH: I don't want the perception	
10 everything that Ms. Smith said either in her last	10 that he's dodging service when he has been in	
11 comment or even before, frankly, about the other	11 town, you know, 36 hours or 30 hours and now he's	
12 issues. But, you know, we had a misunderstanding,	12 been sitting for your deposition. So, how could	
13 perhaps, a discrepancy in the time that the	13 he be dodging service when he's sitting for your	
14 videographer believed was left versus the time	14 deposition?	
15 that we had calculated and I don't know if	MR. CLUBOK: Well, we are asking right	
16 Ms. Smith had calculated a third time, but in any	16 now through you, that he just simply accept	
17 event, as promised, I kept my questioning time to	17 service of these papers. We have a process server	
18 I think just a few minutes, if you take out the	18 who will attempt to serve him beginning, perhaps,	
19 pauses and the time it took to get the exhibits up	19 tonight and continuing through tomorrow.	
20 and the objections and so forth, but hopefully	We hope we do not have to do that. It	
21 that was satisfactory and, in any event, I think	21 is very simple. If we can just get an agreement	
22 we, you know, I think that's all that needs to be	22 to accept service of those materials then it will	
23 said about that.	23 save everyone cost and expense and I hope that	
We would like to serve Mr. Ellington.	24 that's just agreed upon. It is very simple.	
25 We would like him not to dodge service of the	25 It will be we'll be able to serve	
	102	404
1 matter that's pending in New York. We'd like him	1 him but it will be a considerably unnecessary	
2 to	2 expense, if the last time is the guide.	
3 MS. SMITH: You need to go off the	3 And I would hope that you and the	
4 record if you are going to be talking about other	4 lawyers from Baker McKenzie are advising him not	
5 stuff now.	5 to put us through that. That's all I can do. And	
6 MR. CLUBOK: Well, you brought it up	6 if Mr. Ellington has other counsel that are	
7 before on the record so I am just going to	7 representing him in that matter, then we'd ask	
8 continue on the record now and say we would very	8 through you, Ms. Smith, to alert us to the names	
9 much like Mr. Ellington to simply accept service	9 of those people so we can avoid and we can move	
10 and not dodge it and not make it difficult for us	10 cooperatively in that matter instead of	
11 to serve him.	11 eventually we'll get service one way or the other,	
12 MR SODERLUND: She brought up your	12 no doubt, although we may have to go to the court	
13 violation of the confidentiality of the deposition	13 for it and we hope that that is not necessary.	
14 is what she brought up, Andy.	14 MS. SMITH: Are we done?	
	15 MR. CLUBOK: Yes. Thank you.	
,	16 If you if Mr. Ellington, will accept	
	17 service, if you could please just talk to him	
17 my office.		
18 MR. CLUBOK: Yes, it's unhelpful. I	18 after this, please call me back any time and	
19 believe.	19 hopefully we can save everybody that trouble.	
In any event, I passed on that request.	MS. SMITH: I'll talk to my client.	
21 I want to make it clear that	MR. CLUBOK: Thank you very much.	
22 (overspeaking)	22 HOUSEKEEPING	
23 MR. CLUBOK: I want to make it clear	MS. SMITH: Ms. Barrett, I would like a	
24 that I have made that request very clearly to	24 draft, please, Frances Smith.	
25 avoid the necessity of trying to serve	MS. McLAUGHLIN: We'll take the draft	

# Transcript of Scott Ellington Conducted on July 29, 2021

102	(405	to	408)
-----	------	----	------

	405	
1 too and then the final in ten days.		
2 MR. CLUBOK: Thank you so much. Thank		
3 you Nate, and Lisa and Robert Leonard for sticking		
4 around this late.		
6 confirm as far as the exhibits go, do you just		
7 want the new exhibits, 86 through 92, attached to		
8 this transcript?		
9 MR. CLUBOK: That's a great question.		
10 (Deposition concluded at 8:20 p.m. EST)		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	10.5	
	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically 7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically 7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 8 direction; that reading and signing was requested;	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically 7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 8 direction; that reading and signing was requested; 9 and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically 7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 8 direction; that reading and signing was requested;	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically 7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 8 direction; that reading and signing was requested; 9 and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically 7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 8 direction; that reading and signing was requested; 9 and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 10 employed by any of the parties to this case and	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically 7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 8 direction; that reading and signing was requested; 9 and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 10 employed by any of the parties to this case and 11 have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its 12 outcome. 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  multiple employed by any of the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  outcome.	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically 7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 8 direction; that reading and signing was requested; 9 and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 10 employed by any of the parties to this case and 11 have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its 12 outcome. 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	406	
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before 3 whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true 5 and correct record of the testimony given; that 6 Said testimony was taken by me stenographically 7 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 8 direction; that reading and signing was requested; 9 and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 10 employed by any of the parties to this case and 11 have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its 12 outcome. 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 14 my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  memployed by any of the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  cutcome.  N WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  multiple employed by any of the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  uutcome.  Number WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  meloyed by any of the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  under the parties to this case and  NWITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.  LISA M. BARRETT, RPR, CRR, CRC	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  members and the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  cutcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.  LISA M. BARRETT, RPR, CRR, CRC  NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  memployed by any of the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  under my  NWITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.  LISA M. BARRETT, RPR, CRR, CRC  NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR  THE STATE OF MARYLAND	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  multiple employed by any of the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  multiple outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.  LISA M. BARRETT, RPR, CRR, CRC  NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR  THE STATE OF MARYLAND	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  multiple employed by any of the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  multiple outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  multiple my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.  LISA M. BARRETT, RPR, CRR, CRC  NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR  THE STATE OF MARYLAND  THE STATE OF MARYLAND	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  multiple employed by any of the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  under the parties to this case and  NWITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.  LISA M. BARRETT, RPR, CRR, CRC  NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR  THE STATE OF MARYLAND  THE STATE OF MARYLAND	406	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  I, Lisa M. Barrett, the officer before  whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby  certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  and correct record of the testimony given; that  Said testimony was taken by me stenographically  and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my  direction; that reading and signing was requested;  and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  multiple employed by any of the parties to this case and  have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its  multiple outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  multiple my hand this 10th day of August, 2021.  LISA M. BARRETT, RPR, CRR, CRC  NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR  THE STATE OF MARYLAND  THE STATE OF MARYLAND	406	